VOLUME 15

Pages 3827 - 4052

Under Seal Pages 3998 - 4014

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS, Judge

EPIC GAMES, INC.,) Plaintiff,) NO. C-20-5640 YGR Friday, May 21, 2021 VS. APPLE, INC., Oakland, California Defendant. BENCH TRIAL) APPLE, INC., Counterclaimant, VS. EPIC GAMES, Inc., Counter-Defendant.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff: CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE, LLP

825 Eighth Avenue

New York, New York 10019

BY: KATHERINE B. FORREST, ESQUIRE

GARY A. BORNSTEIN, ESQUIRE

YONATAN EVEN, ESQUIRE

(Appearances continued.)

Reported By: Diane E. Skillman, CSR 4909, RPR, FCRR

Pamela Batalo-Hebel, CSR 3593, RMR, FCRR Raynee Mercado, CSR 8258 RMR, CRR, FCRR

TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

1			
2	For Plaintiff:		CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE, LLP
3			825 Eighth Avenue New York, New York 10019
4		BY:	LAUREN A. MOSKOWITZ, ESQUIRE JUSTIN C. CLARKE, ESQUIRE
5			W. WES EARNHARDT, ESQUIRE BRENDAN BLAKE, ESQUIRE
6			JIN NIU, ESQUIRE BRENT BYARS, ESQUIRE
7	For Defendant:		GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER
8			333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071
9		BY:	DAN SWANSON, ESQUIRE
10			CYNTHIA RICHMAN, ESQUIRE RACHEL BRASS, ESQUIRE
11			
12			GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP
13			2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 1100 Dallas, Texas 75201
14		BY:	VERONICA S. MOYE, ESQUIRE
15			PAUL WEISS RIFKIND WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
16			2001 K STREET, NW Washington, DC 20006
17		BY:	KAREN DUNN, ESQUIRE JESSICA E. PHILLIPS, ESQUIRE
18			
19	For Defendant:		PAUL WEISS RIFKIND
20			WHARTON & GARRISON LLP 943 Steiner Street
21		BY:	San Francisco, California 94117 ARPINE LAWYER, ESQUIRE
22			
23			
24			
25			

Defendant's Witnesses:	Page	Vol.
Cook, Timothy		
Direct Examination by Ms. Moye	3843	15
Cross-examination by Mr. Bornstein	3888	15
Redirect Examination by Ms. Moye	3963	15
Recross-examination by Mr. Bornstein	3976	15
Further Redirect Examination by Ms. Moye	3985	15
Further Redirect Examination by Ms. Moye	3995	15
(Sealed Examinations)		
Further Redirect Examination by Ms. Moye	3998	15
Further Recross-examination by Mr. Bornstein	4001	15
Further Redirect Examination by Ms. Moye	4008	15
Rubin, Aviel		
Cross-examination by Mr. Byars (continued)	4015	15
Redirect Examination by Mr. Lo	4025	15
Recross-examination by Mr. Byars	4026	15

1	Plaintiff's Exhibits:		Evd.	<u>Vol.</u>
2	0089		3854	15
3	1667		3976	15
4	1677		3894	15
5	1678		3943	15
6	1701		3958	15
7	1703		3983	15
8	1709		3960	15
9	1714		3985	15
10	2390		4003	15
11				
12	Defendant's Exhibits:	Withdrawn	Evd.	<u>Vol.</u>
13	4235		4025	15
14	4327		4045	15
15	5338	4052	4047	15
16	5573		3887	15
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

MS. MOYÉ: Good morning, Your Honor. Veronica Moyé 1 for Apple. 2 **THE COURT:** Good morning, Ms. Moyé. 3 **MS. YANG:** Good morning, Your Honor. Betty Yang for 4 5 Apple. **THE COURT:** Ms. Yang, welcome back. 6 7 MR. DOREN: Good morning, Your Honor. Richard Doren for Apple. And we are here with Kate Adams and Heather 8 Grenier and, of course, Mr. Schiller. 9 **THE COURT:** Okay. Good morning to each of you. 10 All right. 11 12 Mr. Spalding. **MR. SPALDING:** Good morning. Your Honor. 13 **THE COURT:** It only took me three weeks to get the 14 15 two of you right. I see Ms. Dunn back there. Good morning. 16 Who else do we have in the back? 17 18 **MS. MOYÉ:** Your Honor, we have our witness, Mr. Tim 19 Cook. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Cook, good morning. 21 MS. MOYÉ: We have Ms. Dunn, Karen Dunn; we have Kyle 22 Andeer from Apple; we have Kate Kaso-Howard from Apple; and we 23 have Lauren Dansey from Gibson Dunn, also for Apple. **THE COURT:** Okay. Good morning to each of you. 24 25 And let's see. We've got some additional folks in the

gallery. 1 So from the press, my notes show that we have Michael 2 Acton from MLex. 3 **MR. ACTON:** Good morning, Your Honor. 4 **THE COURT:** Good morning, Sir. 5 And then Dorothy Atkins from Law 360? 6 7 **MS. ATKINS:** Good morning. THE COURT: Good morning. 8 Betsy Manifold is back for the plaintiffs' counsel. 9 **MS. MANIFOLD:** Good morning, Your Honor. 10 **THE COURT:** Good morning. 11 All right. Then I see some additional folks. 12 MS. FORREST: Yes. Your Honor, I can -- since they 13 don't have mics, I can introduce them. 14 15 We have Brent Byars, who you remember from yesterday; and Jessica Choi, also working with Mr. Byars on the witness; 16 Lauren Kloss, our beachmaster; and then Justin Clarke, one of 17 18 our partners. 19 **THE COURT:** Okay. Well, we are going a little bit 20 extra on people today, but I'm going to use my discretion and 21 allow it. MS. MOYÉ: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 23 **THE COURT:** Good morning, Ms. Behringer. **MS. BEHRINGER:** Good morning, Your Honor. 24 25 **THE COURT:** That is our courtroom artist, who is

here, as well. 1 Okay. A few things to do before we get started. I have 2 3 my list. Ms. Forrest, do you have a list of things to address? 4 MS. FORREST: I do, Your Honor. And I have conferred 5 with Mr. Doren on these. 6 7 The first is just on the time sheet that we were given this morning. I wanted to confirm that we had, according to 8 9 the Court's numbers, 2 hours and 16 minutes left. That should 10 be -- 13 more minutes should be deducted from that because 11 we'll hand up now the final finding -- deposition designation binder, and when we added in some additional testimony from 12 Mr. Gray, it's 13 minutes. So we actually have, I believe, 2 13 hours and 3 minutes. 14 15 Apple's counter-designations to Mr. Gray were minor. They were 11 minutes, and so that brings them down to 6 hours and 16 38. 17 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 **MS. FORREST:** And we're prepared --20 THE COURT: Agreed? 21 **MR. DOREN:** Yes, Your Honor. 22 **MS. FORREST:** And we're prepared to hand up, then, 23 the final binder of the deposition designations. **THE COURT:** Great. I'm glad I did not take it 24 25 earlier.

1

Okay. Next.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FORREST: All right. The next is to then confirm for Your Honor that the -- or confirm with Your Honor that the expert direct testimony has now -- we would move the admission of all of it. It had been provisionally admitted previously. We have two, Athey and Cragg, where we are waiting for the final rulings, but subject to those final rulings, we would move for the admission of all of the experts on both sides.

MR. DOREN: And, Your Honor, obviously, we're fine with that, subject to the Court's rulings.

The only administrative matter is that the versions of our written directs before the Court still have highlighting on them for which we were waiting for evidence, and we'd like to submit clean copies to the Court either later today or over the weekend. But in terms of admission of the testimony, we have no objection.

THE COURT: Okay. I believe that that was part of the last filing of last night. This is Docket 725, which included additional exhibits, and then -- oh, no, these were depos. Okay.

So Docket 725 is -- is admitted just for purposes of the record, because I've already updated my exhibit list.

With respect to -- well, let me go back to the experts. In general, the request is fine, but I do want to -- I do want to address something else with respect to their testimony.

What else do you have?

MS. FORREST: All right. I have three other just sort of logistics and then one request.

On logistics, we've got the findings of fact that we've been giving to Your Honor --

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. FORREST: -- periodically.

We were wondering whether or not we could have a couple of extra days for the very final one so we could ensure that we've done a complete sort of cite check. And if we could submit the final final on Friday of next week or any other day that Your Honor would find acceptable, we would appreciate that. We'll be -- some people on our team will be traveling back to the East Coast, which is why we had selected Friday.

THE COURT: Yeah, I think that -- Mr. Doren?

MR. DOREN: Sure. Yes, Your Honor. In light of the

travel, we're fine with that.

THE COURT: I think that that's fine. I don't -- one of the reasons why -- why I ordered that you do it, in addition to the suggestion from my colleague, Jeff White, for whom I will give credit -- if you have any complaints, you can come to me; if you want to give credit, you go to him -- is because I think it has been helpful, at least with respect to the parties, in terms of teasing out particular issues while we were here in trial so we could address them.

At this point, having them on the 28th, which I think is a 1 2 week from today, the finals, is fine with me. What I am going 3 to do, though -- it's the start of a long weekend -- I'm going to order that you do them by noon on Friday. That way you're 4 5 forced to get them done and then you can take a break. **MS. FORREST:** Very much appreciated, Your Honor. 6 7 Actually, we will --**THE COURT:** Noon our time, which is 3:00 p.m. East 8 Coast time. 9 **MS. FORREST:** Very good. 10 And then two other logistics. We believe it would be 11 useful to have the parties confer and confirm the final list 12 of admitted exhibits. 13 **THE COURT:** Well, I wanted to do that today. 14 15 **MS. FORREST:** Okay. All right. 16 **THE COURT:** I want us to be on the same page with respect to that, so I'm hoping that we will finish at a 17 18 time -- but, again, I would like to have some junior lawyers 19 at the mics and we will go through my list. You let me know 20 if you have something different. But I also need to make sure 21 Ms. Stone is on the same page, etc. So we'll do that this afternoon. That was on my list. 22 23 **MS. FORREST:** The last logistic before the request is on trial transcripts. To the extent there are typos that are 24

not -- I wouldn't even call them typos -- more that a name

25

hand --

might be spelled differently or something of that nature that the court reporter might not have been able to anticipate, the parties could confer if there are any erratas to be submitted to the Court for approval, again, by Friday. I'm not sure there will be any, but that would allow us -- it's not to change testimony; it's really just to make sure we've got a clean transcript.

MR. DOREN: So long as they're fixing name spellings and things like that, that's fine with me, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And I'm not going to ask the court reporters to go back and change their work. It will just be on the docket for purposes of any appellate issues.

MS. FORREST: Yes.

And then lastly, Your Honor, Monday is the date for the closing with the back and forth. We've submitted an agenda. The cover note to the agenda asked if the Court had any particular issues that you wanted us to focus on, and if so, we would appreciate very much any direction. There is sort of a long list. You may have more interest in some than in others, and we would love to be able to focus appropriately.

THE COURT: Yes. It is an ambitious -- it's an ambitious agenda. I don't think we are going to get through it.

MS. FORREST: I have a copy if it would be helpful to

THE COURT: No, I remember it.

And the -- I think remedies would be interesting to hear about; issues relating to, obviously, the nature of the market. I don't need to hear too much with respect to Epic's approach in the sense that -- well, I guess as -- as Professor Schmalensee said, it's a tautology. Of course they have a monopoly if it's their thing, so that doesn't need much argument. The question is whether I accept that argument or not.

It is, under the law, the exception, not the rule. It is the rare case, not the obvious case, so I don't know if you want to talk about whether this should be a rare case or not.

Issues of substitutes I think are -- are interesting and will be something that I spend some time on.

The lack of competition on the 30 percent is something that is troubling. I don't put much weight on litigation moves, so, you know, I don't know that you want to spend a lot of time on those topics.

This is a dynamic market. Things are changing rapidly. I have a snapshot. We are at a point in time in a moving stream. So understanding what your perspectives would be for the Court's role in that dynamic environment, that would be interesting to me.

Those are questions that I might ask you if I wasn't forecasting right now.

It's also -- you know, there are a lot of facts that I've said I have to go back and check whether there's any substantiation for some of the positions that are being asserted, and I haven't had the -- I haven't had the time to do that, obviously.

Those are the things that come to mind.

MR. DOREN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I would be -- you know, I will let you all drive the conversation. I will push you faster through topics which are of less concern, and I will spend more time with you on things that are -- you know, that I'm debating right now in the back. But those are the things that come to mind right now.

MS. FORREST: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Doren, anything else from you?

MR. DOREN: Nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The one thing I wanted to talk about --

I'll circle back, Ms. Forrest, on the experts.

With respect to Cragg, the Spotify documents, Microsoft, the Lori Wright testimony, and then I understand I have a brand-new one on Professor Athey, I may not resolve those issues by Monday. And so what I would suggest is that -- I may end up resolving them in the context of a final order or as an adjunct to the final order.

I would suggest in your findings of fact and conclusions

of law that if you don't have a ruling for me or from me, that
you give alternatives; that is, that you didn't -- what you
didn't prove if I choose to strike it and what you did prove
if I don't.

Those things can be redacted. The testimony can be entered, given that these things are outstanding, in a redacted form, and if I allow it ultimately and you think it's important, then you can refile it in an unredacted form, but that way, at least we have the testimony in the docket and on the record.

There is -- I do want to put something on the record with respect to the sidebar that we had earlier in the week -- I have only done one -- because I said a couple of things to the two of you at sidebar that I think are equally relevant to the Spotify documents, and I want that in the record.

But out of respect for your request for a sidebar, I'm just going to do that -- that particular portion on the record under seal. We can do that at the end of the day. The rest I will do in written order.

Okay?

MS. FORREST: Very well.

MR. DOREN: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. It is 8:15. Apparently the media line is dead, so we are going to wait.

As I was driving in, I saw the cameras outside, clearly

not for me, although I wore a nice coat today. 1 **THE CLERK:** It's now back on. 2 **THE COURT:** It's now back on. 3 Mr. Cook, come on up, please. 4 MS. MOYÉ: Thank you, Your Honor. Apple calls 5 Mr. Tim Cook. 6 7 THE CLERK: I will have you remain standing. I will swear you in. 8 9 TIMOTHY COOK, called as a witness for the Defendant, having been duly sworn, 10 testified as follows: 11 **THE WITNESS:** I do. 12 **THE CLERK:** Please be seated. 13 **THE WITNESS:** Thank you. 14 15 **THE CLERK:** And then would you just be sure that mic 16 is kind of pointed under the shield, your face shield, and then please state your full name and spell your last name. 17 18 **THE WITNESS:** Timothy Donald Cook, C-O-O-K. 19 **THE COURT:** Okay, Mr. Cook, we can't hear you yet. 20 **THE CLERK:** Let me turn on your mic. 21 Okay. Now your mic is on. Sorry. 22 **THE COURT:** Go ahead. 23 **THE WITNESS:** Timothy Donald Cook, C-O-O-K. **THE COURT:** Good morning, sir. 24 25 **THE WITNESS:** Good morning.

1		THE COURT: All right. Let me just double check that
2	l've	got that line do we have that line working?
3		THE CLERK: Yes, they said it's working.
4		THE COURT: All right. Ms. Moyé, you may proceed.
5		MS. MOYÉ: Thank you, Your Honor.
6		DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	ВҮ	MS. MOYÉ:
8	Q.	Good morning, Mr. Cook.
9	A.	Good morning.
10	Q.	Can I bring you some water? You may need some water
11	duri	ng your testimony.
12		Your Honor, can I approach the witness?
13		THE COURT: You may.
14		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
15	ВҮ	MS. MOYÉ:
16	Q.	Mr. Cook, what is your current role at Apple?
17	A.	Chief executive officer.
18	Q.	And what are your responsibilities as chief executive
19	offic	eer?
20	A.	The overall direction and strategy of the company.
21	Q.	How long have you worked at Apple?
22	A.	Since 1998.
23	Q.	And under what circumstances did you come to work at
24	Арр	le?
25	A.	I was working at Compaq Computer at the time, and I got a

ca	l out of the blue that Steve had come back to Apple and was
es	sentially replacing the executive team, and he wanted to
tall	k to me about being the operations chief.
Q.	And are you referring to Mr. Steve Jobs?
A.	Yes, of course.
Q.	What other positions have you held at Apple, Mr. Cook?
A.	Senior vice-president of worldwide operations, executive
vic	e-president of sales and operations, and the chief
ор	erating officer.
Q.	And when did you become CEO?
A.	In 2011.
Q.	What kind of oversight do you have over the App Store?
A.	It's limited, obviously. In a review capacity is the way
۱w	ould refer to it.
Q.	Do you have a role with respect to strategic direction for
the	e App Store?
A.	I have a role in strategic direction of the company and so
to	some degree, but more on a review basis.
Q.	Can you describe for us, Mr. Cook, your education and
em	aployment history?
A.	Sure. Education is I have a Bachelor of Science in
inc	lustrial engineering from Auburn University and a Master of
Bu	siness Administration from Duke University.
	My career started at IBM. I worked there for about a
do	zen years and then went to a small company called

1	Inte	lligent Electronics in Denver for three years, and then to
2	Cor	npaq for a very short period of time before joining Apple.
3	Q.	And where did you grow up, Mr. Cook?
4	A.	In Robertsdale, Alabama.
5	Q.	Robertsdale, you said?
6	A.	Robertsdale.
7	Q.	Mr. Cook, how would you describe Apple's mission?
8	A.	It's to make the best products in the world that really
9	enri	ch people's lives.
10	Q.	And what do you do to try and meet that mission?
11	A.	We do a number of things. We invest like crazy in R&D.
12	We	ve invested a hundred billion dollars since since the
13	star	t of the iPhone development, and and that number is
14	just	accelerated. In fact, we've invested 50 billion in the
15	last	three years.
16		In addition to that, we have a maniacal focus on the user,
17	in d	oing the right thing by the customer. We integrate
18	hard	dware, software, and services, and we think that we do that
19	bett	er than anyone else.
20		We take a lot of the complexity of technology away from
21	the	user and make things simple, not complex.
22	Q.	Thank you, sir.
23		And what are the key commitments that Apple makes to its
24	cus	tomers?
25	A.	Simplicity, safety, security, privacy are key,

1	reliability, quality. You know, the things that make the best
2	products in the world.
3	Q. And why does Apple focus on security, safety, and privacy
4	in particular?
5	A. Well, privacy, from our point of view, is, you know, one
6	of the most important issues of the century, and safety and
7	security are the foundation that privacy is built upon.
8	And if you if you look at what's happened today,
9	technology has the ability to sort of vacuum up all kinds of
10	data from people, and we we like to provide people tools
11	to to circumvent that.
12	Q. And could you explain, why do you believe privacy is one
13	of the most important issues?
14	A. I think that in a world where you view that everybody is
15	looking at your every move, you wind up doing less over time.
16	And so it really it goes to our civil liberties as
17	Americans, and it really begins to affect your freedom of
18	expression.
19	Q. How does Apple go about ensuring it meets its safety,
20	security, and privacy commitments to its customers?
21	A. Lots of investment, a ton of R&D investment. Obviously we
22	build it in from the ground up, and so it's a core part of our
23	design process, not an add-on, sort of an after-the-fact kind
24	of thing.
25	And in the case of the in the case of the App Store, we

1	review every app that goes on the store.
2	Q. And why, sir, do you feel it's important to review every
3	app that goes on the store?
4	A. Because there can be malicious things that occur. There
5	can be things that vacuum up people's personal data. There
6	can be malware. You know, the list of parade of horribles
7	out there is pretty long of things that can happen.
8	Q. Are computer tools able to replace human assessment for
9	app review, in your view?
10	A. I don't think so. You know, I think it's important to
11	have both. But today, the it is despite the
12	advancements in machine learning, machine learning will not
13	address all of the issues on the App Store. It still needs
14	human judgment.
15	Q. Let's turn back to privacy for a moment. You mentioned
16	its importance.
17	Can you give us an example or two of how Apple has
18	invested to improve customer privacy.
19	A. Sure. This just just recently, we went live with
20	Application Tracking Transparency, where it puts the user in
21	control of whether they're being tracked across apps or not.
22	We have a privacy nutrition label on the App Store, which
23	is sort of a simple, at-a-glance way of seeing what data is
24	being collected and what it's being used for, much like a
25	nutrition label in the grocery store would tell you what

1	what is in some food and so forth.
2	We also, several years ago, had Intelligent Tracking
3	Prevention, which looks at your browsing traffic.
4	Q. And, sir, you mentioned that ATT, App Tracking
5	Transparency, was just introduced.
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. When was the privacy nutrition label introduced?
8	A. It was introduced last fall, I believe.
9	Q. What about ITP?
10	A. I believe around three to four years ago, so probably in
11	2017, the fall of 2017, I believe.
12	Q. How have developers responded to Apple's privacy
13	initiatives?
14	A. Some applaud it and some are not happy with with it.
15	Q. And what do you do when a developer disagrees with your
16	privacy initiatives?
17	A. Well, we listen. You know, we don't have a tin ear, but
18	we're making decisions in the best interests of the user. And
19	I I think it's important that that to know that
20	sometimes there is a conflict between what the developer may
21	want and what the user may want.
22	Q. And, sir, in your experience, how have consumers responded
23	to Apple's commitments to safety, security, and privacy?
24	A. Overwhelmingly positive. The number of notes I get about
25	the the actions we have taken are are truly, truly

unbelievably positive. 1 2 Q. Do you also conduct consumer surveys to get customer 3 feedback on these issues? A. We do. 4 5 Q. And what do those surveys show? Α. They would show that it's a very key factor, one of the 6 7 top factors of why people choose Apple. Q. Let's turn to the development of the iPhone and the App 8 9 Store. Did Apple's safety, security, and privacy commitments 10 impact development of the iPhone? 11 Oh, of course. When we launched the iPhone in 2007, there 12 A. 13 wasn't an App Store. And so the way that you would have -put an app on the phone was using a web app, instead of a --14 15 using the App Store. 16 And it wasn't until the following year that we figured out that we could implement such a process of app review that 17 18 would allow us to let native apps on there without having the 19 security and safety and privacy issues that go along with that 20 if you -- if you do it without a review. 21 Q. How, sir, did the iOS system for the iPhone compare to the preexisting macOS system when the iPhone was introduced? 22 23 Α. Well, it was different, you know. The -- of course, the internet existed when the iPhone was -- was brought out, and 24

so there was many more things you could do.

25

But the the the iPhone is a different design point
than the Mac, clearly. Mac came out in 1984, before much of
the technology was available, and the use cases for the Mac
obviously are different than that for a phone. You have a
phone in your pocket or your pocketbook most of the time, and
you want instant service.
And so we felt that the both the use cases and
eventually the threat profile would be much greater on the

And so we felt that the -- both the use cases and eventually the threat profile would be much greater on the iPhone because of the number of iPhones that would exist in the market.

- **Q.** And what steps did you take as a result of that view that the threat level would be higher for the iPhone?
- A. We created the app review process and -- and also put in an enormous amount of effort in the safety, security, and privacy efforts on the phone, including some of these things that I just talked about, like Application Tracking

 Transparency and the privacy nutrition label, etc.
- Q. And you mentioned web apps in your earlier answer.
 Were web apps available when the iPhone was introduced?
- A. Yes.

- **Q.** Were native apps -- that is, apps that used the iOS software -- could third parties produce native apps when the iPhone was introduced?
- A. No.
- Q. And at what point was that capability added, if you can

1	reca	III?
2	A.	It was one year later, in the I believe it was the
3	mido	dle of 2008.
4	Q.	And you referenced this earlier. Once Apple introduced
5	that	ability for third-party native apps, what steps did it
6	take	to ensure that it could continue to meet its commitments
7	to its	s customers?
8	A.	Well, we put in app review, and so we reviewed every app
9	that	went onto the store. And this was a combination of tools
10	and	and human review, because we care so deeply about
11	the -	- the safety, security, and privacy for our customers.
12	Q.	Is app review effective at protecting iPhone users, in
13	your	view?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	Do you have any data you can provide the Court on the
16	effec	ctiveness level?
17	A.	Well, you can see in third-party data that if you look at
18	the r	malware that's on iOS versus Android versus Windows,
19	it's -	- it's literally an off-the-chart level of difference.
20	Нор	efully, that's come out at some time across the the
21	coup	ple weeks here.
22	Q.	Sir, do you believe third parties can conduct app review
23	for th	ne iPhone as effectively as Apple can?
24	A.	No.
25	Q.	And can you explain why not?

1	THE COURT: Mr. Cook, what do you think the
2	third-party data shows? You personally, the difference.
3	THE WITNESS: It shows that the that from a
4	malware point of view, Your Honor, that there's about 1 to
5	2 percent of the malware is on the iPhone versus around 30 to
6	40 percent on Android and another 30 or 40 percent on Windows.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
8	THE WITNESS: It's quite a difference.
9	BY MS. MOYÉ:
_0	Q. Mr. Cook, we were talking about third parties, which the
1	Court just asked you about also.
_2	Do you believe, sir or can you explain why you believe
.3	third parties cannot conduct app review as effectively as
4	Apple?
.5	A. I I think they're not as motivated as Apple is. You
- 6	know, for us, the customer is everything. And we're trying to
_7	give a customer an integrated solution of hardware, software,
8	and services and deliver a brand promise of of privacy and
9	security and safety, and so I just don't think you replicate
20	that in a third party.
21	Q. Sir, if a well-qualified third party said that they would
22	commit to conducting a thorough review of apps for submission
23	on the App Store, would you agree to that?
24	A. No.

Is app review, in your view, a hundred percent effective

25

1	at k	eeping problematic apps off the store?
2	A.	No, it's not a hundred percent. It's not perfect. You
3	will	you will find mistakes being made.
4		But in the if you back up and look at it in the scheme
5	of th	nings, with 1.8 million or so apps on the store, we do a
6	real	ly good job.
7	Q.	What step do you from time to time learn that there are
8	prob	plematic apps on the store?
9	A.	Sure. I'm I get lots of reach-out from the public and
10	so f	orth, and if there's something on there, I do get a note
11	fron	n a developer or a customer, many times direct to me, and I
12	alwa	ays forward it on quickly for action in the company.
13	Q.	Let's look at, I believe, the first document in your
14	bind	der. That's PX0089.
15	A.	PX?
16	Q.	Sir, it's actually not the first document in your binder.
17	lt's f	further back, towards the end.
18		Let me know when you have that document.
19	A.	I have it.
20	Q.	And if you could look at the second page there.
21	A.	Yes, I see it.
22	Q.	And we see, it looks like, a note here to you from someone
23	outs	side the company?
24	A.	That's correct.
25	Q.	And would you explain what that individual is conveying.

1	A.	He's making a point that our discovery is not as good as
2	it sh	ould be, that we should improve it.
3	Q.	What is the date on this document, sir?
4	A.	June 8, 2015.
5	Q.	And if you would scroll up, you'll see a note from you.
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	And who was that note written to?
8	A.	It's written to Phil Schiller and Eddy Cue.
9	Q.	And your note is dated June 9th, 2015; is that correct?
10	A.	That's correct.
11	Q.	And what are you conveying in your note to them?
12	A.	That it is something that we need to improve upon.
13	Q.	And, sir, was there an effort made to make improvements as
14	a re	sult of this feedback you received?
15	A.	Yes, definitely. We were as a matter of fact, we were
16	alre	ady working on a series of things, and that's what you see
17	in th	e beginning of the note.
18		MS. MOYÉ: Your Honor, we would like to move PX0089
19	into	evidence.
20		THE COURT: No objection?
21		MR. BORNSTEIN: No objection, Your Honor.
22		THE COURT: Admitted.
23		(Plaintiff's Exhibit PX0089 received in evidence)
24	BY	MS. MOYÉ:
25	Q.	You said you were already in the midst of a program to

1	improve by the time you received this feedback; is that
2	correct?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And can you describe what that effort was?
5	A. It was it was a if I'm looking at this thing right,
6	we had a schedule that was going to time out in the fall and
7	over 2016 that would bring a number of different discovery
8	features to the to the App Store.
9	Q. Has Apple
10	THE COURT: Let me interrupt you.
11	I have two binders, but they're identical. So somehow
12	and I don't know if he has two identical binders, as well, but
13	somehow there is a
14	MS. MOYÉ: If I can approach the witness to
15	THE COURT: You may.
16	THE WITNESS: I only have one here, so I'm not sure
17	what these are.
18	THE COURT: I don't have the binder that you're
19	that has PX89 in it.
20	Oh, I see it.
21	MS. MOYÉ: It's at the back of the binder.
22	THE COURT: I see it now. But it's only one binder?
23	MS. MOYÉ: Yes, it's only one binder.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Sorry about that.
25	MS. MOYÉ: Sorry. I misdirected you. I thought it

Τ	was	at the beginning.
2	ВҮ	MS. MOYÉ:
3	Q.	We were talking about investments to improve the App Store
4	and	you mentioned this enhancement for discovery.
5		Has Apple made other investments to improve the app review
6	prod	cess in the App Store?
7	A.	Oh, many, many improvements over the course of the time
8	sinc	e the App Store launched in 2008.
9	Q.	Have Apple's investments in research and development
10	cha	nged over time, Mr. Cook?
11	A.	Massively.
12	Q.	Could you please take a look at the document DX4581 in
13	you	binder. And if you look at the page 3 of 70. It's
14	DX4	P581.003.
15		Could you identify this document for the record?
16	A.	It's our 10-K for the fiscal year 2020.
17		MS. MOYÉ: We would like to move DX4581 into
18	evid	ence.
19		THE COURT: It's already in.
20		MS. MOYÉ: It's already in. Thank you, Your Honor.
21	ВҮ	MS. MOYÉ:
22	Q.	Does the Form 10-K contain information reporting on the
23	leve	I of research and development investment?
24	A.	It does.
25	Q.	If you could turn to page 26. That's DX4581.026.

1		MS. MOYE: And if we could blow up the "Research and
2	Dev	relopment" line under "Operating Expenses."
3	ву	MS. MOYÉ:
4	Q.	Mr. Cook, could you tell us, how much did Apple invest in
5	rese	earch and development in 2018?
6	A.	14.2 billion.
7	Q.	And how much did it invest in research and development in
8	201	9?
9	A.	16.2 billion.
10	Q.	What was the percentage change in that investment between
11	201	8 and 2019?
12	A.	14 percent.
13	Q.	And what was the level of investment in 2020?
14	A.	18.8 billion.
15	Q.	And what was the level of change in that investment
16	betv	veen 2019 and 2020?
17	A.	16 percent.
18	Q.	Did the percentage of total net sales represented by that
19	inve	estment change from 2018 to 2020?
20	A.	Yes. It moved from 5 percent to 7 percent.
21	Q.	Did that level of research and investment benefit the App
22	Stor	re?
23	A.	Yes, of course.
24	Q.	Did you allocate exactly how much?
25	A.	We don't allocate like that. We instead of having, you

1	kno	w, many P&Ls throughout the company, we have one for the
2	full	company to prevent sort of the debate back and forth
3	abo	ut how we allocate costs and also to run the company in
4	in s	uch a way that optimizes the total instead of optimizes
5	the	different divisions.
6	Q.	Okay. We'll come back to that in a little bit.
7		Before we leave the app review process, though, I wanted
8	to a	sk, does Apple do anything to protect consumers and
9	dev	elopers after an app is published on the App Store?
10	A.	Sure. I mean, we have great feedback that goes on where
11	we v	would know whether something is going right or not.
12	Q.	Thank you, sir.
13		Let's talk a little bit about Apple's commission rate.
14		Has Apple's commission rate stayed the same over time?
15	A.	It's it's decreased.
16	Q.	And can you describe those decreases for us?
17	A.	Sure. Maybe I should back up for a minute.
18		About 85 percent of the apps on the App Store are free, so
19	ther	e's no there's no commission charged for those because
20	ther	e's not individual transactions going on.
21		The rest of those are either 15 or 30 percent. The the
22	one	s that are 15 are the second year and beyond in
23	sub	scriptions, which was a reduction from the initial
24	sub	scription price of 30 percent. And there's a Video
25	Par	tners Program that has a 15 percent rate.

	And then most recently, we also lowered the rate to 15		
per	percent from 30 for developers that have less than a		
\$1 r	\$1 million of revenue per year, and that turns out to be the		
vas	t majority of developers.		
Q.	When did Apple first start to consider the Small Business		
Pro	gram that you just referenced, the most recent commission		
redi	uction?		
A.	It probably has its origins from several years ago.		
Q.	And why did Apple decide to implement that program now?		
A.	What was in my mind at the time was I was very worried		
abo	ut COVID and the effect of COVID on small businesses in		
part	ticular.		
Q.	And did Apple consider litigation, regulatory issues when		
dec	iding to implement the Small Business Program?		
A.	It was it was, you know, things in my mind, sure. That		
was	in the back of my mind. But the primary reason was COVID.		
Q.	Does Apple consider litigation and regulatory issues when		
mak	king all business decisions?		
A.	Sure.		
Q.	What percentage, if you know, of developers on the App		
Sto	Store meet the criteria to pay the reduced 15 percent		
commission in the Small Business Program?			
A.	My recollection is that it's in the high 90s.		
Q.	And did you consider how the commission reduction in that		
Sma	all Business Program would impact revenue in the App Store?		

1	A.	I think I saw an analysis at the time that that
2	esti	mated the reduction in revenue that would take part
3	that	would take place.
4	Q.	Have competitors for the App Store responded to the
5	com	nmission reduction in the Small Business Program?
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	And how have they responded?
8	A.	I think Google also lowered it to 15 percent for the
9	dev	elopers underneath a million dollars, as one example.
10		I'm not sure about other app stores.
11	Q.	Have you gotten feedback from developers on the commission
12	stru	cture, the 15 percent/30 percent commission structure?
13	A.	Sure. People were universally pleased with the 30 to 15
14	perd	cent move on small developers. And, of course, I hear from
15	som	ne large developers that, Well, we'd like to pay less than
16	30.	
17	Q.	Let's talk a little about the App Store's impact.
18		What has been the App Store's overall impact, in your
19	viev	v, sir?
20	A.	I think it's been an economic miracle. When I think about
21	the	way it started, with just 500 apps, and then now at
22	1.8	million and the number of jobs that it's created in the
23	Unit	ted States, there's almost 2 million people in the U.S.
24	that	are around the iOS job economy. And the level of
25	com	nmerce in the U.S. is \$138 billion, according to one study,

and worldwide it's over half a trillion.

And so it's likely been sort of, y

And so it's likely been sort of, you know, one of the most important job segments out there, from a growth point of view, over the last decade.

- **Q.** How have the number of developers distributing on the App Store changed since the introduction?
- **A.** Oh, significantly. You know, we thought 500 was a really good number of apps in the beginning, and now you look at it with 1.8 million. I believe the number of developers behind that is over a million, if I remember correctly.
- **Q.** How has the share of revenue developers retain changed since the App Store was introduced?
- **A.** It's only gone down. It started with zero percent for the free, but 30 percent for everything else.

But with the change of subscriptions with the Video

Partners Program, with the Small Business Program, with the -things like the reader rule and the multiplatform rule, all of
these things drive down the price.

- **Q.** What about consumers? How has the App Store impacted them?
- A. I think it's given them an enormous level of innovation.

 You know, we have the -- the developers do some incredible work, and together with partnering with Apple, we're able to deliver the customer even more innovation and -- and more apps that enrich their lives.

1	Q. How have the prices consumers pay for software changed
2	since inception of the App Store?
3	A. Oh, they've definitely gone down significantly. Think
4	about the old world, where you'd buy a stretch route software
5	package in the local retailer. The commissions on that was 60
6	or 70 percent or so for the retailer, and now, of course, that
7	is very different.
8	But also because of the number of developers. And the
9	competition for developers has also driven the price of the
10	software down.
11	Q. How, in your view, has the Apple App Store performed as
12	compared to its competitors, similar app stores?
13	A. I feel great about how it's performed. I feel great
14	about I think it's a great opportunity for developers.
15	It's great for the user, you know, most importantly.
16	And and, as I said, the breadth of apps and the number
17	of things you can do with them, it's hard to envision any part
18	of your life that you can't have an app to help you out at
19	this point.
20	Q. How would you compare the output rate for apps on the App
21	Store to the output rate for apps on other stores operated by
22	others?
23	A. You know, I feel great about ours because it is a key
24	component in delivering the significantly lower malware on
25	our on our platform than others have.

1	Q.	Let's talk a little about IAP, in-app purchasing.
2		And Epic has raised claims against Apple based on its
3	in-a	pp purchasing functionality.
4		You're aware of that; is that right, sir?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	What is IAP?
7	A.	It's the in-app purchase that's a feature of the App
8	Stor	e.
9	Q.	Is it a payment processor?
10	A.	No. We have a payment processor, but it's called Apple
11	Pay	
12	Q.	Is there a fee for using IAP?
13	A.	No, there is no fee.
14	Q.	And to be clear to the Court, is the 15 percent or 30
15	perc	cent commission that we just discussed is that a payment
16	proc	cessing fee?
17	A.	No. No.
18	Q.	What is IAP's role with respect to the commission?
19	A.	IAP helps Apple efficiently collect the commission. The
20	com	mission is for a number of different things, from developer
21	tools	s to the APIs and to the customer service that's provided.
22	And	one of those things is obviously the payment processing
23	itsel	f.
24		But all of these things, it enables Apple to efficiently
25	do it	. If not for IAP, we would have to come up with another

1	system to invoice developers, which I I think would be a	
2	mes	SS.
3	Q.	Does the commission bear any relationship to Apple's
4	inve	estment in research and development?
5	A.	Yes. It provides a return on our our investment.
6	Q.	Would developers still have to pay a commission if there
7	was	no IAP?
8	A.	Yes, of course.
9	Q.	Now, one question that has come up a few times is about
0	dev	elopers' abilities to contact customers outside the App
1	Stor	re.
2		Can developers who have apps in the App Store contact
13	thei	r customers to encourage them to use other payment
4	met	hods?
.5	A.	Yes. They can do mass marketing, provided that the
6	cust	omer will let them have their email. You know, Apple
.7	can'	t provide the email from a privacy point of view, but if
_8	the	developer gets the customer to do that, they can they
_9	can	send them, along with all the other customers they've got,
20	mar	keting material.
21	Q.	Are developers allowed to include links in their app on
22	the	App Store directing customers to other payment options?
23	A.	No.
24	Q.	And why is that, Mr. Cook?
25	A.	Well, it would be akin to Apple down at Best Buy saying,

1	Bes	t Buy, put in a sign there where we are advertising that
2	you	can go across the street to the Apple Store and get an
3	iPho	one. It's the same kind of thing. If the effort goes in
4	to tr	ansacting with the customer, it seems like it ought to
5	hap	pen within the app.
6	Q.	Thank you.
7		I'd like to shift topics a little bit and talk about the
8	natu	re of competition that Apple faces.
9	A.	Sure.
10	Q.	Let's first talk about for mobile devices.
11		Does Apple face competition in the mobile device arena?
12	A.	It's fiercely competitive.
13	Q.	And who are some of your competitors?
14	A.	Samsung, Vivo, Oppo, Huawei, Google. There is a whole
15	list o	of different handset competitors. It's fiercely
16	com	petitive.
17	Q.	Does Apple have a dominant share in mobile devices?
18	A.	No, not at all. Worldwide we have about a 15 percent
19	shai	re. And in the United States, it's more than that. It's
20	mor	e in the high 30s or so, but clearly not a dominant share.
21	Q.	What is the dominant operating system for mobile devices?
22	A.	It's Android.
23	Q.	Let's talk about the App Store in particular.
24		What competition does the App Store face generally?
25	A.	Well, it faces competition from other app stores, whether

1	you'r	e talking about Google or Samsung or you know, pretty
2	much	all the device sites have an App Store.
3		It also from a developer point of view, it faces
4	comp	petition from all of those as well. And plus if you're
5	talkin	g about games in particular, you have to get into Xbox
6	and I	PlayStation and Nintendo Switch.
7		And so there's a long list of competition, both at the
8	deve	loper side and at the user side.
9	Q.	Focusing on game apps in particular, I think you mentioned
0	some	e of the competitors in your prior answer.
L1		Does do you regularly monitor activity on other
_2	platfo	orms with respect to game app transactions?
L3	A.	We look at that.
4	Q.	And you mentioned, I think, a couple. Let me ask you
L5	abou	t a few more.
L 6		Are you familiar with any streaming game services,
.7	Mr. C	Cook?
8	A.	Somewhat. I'm not a gamer, but I have a you know, a
_9	curso	ory view of it.
20	Q.	And do you consider those streaming game services
21	comp	petitors to the game apps in the App Store?
22	A.	Yes.
23	Q.	What about developers' own app stores, like the Epic Games
24	Store	e, would you consider that to be a competitor to the game
25	apps	in the App Store?

1	Α.	Sure.
2	Q.	And I think you mentioned consoles, Sony PlayStation,
3	Micı	rosoft Xbox.
4		Are those also competitors?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	Epic has repeatedly asserted before this Court that iPhone
7	use	rs are somehow locked in and are not able to switch from
8	iPho	ones to competitive mobile devices.
9		To your knowledge, Mr. Cook, does Apple track whether
10	use	rs switch to other smartphone competitors?
11	A.	We look at third-party data that does so.
12	Q.	Let me ask you to look at DX3084 in your binder.
13		MS. MOYÉ: Your Honor, I believe this document is
14	alre	ady admitted into evidence.
15		THE COURT: 3084?
16		MS. MOYÉ: Yes.
17		THE COURT: It is.
18		MS. MOYÉ: Thank you.
19	ВҮ	MS. MOYÉ:
20	Q.	Sir, could you identify the document for the record?
21	A.	It's a Kantar, who is a third party that does this type of
22	worl	k, survey type of work, survey for the U.S. market in the
23	third	I calendar quarter of 2020.
24		MS. MOYÉ: And, Your Honor, we have increased the
25	size	of one page in this report because it was very difficult

1	to read.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MS. MOYÉ: It's labeled 3084A in the binder.
4	THE COURT: Great. Thank you.
5	And in light of that, I'll admit 3084A, as well.
6	(Defense Exhibit 3084A received in evidence)
7	MS. MOYÉ: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	BY MS. MOYÉ:
9	Q. This is page .022 in that document.
10	A. I see it.
11	Q. Could you explain to the Court what this document conveys.
12	A. Yes. What it says is that in the this is from the
13	third calendar quarter of 2019 to the third calendar quarter
14	of 2020 by quarter. And it says that of the people that
15	purchased smartphones that had an iPhone that quarter, that
16	were coming from an iPhone, that between 12 percent to 26
17	percent of the people switched to Android, depending upon the
18	quarter.
19	Q. Does Apple make it difficult for consumers to switch
20	devices?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Is Apple making any efforts in that arena at all, the
23	switching arena?
24	A. We're making efforts to get Android people to switch to
25	us.

1	Q.	Of course.
2	A.	That's a very important task for us.
3	Q.	Have you heard of the Data Transfer Project?
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	Would you explain what that is to the Court?
6	A.	It's a group of companies, sort of the Google,
7	ours	selves, I believe Facebook is in there, that are working
8	toge	ether to make sure that data is can be easily
9	tran	sferred from service to service.
- 0		I think the first the first one that was being worked
1	on,	at least from our point of view, is photos. And so now
2	it's c	quite simple to move your photos from Apple to Google.
.3	Q.	How has the ability to switch between iOS devices and
4	And	roid devices changed over time, in your view?
.5	A.	It's gotten much easier because if you look at what people
6	are	doing now, streaming is a key piece of it, like streaming
.7	mov	ries and streaming music. And so it's easy to just
8	auth	nenticate on another on another device. And a lot of
_9	the	purchases of apps are in-app purchases and a lot of those
20	have	e their own authentication as well.
21	Q.	And is the Data Transfer Project an effort to make that
22	swit	ching even easier?
23	A.	It it is.
2.4	Q.	And just so we're clear, other than Apple, are Android
25	dev	elopers participating in that project?

1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q.	Thank you.
3		And during the case, we've also seen references to
4	doc	uments in documents to the terminology "sticky" and
5	asso	ertions that "sticky" means locking customers in.
6		THE COURT: Do I have documents with respect to this
7	Data	a Transfer Project?
8		MS. MOYÉ: Your Honor, they're not exhibits in the
9	reco	ord. There are public records on it, and we would be happy
. 0	to s	ubmit them. There is a public website announcing the
.1	proj	ect and the efforts that are being undertaken.
.2		THE COURT: Well, it's got to be in the record if I'm
.3	goir	g to consider it.
4		MS. MOYÉ: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
.5	ВҮ	MS. MOYÉ:
. 6	Q.	We were talking about the term "sticky."
.7		And have you heard that terminology used at Apple?
. 8	A.	Occasionally, but rarely.
9	Q.	What do you understand it to mean when it's used?
20	A.	It means to have such high customer satisfaction that
21	peo	ple don't want to leave.
22	Q.	Let me ask you to take a look at document PX0892. It's
23	alsc	in your binder. It is towards the back.
2.4	A.	Oh, thank you.
25	Q.	The third one from the end.

1	A.	Okay.
2		MS. MOYÉ: Your Honor, I believe this document is
3	alre	ady also in evidence.
4		THE COURT: Yes. I'm double checking.
5		It is.
6	ВҮ	MS. MOYÉ:
7	Q.	Could you identify the document for us?
8	A.	It's an email from Steve Jobs to the executive team at
9	that	time in 2010.
10	Q.	Were you
11	A.	And it
12	Q.	Sorry, sir.
13	A.	looks to be an agenda for a meeting.
14	Q.	And were you a member of the executive team at that point
15	in tiı	me?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	Okay. If you could look on at the bottom of that first
18	No.	1 item. There is language, "Tie all our products together
19	so v	ve further lock customers into our ecosystem."
20		Do you see that?
21	A.	I do.
22	Q.	What did you understand that to mean, sir?
23	A.	It means making all the products work so well together
24	peo	ple don't want to leave.
25	Q.	Is there, sir, in your view, anything Apple can do to lock

1	customers in to iOS devices?	
2	A.	Not that I'm aware of.
3	Q.	Let's look on the second page of that document. There's
4	alsc	reference to the terminology "sticky." I believe it's
5	und	er item 6.
6		What did you understand that entry to mean?
7	A.	Could you point me to the
8	Q.	It's item No. 6 on the second page. It says, "MobileMe,
9	Cue	e, SJ, Roger Rosner," on the top. It's also on your screen,
10	the	screen in front of you.
11	A.	Oh, thank you.
12		It's the same thing. It is to make the ecosystem have
13	suc	h high, great customer satisfaction that people don't want
14	to le	eave.
15	Q.	Thank you, sir.
16		And going back to switching for a moment, does Apple offer
17	tool	s that help customers switch from Android devices to iOS
18	dev	ices?
19	A.	Yes, we do.
20	Q.	Do you have an understanding as to whether Android device
21	mak	kers, say Samsung, have tools that assist customers in
22	swit	ching from Apple devices to Android devices?
23	A.	They do.
24	Q.	Let's look at another document, PX0416, also in the
25	bind	ler. It's right in front of this one. And if we could go

1	to the second page of this document actually, maybe the	
2	third	page of this document. There is some text in red.
3		And, again, Mr. Cook, you can see it on your screen, if
4	that	's a little bit easier for you.
5		There is language, "And the number one
6	mos	st-difficult-to-leave-the-Apple-universe app is iMessage."
7		Do you see that?
8	A.	I see it.
9	Q.	Do you agree with that, sir?
10	A.	No.
11	Q.	Do you have an understanding as to what this individual
12	was	conveying here?
13		MR. BORNSTEIN: Objection, Your Honor. Foundation.
14		THE COURT: Sustained.
15		MS. MOYÉ: Okay. I'll back up.
16	ВҮ	MS. MOYÉ:
17	Q.	Mr. Cook, if you could look at the first page of the
18	doc	ument. The top entry says, "From: Tim Cook."
19		Do you see that?
20	A.	I do.
21	Q.	Is this a document that you received in the ordinary
22	cou	rse of business?
23	A.	It is.
24	Q.	And did you review the language that I just referenced
25	whe	n you received it?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. Can you give us, then, your understanding of what
3	this individual was conveying.
4	MR. BORNSTEIN: Objection, Your Honor. Still
5	foundation.
6	THE COURT: He can testify as to his understanding.
7	He cannot testify as to what the actual meaning is of
8	Mr. Rogers in the email.
9	MS. MOYÉ: Yes, Your Honor.
10	BY MS. MOYÉ:
11	Q. Your understanding, Mr. Cook.
12	A. My understanding is what he is saying is that when he
13	switched from iPhone to an Android unit, he left his iMessage
14	working and and messages were going there, but he wasn't
15	getting them.
16	I think that means that the setup was done incorrectly
17	because you can easily turn off your iMessage.
18	Q. Do you believe, sir, that the availability of iMessage on
19	iOS devices has prevented customers from switching?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Is iMessage one of the highly ranked features of the
22	iPhone?
23	A. It's it's a I would say it's a really good feature.
24	Q. Can iMessage messages be transferred to an Android device
25	when a user switches?

1	A.	Yes.	
2	Q.	Now, let's go back to Apple's 2020 10-K. And I would like	
3	to ta	alk about some of the P&L and profitability issues that	
4	hav	e been raised with the Court.	
5		The 2020 10-K is DX4581.	
6	A.	4581.	
7	Q.	And if we go to page .022 in that document.	
8		Do you see information there for total net sales and net	
9	income for 2020, Mr. Cook?		
10	A.	Yes.	
11	Q.	Can you use that information to determine what Apple's	
12	profit margin was for fiscal year 2020?		
13	A.	Yes. If you divide the 57.4 billion by the 274.5, I	
14	believe, if my memory serves me correct, you get to 20.9		
15	percent.		
16	Q.	And, sir, do you consider any other profit margin in	
17	running the business?		
18	A.	It's not the way we do it.	
19	Q.	And does Apple prepare fully burdened P&L statements for	
20	today's business units		
21	A.	No.	
22	Q.	in the ordinary course of business?	
23	A.	No.	
24	Q.	Can you explain why not?	
25	A.	Well, because, first of all, allocation of costs, of joint	

1	costs, are very difficult to do, and it's open for debate
2	about how to do it. And so you would wind up getting the
3	company focused on arguing between the different areas about
4	where costs should be and it would be totally unproductive, in
5	my mind.
6	Steve actually did this when he came back to the company.
7	If I remember correctly, he told me a story once that he found
8	Apple losing a billion dollars a year but all of the divisions
9	all making money. And so you can imagine what he then did.
10	He just he blew it up.
11	And I've never wanted to go back to that point. We just
12	always kept it as one.
13	Q. Has the practice of looking at the company's profitability
14	overall enhanced the company's abilities to compete, in your
15	view?
16	A. I think so, because it means that we spend more time
17	focusing on customers and not focusing on each other.
18	Q. Has Apple ever attempted to determine the specific
19	profitability of the App Store as a stand-alone business unit?
20	A. No.
21	Q. Do you believe the App Store is profitable?
22	A. Yes, I do.
23	Q. Are you able to give us a specific number on

No. We haven't done that, but, you know, I have a feel,

profitability?

24

25

1	if you will.
2	Q. The Court has heard some testimony from one of Epic's
3	experts, a Mr. Barnes, about his interpretation of certain
4	documents. And I'd like to turn to that now, starting with
5	PX2385 in your binder.
6	MS. MOYÉ: Your Honor, the Court has admitted this
7	into evidence and has granted our motion to seal. I would
8	just like to ask some general questions about the document now
9	on the public record, and we can go into a sealed session
10	later if there's a need for detailed examination.
11	THE COURT: That's fine.
12	BY MS. MOYÉ:
13	Q. So let's look at 2385, and if we could look at .6 in
14	particular.
15	MS. MOYÉ: Do we have that up on the screen, page 6?
16	THE COURT: So you want it on the screen?
17	MS. MOYÉ: Yes, please. I'm sorry. That's just the
18	cover page. I'm sorry. Don't get alarmed.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. No, I'm looking at it.
20	MS. MOYÉ: There is no language on it that is
21	problematic, but let's not put it on the screen.
22	BY MS. MOYÉ:
23	Q. Tell us, sir there's a description of the document.
24	What was the purpose of this presentation?
25	A. I believe it was a one-off presentation that looked at

1	prof	itability trends over over time.
2	Q.	Were you attempting to compare the relative profitability
3	of y	our products and services in this document?
4	A.	Well, we didn't try to allocate costs, as you can probably
5	tell	from the document itself. It's later in the document,
6	it m	akes it clear that that was not done. And so it has a
7	limit	ted use to it.
8	Q.	And you mentioned it was used to look at trends.
9		Can you explain that to the Court?
10	A.	Well, if you if you don't allocate, like we don't, and
11	you compare the numbers year to year to year, you can	
12	draw certain inferences to those, as long as that allocation	
13	methodology is the same.	
14	Q.	Were documents like this PX2385 routinely prepared at
15	Арр	le?
16	A.	This is the only one that I recall.
17	Q.	And what is the date of this document?
18	A.	It is September of 2019.
19	Q.	Does this document include an assessment of the App
20	Stor	re's profitability, sir?
21	A.	No. Again, we don't do profitability at that level.
22	Q.	And Epic's expert, Mr. Barnes, testified that it showed
23	ope	rating margins fully burdened operating margins for the
24	Арр	Store.
25		Is that correct, sir?

1	A.	No.
2	Q.	Let's look specifically at page .8.
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	Without going into any of the specifics, sir, I would just
5	like	you to explain what is on this page.
6		Starting first with just the number the revenue
7	cap	tion, what is captured there, again without going into the
8	deta	ail for the document?
9	A.	Right. It it shows a revenue by product and some of
10	the	services and then sort of a catch-all categories, I
11	gue	SS.
12	Q.	And the gross margin?
13	A.	Same thing, but but at the gross margin level.
14	Q.	What about opex?
15	A.	It shows an opex for the company that's in the sort of
16	the	total, and then it shows only sort of an unallocated opex
17	met	hodology under it.
18	Q.	Does the number for the App Store in the "opex" column
19	inclu	ude all of the expenses associated with the App Store?
20	A.	No, not at all.
21	Q.	Do you have an understanding of, in a general sense, which
22	cate	egories of expenses are included in the opex as reflected
23	here	e?
24	A.	This would only be some of the very direct kind of costs.
25	Like	app review would be in here, as an example.

1	Q.	Operating margin on this document
2	A.	Is just the simple arithmetic of gross margin minus opex.
3	Q.	Does this document convey an operating margin for the App
4	Stor	re on a fully burdened basis after taking account of all of
5	its c	osts?
6	A.	It does not.
7	Q.	Let's look at page .24 in the document.
8		Does this page, sir, in your opinion, relate to the
9	allo	cation issue we were just discussing?
10	A.	It does. You can see here clearly that the R&D and SG&A
11	allocation to services is very, very small. And so it it's	
12	just a reminder that this that's not the purpose of the	
13	analysis.	
14	Q.	And is there an entry in this document for the overall
15	ope	x for the App Store?
16	A.	There's not.
17	Q.	And on this opex product allocation page, is there an
18	entr	y for opex to the App Store?
19	A.	There is not.
20	Q.	Who reviewed this document, sir?
21	A.	It was a limited audience. My recollection is it would
22	hav	e been and if I look at the what reminds me is
23	look	ring at the distribution list of this document. It was the
24	CFC	O and I and some of the CFO staff.
25	Q.	Did you share this document with any of the business unit

1	lead	lers?
2	A.	No. Again, it it has a limited purpose to it, so I
3	don	't believe any business leaders saw it.
4	Q.	Did you use the information in this document to make any
5	bus	iness decisions?
6	A.	No.
7	Q.	Let's look at two more pages of the document. Let's look
8	at p	age .13.
9		Do you see a reference to the App Store there?
10	A.	I do.
11	Q.	Are the operating margin numbers reported there fully
12	burd	dened operating margins for the App Store as if it were a
13	star	nd-alone business unit?
14	A.	They are not.
15	Q.	Do the margins that are reported here for the App Store
16	refe	r to the iPhone App Store as well as the Mac App Store?
17	A.	They do.
18	Q.	Now, let's look at another document the Court has heard
19	som	ne testimony about. This is PX2392.
20		MS. MOYÉ: This is similar, Your Honor. It's been
21	sea	led, and I just want to ask some general questions about
22	it.	
23		THE COURT: Proceed.
24		MS. MOYÉ: I believe it has been admitted also,
25	You	r Honor.

Т	THE COURT: IL Has.		
2	BY MS. MOYÉ:		
3	Q. Sir, can you explain what this document is?		
4	A. It is a appears to be, like, a benchmarking exercise		
5	from looking at reported operating margins from companies		
6	that that would report for their full company, and then		
7	and then overlaying that to this unallocated view of OP margin		
8	for Apple.		
9	Q. Does this document contain information about the App		
10	Store's profitability?		
11	A. It does not.		
12	Q. And what are the margins referenced for the App Store in		
13	this document?		
14	A. They are arrived at, I believe, by just taking the sum of		
15	the direct opex and subtracting that from gross margin. So		
16	it's a very it's not fully loaded, as you point out.		
17	Q. Is the operating margin reported here higher than it would		
18	be if there had been a fully loaded exercise?		
19	A. Yes, definitely.		
20	Q. Let's look at page 2392.3. And, again, just some general		
21	questions about it.		
22	You mentioned that there is a comparison of margins for		
23	other companies, and I see companies like Netflix there.		
24	Are the margins for a company like Netflix directly		
25	comparable to the margins for a business like the App Store?		

1	A.	No.
2	Q.	And can you explain why not?
3	A.	Well, because Netflix's number would be their their
4	real	total company-reported 10-K or 10-Q kind of number,
5	depe	ending upon the year, where our number on here actually,
6	I dor	n't see Apple on here, Apple, Inc. Apple Apple, Inc.,
7	is no	ot plotted here.
8		But if it were on here, you could then compare Apple,
9	Inc.,	to Netflix by its total company. But when you take the
0 .	oper	rating margin as stated here, which only includes partial
1	of th	e allocation, it it has limited meaning.
_2	Q.	Are you familiar with the type of accounting that one
.3	woul	d use if they were trying to account for the App Store as
4	a se	parate business unit?
.5	A.	I'm aware of different ways to do it if we wanted to do
6	that.	
17	Q.	Are you familiar with the term "agency accounting" or "net
8	basi	s accounting"?
9	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	Would you explain what that is, sir.
21	A.	Yeah. In a in an agency model, the in this case, as
22	an e	xample, as the App Store, the developer sets the price.
23	Whe	en the developer sets the price, we, Apple, only book the
24	net r	evenue. And so in a case where there's 15 percent
2.5	com	mission, we would only book the 15 percent.

In a regular accounting model, obviously, you would book			
the if it's a dollar, you would book a dollar, and then you			
would show the cost of the of the developer, which is 85			
percent in this case or 85 cents, going to the developer. And			
so it it's a consequence of accounting that isn't that			
obvious or intuitive.			
Q. And in general, if one were comparing a company that			
reports on that agency or net basis and one that reports in			
what you said is the by-sale or regular basis, how would the			
margins compare for the same underlying economic facts?			

A. Well, you couldn't compare them, really. They would not be comparable. You would have to go back and account for things in the same manner in order to make the numbers comparable.

When you book on a net basis, it has the effect of increasing the margin obviously because you're taking the bulk of the costs out and not showing it.

Q. Understood, sir.

And then I would just like to turn to an issue that the Court actually raised with us this morning, and it's the nature of the relief that Epic seeks.

Epic, in this case, seeks an order requiring Apple to permit sideloading of unreviewed apps on the iPhone and to permit alternative app stores that would offer apps that have not been reviewed by Apple.

1	What, sir, would be the consequences of such an order, in
2	your view?
3	A. I think it would be terrible for the user because if you
4	look at it today, we reviewed a hundred thousand or so apps a
5	week and reject about 40,000 for different reasons. You can
6	imagine if you turn review off how long it would take the App
7	Store to just become a toxic kind of a mess. And that would
8	be terrible for the user.
9	It would also be terrible for the developer because the
10	developer depends on the store being a safe and trusted place
11	where customers want to come and feel good about transacting.
12	Q. What about Apple's IP rights? What impact would such a
13	ruling have on Apple's IP rights?
14	A. It it I this is probably more of a legal
15	question, but it seems like it would be forcing us to license
16	our IP, and I can't imagine that.
17	Q. Does Apple license its iOS?
18	A. No.
19	Q. What would be the impact on Apple's ability to meet its
20	commitments to its customers, the safety, security, and
21	privacy commitments we talked about at the outset?
22	A. We could no longer make the promise because the the
23	if you think about how we make the promise of safety,
24	security, and privacy, a large part of that is depends on
25	this app review. And we believe customers want that. I know

_	
1	they do because they tell me that.
2	Q. Epic also asked the Court to enter an order that provides
3	Apple can no longer require developers to use IAP for
4	purchases of digital goods.
5	What would be the consequences of that ruling?
6	A. Well, it would wind up where customers would then have to
7	place their credit card in all of these different for all
8	of these different apps, and so it would be a huge convenience
9	issue but also the fraud risk would go up dramatically if you
10	are taking your credentials and putting them in numerous
11	times.
12	Also, we'd have to come up with an alternate way of
13	collecting our commission. And I strongly believe that IAP is
14	the most efficient way to collect it because you would then
15	have to figure out how to track what's going on and invoice it
16	and then chase the developer. It seems like a a process
17	that doesn't need to exist to me.
18	Q. Thank you, sir.
19	Then I would just like to go back to the Data Transfer
20	Project that the Court inquired about.
21	MS. MOYÉ: Your Honor, may I approach the witness to
22	provide an exhibit?
23	THE COURT: Yes, you may.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

24

25

1	BY MS. MOYÉ:	
2	Q. Mr. Cook, can you take a look at that and tell us whether	
3	this is the public report about the Data Transfer Project you	
4	mentioned earlier in your testimony?	
5	A. It is.	
6	MS. MOYÉ: And, Your Honor, this is marked as DX5573.	
7	We would like to admit it into evidence.	
8	THE COURT: Any objection?	
9	MR. BORNSTEIN: One moment, if I could, Your Honor.	
10	I'm seeing it for the first time just now.	
11	No objection.	
12	THE COURT: Admitted.	
13	(Defense Exhibit DX5573 received in evidence)	
14	MS. MOYÉ: Thank you.	
15	Your Honor, we have a small amount of sealed testimony.	
16	We would propose that we pass the witness for public cross	
17	now, and then the parties can move into a sealed proceeding,	
18	if necessary.	
19	THE COURT: All right.	
20	Mr. Bornstein, cross.	
21	MR. BORNSTEIN: Your Honor, may Ms. Kloss approach	
22	with a binder?	
23	THE COURT: She may.	
24	All right. Mr. Bornstein, you may proceed when you're	
25	ready.	

1		MR. BORNSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
2		And I, too, have some material that I am going to try to
3	do in the public record with Mr. Cook, if everyone is careful	
4	abo	ut saying numbers out loud.
5		THE COURT: I'm sure he is sensitive to that.
6		CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	ВҮ	MR. BORNSTEIN:
8	Q.	Mr. Cook, nice to see you again
9	A.	Good to see you.
10	Q.	in person this time.
11		I understand from the press it's your first time
12	testifying in court?	
13	A.	It is.
14	Q.	Welcome.
15	A.	Thank you.
16	Q.	You should have, I think, a number of binders now in front
17	of y	ou.
18	A.	I have two.
19	Q.	Okay. Well, do you also still have the binder that
20	Ms. Moyé gave you?	
21	A.	I do. I just set it back there.
22	Q.	Okay. Can I ask you to take a look at that one to start,
23	plea	ase.
24		And in that binder, there's a document labeled PX0089 that
25	Ms.	Moyé asked you about.

1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	Great.
3		And this was a document that related to discovery on the
4	Арр	Store; correct?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	And in the email at the top of the page from Mr. Fischer
7	you	noted that there was some discussion about improvements to
8	disc	overy that Apple was considering planning at this time;
9	corr	ect?
-0	A.	Yes.
L1	Q.	And Mr. Fischer notes that there are some exciting
2	announcements that he would like to be able to make at WWDC in	
L3	2010	6.
4		Do you see that?
L5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	And those were announcements about the enhancement of
_7	disc	overy; correct?
8	A.	Yes. I believe so.
. 9	Q.	And the big announcement at WWDC 2016 about the
20	enha	ancement of discovery was search ads, wasn't it?
21	A.	I don't recall when search ads were announced.
22	Q.	Search ads were
23	A.	Somewhere around that time period.
24	Q.	Yeah. Search ads are a feature that Apple introduced so
25	that	developers can pay in order to achieve discovery on the

App Store; correct? 1 A. Yes. 2 3 Q. And so the big announcement that everyone was working towards here to make things better for developers was another 4 5 way for Apple to make money off of discovery; correct? No. I believe there were --6 Α. 7 Q. So your answer is no. Thank you. So when you said in your email, "We need to do something 8 9 to make discovery better," what happened is the very next WWDC, Apple announced search ads so that developers then have 10 to pay in order to get their own apps discovered in a search 11 12 on the App Store. Isn't that what happened, the sequence of events? 13 I believe we also announced the "Today" tab, which also 14 Α. did editorial and really -- really launched a lot of apps 15 16 through the editorial. 17 Q. Together with search ads that require people to pay to 18 have their own app appear near the top of the search list; 19 correct? 20 A. We have one paid slot for ads. 21 Q. And that was announced in 2016; correct? A. I don't know that 2016 on that one. 22 23 Q. So we had earlier in the week, sir, a number of -- excuse me, last week -- time flies -- a number of economists come in 24 25 and talk about your business. So I would like now to have the

1	opportunity to ask you a question or two about your business
2	instead.
3	And one of the things the economists have been debating,
4	as the Court mentioned this morning, is market definition.
5	So let me ask you directly, sir, does Apple compete
6	against Google in operating systems?
7	A. We compete against their devices that they enable, and so
8	we compete against Samsung and LG and
9	Q. So your testimony is that you do not compete against
10	Google in operating systems or you do, sir?
11	A. We obviously benchmark them, but customers don't buy
12	operating systems; they buy devices.
13	Q. All right. Do you recall giving an interview at the
14	Berkshire Hathaway shareholders meeting in 2019, sir?
15	A. I don't.
16	Q. All right. Let's see if we can refresh your recollection.
17	MR. BORNSTEIN: Mr. Rudd, can we please play the clip
18	we have marked as PX1721 for Mr. Cook.
19	(Video clip played as follows:)
20	"We compete on the operating system side with with
21	Google and Microsoft. We compete in the hardware space with
22	Samsung and Huawei and many other prominent Chinese companies
23	in particular."
24	BY MR. BORNSTEIN:
25	Q. So, Mr. Cook, my question for you is, was that you on the

1	video saying, "We compete against Google on the operating		
2	syst	system side"?	
3	A.	It sure looked like me.	
4	Q.	Great. Sounded like you, too, sir.	
5		You gave some testimony this morning about profitability,	
6	quite	e a bit of it; correct?	
7	A.	Yes.	
8	Q.	Okay. And you've testified that Apple does not maintain a	
9	sepa	arate P&L for the App Store; correct?	
- 0	A.	Correct.	
1	Q.	And you've testified that Apple doesn't go through the	
2	cost allocation process to know what the profitability of the		
.3	Арр	Store is; correct?	
4	A.	Correct.	
.5	Q.	Can we at least agree that you know the revenues for the	
6	Арр	Store?	
.7	A.	Yes.	
8	Q.	Okay. You track that information?	
_9	A.	Yes.	
20	Q.	And you personally receive reports on revenue, correct, of	
21	the <i>i</i>	App Store?	
22	A.	Yes.	
23	Q.	All right.	
24		Now, you were invited, sir, to a Senate hearing last month	
25	that	was chaired by Senator Klobuchar; correct?	

1	A.	No.
2	Q.	Apple was invited to go to the hearing; correct?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	And the subject of that hearing was examining competition
5	in ap	op stores; is that accurate?
6	A.	I believe so.
7	Q.	And you didn't go; Mr. Andeer, an in-house Apple lawyer,
8	atte	nded on behalf of the company; is that correct?
9	A.	That's correct.
. 0	Q.	And when I say "attended," I believe he testified
.1	rem	otely; is that accurate?
.2	A.	He did.
. 3	Q.	And do you remember that Mr. Andeer was asked about App
4	Stor	e revenues at that hearing?
. 5	A.	I don't remember that.
. 6	Q.	All right. Let's see if we can refresh your recollection
.7	on tl	nat one, as well.
. 8		MR. BORNSTEIN: Mr. Rudd, can we please play the clip
. 9	of M	r. Andeer's testimony.
20		THE COURT: Do I have
21		MR. BORNSTEIN: What's that, Your Honor?
22		THE COURT: Is this identified?
23		MR. BORNSTEIN: Yes. This is going to be PX1677,
2.4	You	r Honor.
25		And to that end, I'd like to move into evidence the

1	prior the prior clip that we played of Mr. Cook, which was
2	marked and we will provide to the Court, of course. That one
3	was marked as PX1721.
4	THE COURT: 1721 is admitted.
5	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1721 received in evidence)
6	THE COURT: 1677 will be.
7	Go ahead.
8	MR. BORNSTEIN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
9	(Video clip played as follows:)
10	"Senator Klobuchar: Do you know how much revenue it
11	generated last year? I mean, it's relevant if we were talking
12	about a monopoly situation.
13	"Mr. Andeer: Senator, understood. You know, when we look
14	at the App Store, it's not a separate, stand-alone business
15	for us. It's an integrated feature of our devices. And so we
16	don't have a separate profit and loss statement for the App
17	Store."
18	MR. BORNSTEIN: So, Your Honor, I would move 1677
19	into evidence, please.
20	THE COURT: Admitted.
21	(Plaintiff's Exhibit PX1677 received in evidence)
22	MR. BORNSTEIN: Thank you.
23	BY MR. BORNSTEIN:
24	Q. Mr. Cook, Mr. Andeer gave the same statement that you did,
25	that there is no separate profit and loss statement for the

1	App	Store; correct?
2	A.	Sounded like it.
3	Q.	But he did not give the revenue information that the
4	Sen	ator asked for, did he?
5	A.	Not in that clip.
6	Q.	And do you understand he did it in some other part of the
7	hea	ring?
8	A.	I I don't know.
9	Q.	Okay.
10		Now, we've seen the documents that you testified with
11	Ms.	Moyé about, this one-off presentation that you received,
12	correct, about profitability of the App Store and other	
13	seg	ments of your business?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	All right. I'd like to ask you to take a look at that.
16	And	I I'm going to give you a choice, sir. It's in my binder
17	and	it's in the one that Ms. Moyé gave you, whichever one
18	you	'd like to look at. It's labeled PX2385.
19		Do you have it?
20	A.	I do.
21	Q.	Oh, great. Thank you.
22		Okay. Now, first of all, this document begins with an
23	ema	ail that is directed to you and Mr. Maestri.
24		Do you see that?
25	A.	I do.

1	Q.	And Mr. Maestri is your chief financial officer?
2	A.	He is.
3	Q.	And the individual who sent this email I fear I might
4	boto	ch her first name, so I will just say it's Ms. Casey?
5	A.	Saori Casey.
6	Q.	Thank you.
7		And she is someone who works with Mr. Maestri in your
8	fina	nce department; is that right?
9	A.	She is.
0	Q.	And she heads the corporate FP&A group; is that right?
L1	A.	That's correct.
2	Q.	That's corporate financial planning and analysis?
.3	A.	That's right.
4	Q.	Okay. And it was Ms. Casey who sent this information to
.5	you	; is that right?
- 6	A.	Yes.
_7	Q.	And you had a meeting with Ms. Casey and others from the
8 .	fina	nce organization about this document that we're looking
9	at; is	s that right?
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	I'd like to just go back and look at some of the portions
22	of th	ne document that you discussed with Ms. Moyé.
23		First of all, you were looking with her at page .12. Can
24	you	go there, please?
25		Do you have .12?

1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	Great.
3		And on this page and I'll do my best not to say to
4	say	numbers out loud but on this page
5	A.	Thank you.
6	Q.	Ms. Casey and her team at corporate financial planning
7	and	analysis came up with what she's titled "Fiscal Year '20
8	Serv	vices Summary." Yes?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	And it breaks out into different quarters of this document
11	reve	enue, gross margin, operating expenses, and operating
12	mar	gin for different services at Apple; correct?
13	A.	Correct.
14	Q.	Including the App Store?
15	A.	Yes. App Store is listed.
16	Q.	All right. And this is a a document that was prepared
17	for p	ourposes of a meeting with you and Mr. Maestri; correct?
18	A.	Yes, I assume so.
19	Q.	Okay. And your testimony about these materials is that
20	they	were not representing a fully burdened P&L is that
21	accı	urate?
22	A.	Yes, that's correct.
23	Q.	Okay.
24		Now, you have not reviewed the expert report from
25	Mr.	Barnes that Ms. Moyé referred to, have you?

1	A.	I have not.
2	Q.	So you don't know what methodology he used to come to the
3	con	clusion that these were, in fact, fully burdened numbers,
4	do y	ou?
5	A.	I do not.
6	Q.	Okay.
7		Are you aware that he took account of the agency model
8	acc	ounting issue that you described with Ms. Moyé this
9	mor	ning?
10	A.	I do not.
11	Q.	And are you aware that he was able to tie out these
12	numbers to publicly-reported Apple financials?	
13	A.	I'm not.
14	Q.	Okay.
15		Can I ask you to look at the next page of the document,
16	.13,	please.
17		Do you have that?
18	A.	I do.
19	Q.	Okay.
20		Now, .13 is titled "Profitability Summary"; correct?
21	A.	Yes.
22	Q.	And it has on the right side of the document at the top a
23	cha	rt titled "Services Operating Margin Percentage."
24		Do you see that?
25	A.	Yes.

1	Q.	And it has a number there, that I won't read out loud, for
2	the <i>i</i>	App Store; correct?
3	A.	It does.
4	Q.	And it tracks that over a period of five years?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	Okay. And, again, your view is these are not fully
7	burc	lened numbers; correct?
8	A.	That's correct.
9	Q.	Okay. And I believe it was your testimony that Apple
. 0	does	sn't allocate costs to be able to assess profitability;
.1	corr	ect?
.2	A.	We don't allocate we fully don't allocate costs,
. 3	corr	ect.
4	Q.	Okay.
.5		Now, if you look at the bottom of the document, it says in
- 6	the	center there, "Based on Method 2 for allocation opex."
.7		Do you see that?
. 8	A.	I do.
9	Q.	Now, Method 2 is not actually described here on this page,
20	is it?	
21	A.	It is not.
22	Q.	Okay. And if you take a look at each of the next several
23	pag	es of the document, you will see it again says that the
24	alloc	cation that's being done here is based on Method 2.
25		Do you see that?

1	A.	I do.
2	Q.	So your corporate financial planning and analysis group
3	doe	s have, I guess, at least two methods, maybe more, for
4	allo	cating operating expenses; correct?
5	A.	I assume so.
6	Q.	Okay. And they're so it is a methodology that is used
7	suffi	ciently frequently, apparently, that when Ms. Casey
8	pres	sented it to you, she just listed "Method 2" without
9	furth	ner elaboration; correct?
10	A.	I think the chart that shows the allocation methodology is
11	the	is the wave chart that we were looking at earlier.
12	Q.	Right. You are talking about .24, sir?
13	A.	Let me take a look and make sure we're in sync.
14		Yes.
15	Q.	And you can see, sir, on .24 down at the bottom, your
16	corp	porate financial planning and analysis group again just
17	said	"based on Method 2"; correct?
18	A.	Yes. So I think it shows Method 2 here.
19	Q.	But you don't know that because you're not aware of what
20	Met	hod 2 is and you're interpreting the document now on the
21	star	d; is that right?
22	A.	I'm interpreting the document.
23	Q.	Okay.
24		Let's take a look at .18, please. And you looked at this
25	one	with Ms. Moyé, as well.

1		Do you recall that?	
2		You looked at this one with Ms. Moyé, as well. Do you	
3	reca	recall that, sir?	
4	A.	I'm not sure we looked at this one18?	
5	Q.	18. 18.	
6	A.	.18. Yeah, I'm there.	
7	Q.	Okay. Great.	
8		And this one has over on the left side in the middle,	
9	aga	in, operating margin numbers for the App Store broken out	
10	sepa	arately; correct?	
11	A.	It does.	
12	Q.	And you were asked a question about whether this included	
13	both	the iOS App Store and the Mac App Store.	
14		Do you recall that?	
15	A.	Yes.	
16	Q.	And I don't know if you're going to tell me that this is	
17	con	fidential and we need to do it in sealed session, but what	
18	port	ion of the revenues associated with the App Store come	
19	from	from the Mac App Store versus the iOS App Store?	
20		MS. MOYÉ: Objection, Your Honor. That calls for	
21	info	information that has been sealed and needs to be done in a	
22	sea	ed session.	
23		MR. BORNSTEIN: Okay, Your Honor. I will ask	
24	may	be given Your Honor's rulings about sealing, I can ask the	
25	follo	wing question.	

1	BY MR. BORNSTEIN:		
2	Q.	Do you have an understanding, sir, of the order of	
3	mag	gnitude of the iOS App Store versus the Mac App Store	
4	reve	enues?	
5	A.	The iOS would be larger.	
6	Q.	How much larger, sir?	
7	A.	A lot larger.	
8		MR. BORNSTEIN: Okay. We'll try and do the rest	
9	und	er seal. I don't want to trip over anything.	
10	вү	MR. BORNSTEIN:	
11	Q.	Take a look let's go back to that chart, the wave	
12	chart, as you called it, on .24 of this one-off presentation.		
13		Now, you were talking with Ms. Moyé about the R&D numbers	
14	in yo	our in your 10-K earlier.	
15		Do you recall that?	
16	A.	Yes.	
17	Q.	Now, those R&D numbers were for the company as a whole; is	
18	that	right?	
19	A.	That's correct.	
20	Q.	The allocation document here that we're looking at at .24	
21	sho	shows how at least your corporate financial planning and	
22	ana	analysis group allocated those R&D numbers to different	
23	prod	ducts within the company; correct?	
24	A.	For purposes of this analysis.	
25	Q.	Yes.	

	And so for purposes of this analysis, they sat down and				
they	they actually allocated the R&D to different products within				
the	company; correct?				
A.	They did something				
Q.	That's what they did. They it's on the page; right,				
sir?					
A.	On the direct basis. On some of the direct costs, to be				
clea	ır.				
Q.	Okay.				
	So they allocated direct costs based on the top portion of				
the	document here and then they allocated shared costs and				
they	allocated allocated costs.				
	Do you see that?				
A.	I see the document, yes.				
Q.	All right. And I am correct in describing it that they				
allo	cated not just direct R&D, but shared and allocated R&D,				
as v	vell; correct?				
A.	Shared for purposes of this analysis.				
Q.	And they went through and they did this work for you;				
corr	correct? It's here.				
A.	They did the work for me, yes.				
Q.	And in doing that work, sir, they have allocated a total				
amount of R&D for the "rest of services" product that appears					
on the bottom of the page that, as you said, is a very, very,					
very	very small little purple sliver; is that right?				

1	A.	Yes.	
2	Q.	And "rest of services" is where the App Store lives;	
3	corr	ect?	
4	A.	For this chart, yes.	
5	Q.	Okay. At this meeting that you had with Mr. Maestri and	
6	Ms.	Casey, you had some discussion about this document, sir;	
7	corr	ect?	
8	A.	We had a meeting about it, yes.	
9	Q.	And you or Mr. Maestri had some follow-up questions about	
10	this,	did you not?	
11	A.	I don't recall that.	
12	Q.	Well, take a look at the first page of the document, the	
13	ema	email from Ms. Casey. And she says, "Hi, Tim, Luca. Please	
14	find	below the three follow-up items from the profitability	
15	mee	eting."	
16		Do you see that?	
17	A.	I do.	
18	Q.	And she refers and I'm not going to read numbers	
19	here	e but she refers in the first item, which refers to	
20	iPho	one profit, she says, "As discussed," and then she proceeds	
21	to g	ive some information.	
22		Do you see that?	
23	A.	Yes, I see it.	
24	Q.	Okay.	
25		And then she provides two other topics, followed by, "Any	

1	othe	er questions, please let us know."
2		Do you see that?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	All right. Does this refresh your recollection that you
5	and	Mr. Maestri had some follow-up questions and considered
6	this	sufficiently important to ask some follow-up questions
7	abo	ut?
8	A.	I don't remember the particulars, but I see that here.
9	Q.	Okay.
10		And then at this meeting, there was another document that
11	you	went over. It wasn't just this one-off presentation. You
12	look	ed at something else, as well; isn't that right?
13	A.	Can you point me to a document?
14	Q.	Well, do you recall that?
15	A.	No, I don't.
16	Q.	Okay. Great.
17	A.	Sorry.
18	Q.	So take a look, if you would, at 2392. You looked at this
19	with	Ms. Moyé, as well, the benchmarking document, you called
20	it.	
21	A.	Yes.
22	Q.	And you see it has a date on it, September 25, 2019, on
23	the	very first page?
24	A.	Yes, I see it.
25	Q.	All right. And that's the same date as the meeting we

1	were	e just talking about; right?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	Okay. And this document, again, has information about the
4	prof	itability of the App Store on a stand-alone basis for the
5	purp	pose of this work; correct?
6	A.	No, not on a stand-alone basis.
7	Q.	Well, it has it has information that Ms. Casey and her
8	tean	n calculated about the profitability of the App Store that
9	app	ears on page .3; correct?
10	A.	With the assumptions of that chart
11	Q.	All right.
12	A.	which is not a fully loaded cost.
13	Q.	And, again, you haven't looked at the analysis that
14	Mr.	Barnes did to assess whether it was fully loaded or not;
15	corr	ect?
16	A.	I don't know what Mr. Barnes did
17	Q.	Okay.
18	A.	but I do know that this is not fully loaded.
19	Q.	I understand your testimony on that, sir.
20	A.	Thank you.
21	Q.	And this wasn't a one-off presentation, though, was it,
22	sir?	
23	A.	I don't remember having this type of presentation before.
24	Q.	How about since?
25	A.	I don't know.

1	Q.	All right. Well
2	A.	But it's not in the it's not in the quarterly cadence
3	of fo	precasts and actuals.
4	Q.	All right. Well, let's take a look at what happened in
5	the	quarterly cadence.
6		Take a look, please, sir, at PX2391. This time I think
7	you	will need to be in my binder because your counsel did not
8	inclu	ude it in the one that they provided.
9	A.	Which binder number?
10	Q.	What binder number? Apparently it's No. 1, sir.
11	A.	Okay.
12		MS. MOYÉ: Which binder is it in, Counsel?
13		THE COURT: No. 1.
14		MR. BORNSTEIN: I just have one very large binder. I
15	wish	n I had smaller ones myself.
16		THE COURT: Well, if anybody knows where I can give
17	awa	y lots of thick binders, let me know. We just accumulate
18	ther	n.
19	ВҮ	MR. BORNSTEIN:
20	Q.	Tell me when you've gotten there, please, sir.
21	A.	I'm there.
22	Q.	Okay. So you have 2391 in front of you; correct?
23	A.	2391, yes.
24	Q.	Yes.
25		MR. BORNSTEIN: And, Your Honor, this is in evidence

1	subject to a request from Apple to seal, so I'm again going to		
2	try to be careful.		
3		THE COURT: All right.	
4	ВҮ	MR. BORNSTEIN:	
5	Q.	Now, I assume, sir, that you don't, as CEO of a two	
6	trillio	on dollar-ish company, spend a lot of time reviewing	
7	doc	uments that you find not to be terribly informative?	
8	A.	I spend some time doing that, but hopefully not a lot.	
9	Q.	Okay.	
10		And your team tries to give you things that they believe	
11	you	will find informative; correct?	
12	A.	They do. Of course.	
13	Q.	Okay.	
14		And you had asked Ms. Casey some follow-up questions about	
15	the	prior profitability analysis we were just looking at;	
16	corr	ect?	
17	A.	I'm not sure I did or Luca did.	
18	Q.	Fair enough.	
19	A.	It's not clear.	
20	Q.	That's fair enough.	
21		But a member of the senior Apple management team asked her	
22	som	ne questions that she provided answers to; fair?	
23	A.	That's correct.	
24	Q.	Okay.	
25		And the following quarter, this document here, 2391, was	

1	date	ed December 18, 2019; correct?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	You see that on the first page?
4	A.	Hold on. December 18th of 2019.
5	Q.	And this is again from the corporate financial planning
6	and	analysis group; correct?
7	A.	Correct.
8	Q.	And if you go, sir, to page .104, you will see something
9	labe	eled "Profitability"; correct?
10	A.	Yes, I see it.
11	Q.	Okay. And then on page .105, you will see something that
12	looks an awful lot like what was on 2385.13, correct, another	
13	cha	rt with "Operating Margin Profitability Summary."
14		Do you see that?
15	A.	I see it.
16	Q.	Okay. And this document has been updated by a quarter.
17	lt's (got another quarter's worth of information that your
18	corp	porate financial planning and analysis team put into this
19	mat	erial for you; correct?
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	Okay. And, again, sir, they used, as you can see at the
22	bott	om, Method 2 for allocation of opex; correct?
23	A.	Yes.
24	Q.	And they have come up again
25	A.	I see it.

1	Q.	Sorry?
2	A.	I see it.
3	Q.	Great.
4		They have come up again with an operating margin for the
5	App	Store that they are again presenting to you and others
6	at	in the Apple management team; correct?
7	A.	Again, they're doing an analysis that is not fully loaded.
8	Q.	Okay.
9		But they have again, sir, come up a second time with a
10	prof	itability analysis of the App Store; isn't that right?
11	A.	That's not fully loaded.
12	Q.	They have come up with a profitability analysis of the App
13	Store that you're saying is not fully loaded; correct?	
14	A.	Correct.
15	Q.	Okay. And this document you know, sir, was found in your
16	files	; is that right?
17	A.	I don't know that.
18	Q.	Do you know that your counsel produced this document to us
19	afte	r your deposition had been taken on the very last day of
20	fact	discovery in this case?
21	A.	I don't know that.
22		MR. BORNSTEIN: Let me find a place to put my binder.
23	One	e second.
24	ВҮ	MR. BORNSTEIN:
25	Q.	Sir, you can probably put that away, too

1	A.	Oh, okay.
2	Q.	so you can have some more space in front of you.
3		Would you agree with me that one of the benefits of the
4	IAP	system that we've talked about some this morning is to
5	redu	uce friction for customers?
6	A.	By "friction" do you mean that they don't have to put in
7	thei	r credentials in numerous different places?
8	Q.	Well, that's a form of friction, isn't it?
9	A.	I think of it as. I just wanted to make sure we were in
10	synd	C.
11	Q.	Right.
12		And would you agree with me, then, that one of the
13	benefits of IAP for your customers is that it makes it easier	
14	for t	hem to make purchases?
15	A.	It would.
16	Q.	Okay. And it's a a convenience for them; is that
17	righ	t?
18	A.	Among other things.
19	Q.	And Apple doesn't want to make its customers leave the app
20	to g	o make a purchase if it's possible for them to make it
21	with	in the app; isn't that fair?
22	A.	They can they can leave the app if they want to.
23	Obv	riously, there are
24	Q.	Sir, my question is Apple doesn't want the customers to
25	leav	e the app.

1	A.	We want them to do what they want to do.
2	Q.	Well
3	A.	Our focus is on them.
4	Q.	it's a negative user experience, sir, if they have to
5	leav	e the app, isn't it?
6	A.	From my point of view, yes.
7	Q.	Okay. And if they do leave the app, also Apple doesn't
8	mak	e any money on the in-app purchases; isn't that correct?
9	A.	If they buy it off of the store, we would not make any
10	mor	ney.
11	Q.	Okay. So fair to assume, sir, that Apple would prefer
12	that	people make their purchases in the app so that Apple can
13	earr	some revenue and there can be a good user experience
14	A.	Sure.
15	Q.	rather than have people go outside of the app? Isn't
16	that	fair?
17	A.	Well, we try to make it as easy as possible.
18	Q.	Okay.
19		And when it's easy as possible, it means people are more
20	likel	y to make a purchase; isn't that right?
21	A.	Probably.
22	Q.	Right.
23		And Apple will make the same 30 percent or maybe 15
24	perc	cent, depending on the circumstances, whether it is a
25	spoi	ntaneous impulse purchase or whether it is a very

1	thou	ughtfully-considered decision; isn't that right?
2	A.	In either case.
3	Q.	All right. And Apple has has no policy against impulse
4	puro	chases; isn't that right?
5	A.	We provide parental controls so that parents can make sure
6	thei	r kids aren't using it for impulse purchases.
7	Q.	Great.
8		But you have the a system set up in order to achieve
9	that	; correct?
10	A.	We have parental controls set up to make sure that it
11	doe	sn't happen with kids.
12	Q.	Right. If the parents choose to enable it; correct?
13	A.	If the parent chooses to enable it.
14	Q.	And, in fact, in-app purchases using IAP constitute a very
15	sub	stantial percentage of App Store revenue; is that correct?
16	A.	It would be the the dominant way of purchasing, I
17	belie	eve.
18	Q.	And in terms of the revenue that Apple earns, it is the
19	dom	ninant source of App Store revenue; fair?
20	A.	I think so.
21	Q.	Okay. We can I can show you some documents in the
22	sea	led session. I didn't want to
23	A.	Yeah.
24	Q.	get numbers out here now.
25		Am I right that Apple does not believe well, let me do

1	it th	is way.
2		You do not believe, sir, that it is as easy to buy virtual
3	curr	ency or other items on the web as it is to buy them while
4	you	are in an app on an iOS device; is that fair?
5	A.	It takes another click to leave the app, going to the
6	to th	ne web, but people do it. A lot of people do it.
7	Q.	But taking another click is a form of friction; correct?
8	A.	It's another step.
9	Q.	Right.
10		And avoiding that kind of friction is actually quite
11	valu	able in your industry; am I right about that?
12	A.	I'm not sure I follow your question. What do you mean by
13	"val	uable"?
14	Q.	Well, I will try and make it more concrete. I mean money.
15	l me	ean, you earn money based on this, sir.
16		So, for example, Apple has an arrangement with Google
17	und	er which the Google search engine is the default search
18	eng	ine on the iPhone; isn't that right?
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	Okay.
21		And that's a very lucrative arrangement for Apple;
22	corr	ect?
23		MS. MOYÉ: Objection. Beyond the scope.
24		THE COURT: This is cross. Overruled.
25		

1	ВҮ	MR. BORNSTEIN:
2	Q.	That's a very lucrative arrangement for Apple; correct?
3	A.	We we do so in the best interests of the user.
4	Q.	Sir, it's a very lucrative arrangement for Apple; am I
5	righ	t about that?
6	A.	They pay us money, if that's what you mean.
7	Q.	They pay you and I'm going off public information here,
8	so t	his is not something to be sealed but the government
9	claiı	ms that they pay you upwards of \$10 billion.
10		Is that accurate?
11	A.	I don't remember the exact number.
12	Q.	You don't know whether it's upwards of \$10 billion?
13	A.	I don't know.
14	Q.	Okay. But, now, this is just to establish the Google
15	sea	rch engine as a default. That's what this is; correct?
16	A.	No. It's the searches themselves.
17	Q.	But well, a user can change the default search engine
18	on t	heir iPhone; correct?
19	A.	That's correct.
20	Q.	All right. So I can I have an iPhone, sir. I hope it
21	still	works after the examination today.
22		But I I can go I can go onto my iPhone and I can go
23	into	settings and I can change my my search engine;
24	corr	rect?
25	A.	You can, yes.

1	Q.	All right. But that's that is something that is
2	suffi	ciently friction-full, to coin a word, that Google pays a
3	lot o	f money to Apple to avoid; correct?
4	A.	That's not the way I look at it.
5	Q.	Okay.
6		But Google does pay a lot of money to Apple in order to be
7	the	default so that people don't have to make that extra
8	click	x; right?
9	A.	They pay a lot for the searches that come across there.
0	Q.	Okay.
L1		They pay a lot to be the default so that they get those
2	seai	rches; right?
.3	A.	You'd have to ask them what they pay a lot for, I guess.
4	Q.	All right. So you don't have an idea, as the recipient
.5	of th	nese
- 6	A.	I
17	Q.	billions of dollars, why they are paying you don't
8 .	have	e an idea, sir, as the recipient of these billions of
9	dolla	ars why it is that Google has entered into this deal?
20	Tha	t's what you're saying?
21	A.	Probably a better question for them.
22	Q.	Okay. I asked you, sir, though.
23		You do have a pretty good idea why they pay billions of
24	dolla	ars to Apple in order to be the default search engine,
25	don'	t you?

1		MS. MOYE: Objection to the form. Calls for
2	speculation.	
3		THE COURT: Overruled.
4		You can answer, if you know.
5		THE WITNESS: I believe they are paying for the
6	sea	rches, as I testified to.
7	ВҮ	MR. BORNSTEIN:
8	Q.	Okay. Which they get because they're the default engine;
9	righ [.]	1?
10	A.	Well, they get if the customer leaves the default the
11	sam	e.
12	Q.	All right. This we will have to come back to so that I
13	can	preserve your confidentiality.
14		You, sir, reviewed the decision that I'm switching
15	topi	os here.
16		You reviewed the decision that Apple made to terminate the
17	dev	eloper program account associated with Epic Games, Inc.; is
18	that	correct?
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	And you agreed with that decision; yes?
21	A.	I did.
22	Q.	And you believe that the actions that Epic took in August
23	of 2	020 before Fortnite was removed from the App Store were
24	mali	cious; is that correct?
25	A.	Yes.

1	Q.	And by "malicious," what you mean is it was planned and it
2	was	deceptive and it was hidden; fair?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	And you're aware, are you, sir, that Mr. Schiller told
5	this	Court in a declaration in September that as a result of
6	thos	e actions, it was critical for Apple to cut off Epic's
7	acce	ess to the App Store so that Epic could not continue to
8	jeop	ardize the iOS ecosystem.
9		You're aware of that?
0	A.	I'm not aware of exactly what he said, but it sounds
.1	sour	nds correct.
2	Q.	Okay. And you're aware that Mr. Schiller told the Court
13	in th	at same declaration that the only viable option is for
4	App	le to cease doing business with Epic, including all
.5	cont	racts that the companies had.
6		Is that something that you knew?
.7	A.	Yes.
8 .	Q.	Okay. And you also know that despite these very dire
_9	warr	nings, Apple offered Epic the ability to come back to the
20	Арр	Store with Fortnite.
21		Do you know that?
22	A.	Yes, I do.
23	Q.	Okay.
24		And, in fact, in your counsel's opening statement here a
25	few	weeks ago, she repeated that invitation, turned to

1	Mr.	Sweeney, let him know that Epic was still welcome to come
2	bac	k.
3		Did you know that?
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	And did you authorize that?
6	A.	I've the whole time said that.
7	Q.	And you've said that even though you've told the Court
8	that	Epic engaged in malicious activity and that the only
9	viab	ple option that Apple had was to terminate business with
10	Epid	c entirely; right?
11	A.	Correct.
12	Q.	And if what you said about why you would be willing to
13	hav	e Epic back is for the benefit of the users; is that right?
14	A.	Sure.
15	Q.	But if Epic were the bad actor that Mr. Schiller claimed,
16	it wo	ould not be to the benefit of users to have Epic back on
17	the	store, would it?
18	A.	I think it would be to the benefit of the users to have
19	ther	n back on the store if they abided by the rules.
20	Q.	But if they are a bad and malicious actor, sir, who
21	cou	ldn't be trusted to come back such that the only viable
22	opti	on was to terminate all contracts, how can it be to the
23	ben	efit of users to have Epic back?
24	A.	Because the user is caught in between two companies here,
25	and	it's not the right thing to do with the user.

1	Q.	So your testimony, sir, is that you're going to have this
2	mal	icious actor back for the benefit of users and that the
3	hun	dreds of millions of dollars that Apple has made off of
4	For	tnite over the years had nothing to do with it?
5	A.	We weren't thinking about the money at all.
6	Q.	And the
7	A.	We were thinking about the user.
8	Q.	the principles that you've articulated about the
9	inte	grity of the iOS ecosystem, they didn't survive they
10	wilte	ed, really, when Apple saw the chance to have Fortnite
11	com	ne back and continue to make money off of the the
12	puro	chases that people who play Fortnite and use Fortnite make;
13	isn't	that right?
14	A.	No.
15	Q.	And your testimony is that you told the Court you had to
16	get	rid of them, but you were still willing to take them back
17	just	because you were thinking of users and not thinking of
18	the	profit that you would make.
19	A.	We always put the user at the center of everything that we
20	do.	
21	Q.	So that's your testimony, that you
22	A.	That's my testimony
23	Q.	would have them back for the users
24	A.	Yes.
25	Q.	regardless of the amount of money that Fortnite has

1	mac	le for you over the years?
2	A.	It has nothing to do with money.
3	Q.	Okay.
4		Sir, you testified before Congress last year; correct?
5	A.	I did.
6	Q.	Okay. And do you recall at that testimony being asked
7	abo	ut whether Apple retaliates against developers?
8	A.	I don't.
9	Q.	Okay. I will try and refresh your recollection again.
-0	A.	Okay.
1		MR. BORNSTEIN: This is PX1725 that I will ask
2	Mr.	Rudd to play for you. This is going to be a video, sir.
.3		(Video clip played as follows:)
4		"Unidentified Speaker: Has Apple ever retaliated against
.5	or d	isadvantaged a developer who went public about their
6	frus	trations with the App Store?
.7		"Mr. Cook: Sir, we don't we do not retaliate or bully
. 8	peo	ple. It's strongly against our company culture."
. 9	BY	MR. BORNSTEIN:
20	Q.	Sir, that was your testimony before Congress?
21	A.	Yes.
22		MR. BORNSTEIN: Okay. Your Honor, I would move
23	PX1	725 into evidence.
24		THE COURT: Admitted.
25		(Plaintiff's Exhibit PX1725 received in evidence)

1		MR. BORNSTEIN: Thank you.
2	ВҮ	MR. BORNSTEIN:
3	Q.	And you maintain still, sir, that Apple did not retaliate
4	aga	inst Epic by threatening to shut down the <i>Unreal Engine</i>
5	afte	r Fortnite was removed from the App Store; is that
6	corr	ect?
7	A.	That's correct.
8	Q.	And you testified, as well, sir, that Apple did not
9	reta	liate against <i>Down Dog</i> , the yoga app, for coming and
. 0	testi	fying here in this action?
.1	A.	I'm sorry. I'm not even familiar with that one.
.2	Q.	Okay.
.3	A.	Can you point me to something or
. 4	Q.	I'll ask you a different question, sir, if you're not
. 5	fam	iliar with it.
. 6		Are you familiar with your developer program license
. 7	agre	eement?
. 8	A.	No.
. 9	Q.	Okay.
20		Do you know what that is?
21	A.	I have a vague knowledge of it.
22	Q.	All right. Let me see if I can prompt a little a
23	little	something for you.
2.4		Look at PX2943, please.
25		MS. MOYÉ: Did you say 33?

1		MR. BORNSTEIN: 2943, which is already in evidence.	
2		THE COURT: It is.	
3	BY MR. BORNSTEIN:		
4	Q.	Do you have that, sir?	
5	A.	I found it, yes.	
6	Q.	Great. And this is labeled "Schedule 2."	
7		Do you see that?	
8	A.	Yes.	
9	Q.	And this is Schedule 2 to the Developer Program License	
10	Agre	eement that Apple requires developers who would like to use	
11	the	App Store to sign; is that right?	
12	A.	I don't know.	
13	Q.	Okay. Let me ask you, then maybe maybe this will be	
14	a qu	uicker portion of the examination if you don't know, but	
15	l'll d	irect you to one to one piece of it and see if we can	
16	mak	te a little bit of progress.	
17	A.	Okay.	
18	Q.	Paragraph excuse me paragraph 7.1 you'll find on	
19	pag	page .9.	
20		Do you have that?	
21	A.	I do.	
22	Q.	Okay. And I'll call your attention, sir, just to the	
23	bott	om right corner of the document. You can see it's dated	
24	March 31, 2021.		
25		Do you see that?	

1	A.	Yes.	
2	Q.	So this is a recent version of your Schedule 2.	
3		Are you aware that there was language added to this	
4	doc	ument in this most recent version relating to the	
5	circ	umstances under which Apple can terminate developers?	
6	A.	No, I'm not.	
7	Q.	All right. Well, let me ask you to just look very	
8	quic	ckly, then, sir, at the last sentence of paragraph 7.1,	
9	whic	ch states, "If at any time Apple determines or suspects	
10	that	you or any developers with which you are affiliated have	
11	engaged in or encouraged or participated with other developers		
12	to e	ngage in any suspicious, misleading, fraudulent, improper,	
13	unla	unlawful, or dishonest act or omission, Apple may withhold	
14	pay	ments due to you or such other developers."	
15		Did I at least read that correctly?	
16	A.	Yes.	
17	Q.	Okay. And now you had no idea that this language was	
18	add	ed to the document?	
19	A.	No.	
20	Q.	Okay.	
21		You understand now, in reading it, that this entitles	
22	Арр	le, if if it is lawful, to withhold money from	
23	dev	developers or any of their affiliates when Apple suspects	
24	con	duct that it believes to be suspicious.	
25		Do you see that?	

1		MS. MOYÉ: Objection. Foundation.
2		THE COURT: Overruled.
3		THE WITNESS: I see it.
4	ВҮ	MR. BORNSTEIN:
5	Q.	Okay.
6		And the consequence of Apple's unilateral suspicion that
7	peo	ple are engaging in suspicious behavior or encouraging
8	sus	picious behavior is that Apple can withhold payments both
9	to th	nat developer and to all of its affiliates; isn't that
10	righ	t?
11	A.	I don't know.
12	Q.	Okay. And it is it is contrary to Apple's culture, as
13	you	put it, to retaliate against people?
14	A.	Yes. I stand by that.
15	Q.	Okay.
16		You said earlier today that you believe that consumers
17	valu	e the app review process that Apple engages in; is that
18	righ	t?
19	A.	They review the they like the output of it, which is
20	safe	er, more secure, and more privacy.
21	Q.	All right. That's a fair enough clarification.
22		And you believe it's important for Apple to curate the App
23	Stor	re; correct?
24	A.	I do, yes.
25	Q.	All right. And you believe it gives customers trust and

1	confidence in what they're getting from the App Store?		
2	A.	I do.	
3	Q.	Now, how do we call a store with 1.8 million apps curated?	
4	A.	You still have to they have to all live up to the	
5	rule	rules.	
6	Q.	Well, curation is, at least in in the dictionary	
7	som	nething that's carefully gathered and sifted and chosen and	
8	orga	anized, right, like a museum exhibit.	
9		Is that the ordinary meaning of the word, as you	
10	understand it?		
11	A.	I take it that that's the Webster definition that you're	
12	using.		
13	Q.	Okay. And does that accord with your understanding of the	
14	word, sir, "curation"?		
15	A.	Yes.	
16	Q.	Okay.	
17		That's not a good description of a 1.8 million-app store,	
18	is it,	to say it's curated?	
19	A.	I disagree.	
20	Q.	So Apple has	
21	A.	You can curate something large as good as you can curate	
22	som	nething that's small.	
23	Q.	So Apple has carefully gathered and chosen the apps, all	
24	1.8	million of them, in the App Store?	
25	A.	We've all made sure that they adhere to the guidelines of	

the App Store. 1 2 Q. Right. 3 But you haven't gone through and selected and chosen and made editorial decisions about which ones you think are 4 5 valuable for consumers and which ones maybe aren't as good; right? 6 7 A. I think you're confusing curation and featuring. Q. Well, I'm not, sir. I asked you just a question about 8 9 whether that's something that you do. You don't go through and make editorial judgments about 10 which apps do and don't belong in the store on the basis of 11 12 whether they're good or fun or interesting or anything like that? 13 Α. Well, we feature apps. 14 Q. Sir, I understand you feature them. I'm asking about 15 whether you let them into the store on that basis or not. 16 17 A. No. We're not passing a moral judgment on them, if that's 18 what you're asking. 19 Q. Well, not just a moral judgment. You're not making 20 decisions about -- well, curation. You're not picking apps 21 that you think are ones that people will like more, for 22 example, or ones that will be of interest to people of a

particular -- who are interested in a particular type of

activity; right? You're just taking a whole bunch of apps

that come your way and deciding which ones comply with your

23

24

25

guidelines; right? 1 2 A. We are deciding which ones apply to the guidelines and we 3 are applying the guidelines on an egalitarian basis. Q. Right. 4 5 And you're aware, though, there are other app stores out there that do, in fact, engage in more curation in the form of 6 7 making judgment about which apps to have and not have on the store based on content; correct? 8 9 Α. I -- I don't know. Well, are you familiar with Good Old Games, for example? Q. 10 Α. No. 11 Okay. Are you familiar with an app store called SlideME? 12 Q. A. No. 13 Q. 14 Okay. 15 Are you aware that there can be stores with a subject 16 matter focus that can attract people tailored to their individual interests? 17 18 Α. I -- I don't know such an app store. 19 Q. Well, there is certainly not one on the iPhone; right? 20 A. No. I disagree. 21 Q. There is one App Store on the iPhone, sir; correct? A. There is one App Store, but we recommend different apps 22 23 for people. I understand that. Q. 24 But there is just one App Store on the iPhone; right? 25

1	A.	There is only one App Store.	
2	Q.	Okay.	
3		And the only person who can make recommendations on the	
4	Арр	Store is Apple; right?	
5	A.	Anybody can write about any app out in the wild.	
6	Q.	Sure. But the only people who can feature an app on the	
7	Арр	Store are the people who work at Apple.	
8	A.	That's correct.	
9	Q.	Okay.	
0 .		THE COURT: Is this a good breaking point?	
L1		MR. BORNSTEIN: Of course.	
L2		THE COURT: All right. We will be standing in recess	
L3	for 2	for 20 minutes.	
4		Mr. Cook, you may not speak to any lawyer, any party,	
L5	anyo	one about your testimony, given that you are currently on	
L 6	cros	cross-examination. I'm sure you have plenty to do during	
.7	thes	these 20 minutes.	
L 8		THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
9		THE COURT: Do not do anything relative to your	
20	testi	mony.	
21		Do you understand?	
22		THE WITNESS: Thank you. Will do.	
23		THE COURT: We will stand in recess for 20 minutes.	
24		(Recess taken at 10:16 a.m.)	
25		(Proceedings resumed at 10:36 A.M.)	

THE CLERK: Remain seated. Court is in session. 1 2 Come to order. 3 THE COURT: Okay. We are back on the record. The record will reflect that Mr. Cook is on the stand, 4 5 Mr. Bornstein is at the podium, the parties are present. 6 You may continue. 7 MR. BORNSTEIN: As soon as I finish wrestling the 8 binders. 9 Q. All right. Mr. Cook, before the break, we were talking about users' value in the App Store. Do you recall that? 10 11 And -- and app review. 12 I'll just ask you a fresh question. I was just setting 13 the stage. 14 So it is your view that users want Apple to curate the App 15 Store; is that fair? 16 A. Yes. 17 And that users value the fact that Apple engages in that exercise, yes? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 And in fact, you believe that users pay Apple to make 21 decisions for them; is that correct? A. I believe when they buy an iPhone, they expect certain 22 23 decisions to be made with them so that things become simple and not complex. 24 25 Right. You -- you -- do you believe that users pay Apple

to make decisions for them? 1 2 It's probably not exactly the way I would say it, or 3 you've taken something out of context. Well, let's take a look at your deposition, sir. 4 Q. 5 Α. Yes. MR. BORNSTEIN: Your Honor, do you have a copy? 6 7 THE COURT: I believe I do. 8 MR. BORNSTEIN: And I'm looking, Your Honor --9 Q. Do you have a copy, sir? Α. Is it in one of the binders or --10 11 MR. BORNSTEIN: May Mr. Karin approach with a copy, 12 Your Honor, for the witness? 13 THE COURT: You may. And actually I don't think you gave it to me. But maybe the Apple folks did. 14 15 MR. BORNSTEIN: Ms. Kloss has one if you would like. 16 THE COURT: Yeah. I do need it. Thank you. 17 And page and line? MR. BORNSTEIN: Page 224 beginning at line 15. 18 19 **THE WITNESS:** (Reviewing document.) MS. MOYÉ: 224, what line? 20 THE COURT: Well, so far he's stated something 21 22 consistent. You can ask another foundational question. 23 MR. BORNSTEIN: Well, I'll do it this way, Your 24 Honor. 25 Sir, when -- when people buy an iPhone and spend a

1 thousand dollars, or whatever it is, on an iPhone, you do, at 2 Apple, decide for them that they cannot download apps directly 3 from a developer to their own phone, correct? A. We do. 4 And you decide for them that they cannot go to a 5 third-party store other than your App Store to get an app for 6 7 their phone; is that right? 8 Α. Other than web apps. 9 Q. Okay. They can -- they can do web apps themselves. 10 Α. 11 Q. The only way -- you decide for them that the only way they 12 can get a native app is through your App Store, that right? 13 Α. That's -- that's correct. 14 But if people really value Apple's curation and Apple's 15 App Store, even if there are multiple stores, people could 16 still go shop at Apple, correct? 17 Well, I would promise buying that iPhone would be gone. A. Well, sir, the question --18 Q. 19 (Simultaneous colloquy.) 20 BY MR. BORNSTEIN: 21 The question, sir, is if there are multiple stores on the 22 iPhone and people really value the service that Apple provides 23 in curating the store, people who value it could go shop at your store, correct? 24

It seems like a decision that they shouldn't have to make.

25

- COOK CROSS / BORNSTEIN Well, people can make that decision if they like your Q. store and they value what you're providing, then they can go shop at your store, and if they want something else, then they can make the choice to go somewhere else, right? When they -- when they buy an iPhone today, they just --Α. they buy something that just works. Right, sir. And it's -- is it is your -- is it your understanding that people -- customers don't understand the difference between the Apple App Store and a third-party store if it were available on the phone? I think they buy into a total ecosystem when they buy an iPhone. But the question is whether they would understand the
- 13 14 difference, sir. Would they understand the difference?
 - I don't know. Α.
 - All right. Well, right now, for example, you have your App Store on the phone, and you also have a Safari browser, correct?
 - Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- And as you said, people who want to get web apps can go to their Safari browser and get them from any developer they choose, correct?
- That's correct. Α.
- And they can also surf on a website and find all kinds of horrible content on the web, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. All right.

And you trust that your consumers know the difference between an app that comes from the App Store and an app or content that comes from their browser, correct?

- A. I do.
- Q. Right. And you don't know, however, whether people can tell the difference between your App Store and a third-party app store with other branding, right? That's your testimony, you don't know if people could tell?
- A. They've never had to do it before.
- Q. Right. So you just can't --
 - A. They bought into something that's an ecosystem system that just works.
 - Q. All right. So you just can't be sure if people would be able to make that distinction; is that your position?
 - A. I'm saying I don't know.
 - Q. All right. And you don't know whether Apple's vast marketing machine can educate consumers about the difference between its App Store and other stores that might be available; is that right?
 - A. Seems like a complexity they shouldn't have to worry with.
- Q. If there were another app store or app stores available,

 Apple would have to actually compete and persuade users that

 it had the best offering, right?

- 1 A. We'd have to differentiate in some way. I don't know what
 2 we would do.
 - Q. Correct. You'd have to differentiate in some way.

 You testified earlier today to Ms. Moyé that no one else would be as motivated as Apple to provide a safe and secure store; is that right?
 - A. Yes. I believe that.
 - Q. And you believe that no third party could do as good a job as Apple in providing a safe and secure store; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. But you have no idea if that's true on the iPhone because no one else has ever had the opportunity to do that, right?
- A. It's an experiment I wouldn't want to run.
 - Q. Right. And -- and therefore, sir, you have no idea whether a third party could do a better job than Apple because you've never given anybody the opportunity; isn't that right?
 - A. I'm giving you my business judgment.
 - Q. Right. That's your judgment. And the market could come to a different judgment. Isn't that right? If there were a market that you permitted to exist.
 - A. The customers that have reached out to me on this topic are all uniformly they want it to stay like it is because they like the safety, security, and privacy.
 - Q. And developers are in the same boat, all the developers who've reached out to you like things the way it is too?

- COOK CROSS / BORNSTEIN A. I think some developers -- do love the way it is today. 1 2 Q. And there are --3 Α. And then you obviously have one that doesn't. More than one, sir; isn't that right? 4 Q. 5 Α. There's a few. Just a few? That's -- that's your understanding? 6 Q. 7 are just a few developers who don't like the current system? 8 Α. That's the only ones that I know, yes. 9 Q. Okay. Well, how many developers, sir, have come in to 10 testify on Apple's behalf in this trial? 11 I don't know. Α. 12 Q. Okay. Would it surprise you to hear the answer is zero? 13 A. No, it wouldn't surprise me. I don't see that it would 14 be -- that there's a natural way to include them. 15 Okay. Fortunately, we -- you have excellent counsel, sir, 16 who I'm sure could figure that out if there were developers 17 who were interested in supporting the system. But as it stands, there's nobody else who has ever been 18 able to provide an app store on the iPhone and there's no way 19 20 to know, other than your business judgment, whether somebody 21 could do as good a job. Fair?
- 22 **A.** No. I disagree.

23

- Q. Okay. Let's turn --
- A. I disagree because --
- 25 (Simultaneous colloquy.)

Q. Let's turn to privacy, sir. 1 2 You can explain this to Ms. Moyé. She will give you the 3 opportunity. Let's turn to privacy which you've talked about a fair bit 4 5 today. And you've said that Apple -- or you consider it one 6 of the most important issues of the century, correct? 7 Α. Yes. 8 And you said in other contexts -- I don't think you said it today -- that Apple believes privacy is a basic human 9 10 right. 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Okay. Is it also the case that Apple believes that its 13 stance on privacy is a differentiator in the market for its 14 products? 15 I think we're on an island on this one. I think we care 16 more than others do. 17 Q. And -- and do you think that helps you sell iPhones and 18 other products to consumers? 19 I think there's some people that really want that and 20 therefore buy an iPhone because of it. 21 Q. Right. And it's -- and it's something that you at Apple market to people to say, hey, we offer better privacy than 22 23 some of our competitors and that's a reason you should prefer our device; isn't that right? 24

For people that want privacy.

Q. Yeah. 1 2 There are other app stores that could make a similar 3 commitment to privacy. Fair? I haven't seen any that do. 4 Α. 5 That wasn't the question. Q. There are other people who could, right? There's no 6 7 monopoly on privacy on Apple's part, correct? 8 Α. I don't know that anybody would. They haven't thus far. 9 Q. Apple doesn't have a unique ability to safeguard privacy, 10 does it? 11 A. Sure, it does. 12 Apple doesn't have a unique ability to decide, other than 13 through its control of the system, which apps and which apps 14 are not safe and protective of user data and privacy, does it? 15 We reject 40,000 a week. And so there's a lot of work 16 that goes on in terms of doing that and there's a lot of 17 expertise that you build up over numerous years. The 40,000 that you reject each week, they're not all on 18 19 privacy grounds, are they? 20 They would be for various different grounds. Α. 21 **Q**. They're on a variety of grounds. 22 And people who, if they had a choice, who value privacy 23 could decide to choose Apple because they value it, or they could decide to choose somebody else's app store if they 24 25

thought somebody else was doing a better job, correct?

- Α. Seems hypothetical. 1 2 Well, there are circumstances, sir, in which 3 Apple's record on privacy is not perfect; is that fair? Our record is not perfect. 4 Α. 5 Right. There are, for example, situations in which Q. Apple's stance on privacy does not coincide with its financial 6 7 interests, correct? 8 A. I don't know what you're talking about. 9 Well, so, for example, there's a document in your binder, Q. which is labeled DX4400. 10 11 Which binder? Α. 12 Q. The one that I gave you, number 1, I hope. 13 Α. (Reviewing document.) 14 MR. BORNSTEIN: And this is in evidence, Your Honor. 15 THE WITNESS: What folder should I look at? 16 MS. MOYÉ: Is it the small binder or the big binder? 17 BY MR. BORNSTEIN: It's DX4400, sir. 18 19 MS. MOYÉ: Mr. Bornstein, which binder is it in? 20 MR. BORNSTEIN: It's number 1, as I said. 21 Just let me know when you're there, please, Mr. Cook. Q. 22 Α. I'm there. 23 Sorry. You said you were there? Q. 24 Α. Yes.
 - Q. Great. Then you beat me.

DX4400 -- is a document that we discussed some with 1 2 Mr. Schiller the other day and so we don't need to spend too 3 much time on it. But do you recognize what this is? 4 5 A. No. 6 Q. All right. 7 Do you recognize -- are you aware that there is an App 8 Store and privacy policy that is made available to users? 9 A. Sure. 10 Q. Okay. 11 MR. BORNSTEIN: And maybe we can put this on the 12 screen, Mr. Rudd, so it will help me as well. 13 (Exhibit published.) 14 BY MR. BORNSTEIN: 15 If you look at the top of the second page -- oh, I guess 16 actually I quess it's on the bottom of the very first page, 17 there's something that says "Improving the Stores." 18 Do you see that? 19 (Reviewing document.) Α. 20 I see "Improving the Stores." 21 And it indicates that to improve the experience in the 22 stores, Apple will collect information about your usage of the 23 stores, including when you open or close a store, what contents you search for, and the content you view and 24 25 download.

1 Do you see that? 2 Α. I do. 3 And there is other -- so when I go to my phone -- got my iPhone still -- when I go to my phone and I open the store, 4 5 Apple will log the fact that I have opened it at that point in time, right? 6 7 I'm not familiar with it. Α. 8 Okay. So you don't know whether when I type in a search, Q. 9 like for -- for running or some other activity, that Apple 10 will log that so that it can provide personalized ads to me in 11 the store and other information that's tailored for me based 12 on my searches? 13 Α. Yeah, I'm not familiar. 14 Q. Okay. 15 Let's try a different circumstance, then, where Apple's --16 well, somebody -- let me, before I do that, sir. 17 Somebody else who we're going -- who is going to create an app store could choose to collect less data about its users 18 19 than Apple does, correct? 20 I don't know. I think we generally collect the minimum 21 amount that we can. 22 And someone else could choose not to collect, for example, 23 information about what content you search for and the content 24 that you view and the content that you download, correct?

If they did, they couldn't make recommendations.

1 Q. All right. But someone else could make that choice if 2 they value privacy, sir, right? 3 Α. It seems very hypothetical. All right. But somebody could do that. You've chosen to 4 Q. 5 get this information so that you can make recommendations. 6 Somebody else could make a different choice; isn't that right? 7 I don't know. Α. 8 Okay. Well, let's talk about another circumstance 9 relating to privacy, which is your iCloud service. Apple has 10 an iCloud service, correct? 11 We do. Α. 12 And users can store data for a fee in -- in iCloud, 13 correct? 14 They could also do it for free. Α. 15 Depending on how much data they have, right? Q. 16 Α. That's correct. 17 Q. Okay. Now, in China, the iCloud service is operated by a Chinese 18 19 company called GCBD; is that right? 20 MS. MOYÉ: Objection, relevance. 21 THE COURT: Overruled. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes. 23 BY MR. BORNSTEIN: 24 Okay. And GCBD is a company that is owned, at least in 25 part, by Chinese government entity, correct?

1 A. They're a state-owned entity. 2 Okay. And you have a user agreement, which we can -- I Q. 3 think is in -- in your binder at PX1678. Which binder? 4 Α. 5 Same binder, number 1. Q. 6 Α. (Reviewing document.) 7 MR. BORNSTEIN: And we can call that up on the 8 screen, Mr. Rudd. 9 (Exhibit published.) 10 MR. BORNSTEIN: This is a public document for which 11 there's no confidentiality issue, Your Honor. 12 And, Mr. Cook, are you familiar with the iCloud operated 13 by GCBD terms and conditions? 14 A. Peripherally, yes. 15 Q. I'm sorry. You said? 16 Α. Peripherally. 17 Q. Peripherally. Got it. MR. BORNSTEIN: Your Honor, we'd move PX1678 into 18 19 evidence. 20 **THE COURT:** Any objection? MS. MOYÉ: No objection. 21 22 THE COURT: Admitted. 23 (Plaintiff's Exhibit PX1678 received in evidence) 24 MR. BORNSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor. 25 Now, this document, sir, you can see in the second line

says that it governs your use of the iCloud product software, 1 2 services, and websites. Correct? 3 Α. (Reviewing document.) 4 Yes. 5 And if you go to further down on the page, you will see in the kind of last sentence of the second paragraph, it reads 6 7 that when iCloud is enabled, your content will be 8 automatically sent to and stored by GCBD, correct? 9 Yes, that's what it says. Α. All right. And so users' content is sent to and stored by 10 11 a Chinese state-owned entity for these iCloud users, correct? 12 Α. Correct. 13 And the data can be accessed and shared with GCBD, 14 correct? 15 I'm not sure what their rules of that are. Α. 16 Q. Okay. 17 Apple also complies with requests from the Chinese 18 government to take down apps off of the App Store; isn't that 19 right? 20 A. Occasionally we have apps on the store that are illegal 21 that we have to remove. Q. Okay. So for example, Apple has taken down news apps 22 23 because they are contrary to Chinese government policy, 24 correct? 25 Α. Some.

Q. And in fact, that's not an unusual occurrence, is it? 1 2 Α. I wouldn't say it's a regular occurrence. 3 Q. All right. Well, let's take a look at PX1659, please. MR. BORNSTEIN: Now let's not put this one up on the 4 5 screen because it was marked as highly confidential, and we don't have Apple's position on this. 6 7 **THE WITNESS:** (Reviewing document.) THE COURT: So this is not the document that Judge 8 9 Hixson dealt with? MR. BORNSTEIN: This is not the document that he 10 11 dealt with, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right. 13 MR. BORNSTEIN: And, Your Honor, I suppose while 14 Mr. Cook is looking at the document, I could use guidance from 15 the Court on whether you would prefer that I just save this 16 for a sealed session or whether -- and maybe guidance from 17 Apple on whether --18 THE COURT: I've never seen it. MR. BORNSTEIN: -- we need to maintain 19 20 confidentiality. 21 THE COURT: I've never seen it. 22 MS. FORREST: Okay. 23 THE COURT: So I don't have a position. MS. MOYÉ: Your Honor, I think we have designated it 24 25 confidential and so I believe it should be done in a sealed

session. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 BY MR. BORNSTEIN: That's fine. We'll -- we'll save this now for -- for 4 5 confidentiality reasons, Mr. Cook. THE COURT: You do understand you're under an hour 6 7 total, right? 8 MR. BORNSTEIN: I'm sorry? 9 THE COURT: Your team is under an hour total in time left in trial. 10 11 MR. BORNSTEIN: Yes, I do. Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. BORNSTEIN: 12 13 Q. Mr. Cook, when -- when Apple agrees to share user data 14 with a Chinese state-owned entity and when Apple agrees to 15 take apps down off the App Store, that's a situation where its 16 commitment to privacy and its financial interests are in 17 conflict with one another, correct? 18 Α. No. 19 Q. Okay. 20 So you -- you're not thinking about the money, to use your 21 phrase from earlier today, when you choose to comply with 22 these Chinese requirements; is that right? 23 A. We have to comply with the laws in each of the 24 jurisdictions that we are -- operate in. 25 Well, sir, if there were multiple app stores and there

were direct distribution, governments would not be able to 1 2 come to Apple, to one source, to engage in this kind of 3 censorship, would they? If there were multiple app stores, they would go to 4 5 multiple people, I suppose --6 (Simultaneous colloquy.) 7 BY MR. BORNSTEIN: Well, because --8 Q. 9 If you look at the Android stores, they do the same thing Α. with the Android stores. 10 11 Well, because people pay you to make decisions for them, 12 governments can come to you to make decisions for their 13 citizens; isn't that right? 14 A. Governments have the right to pass laws for their 15 citizens. 16 Well, Apple doesn't have to follow or agree with or comply 17 with laws that it believes to be unjust or inconsistent with its commitment to privacy, does it? 18 19 Α. We have to comply with the laws in the jurisdictions that 20 we operate in. 21 Sure. But you make a decision to continue to operate in Q. 22 those jurisdictions. 23 Yes, and --A. 24 Q. Correct? 25 -- I strongly believe it's in the best interests of the Α.

people there that we do operate. 1 2 Q. Yes, you've said that previously, that it's better to be 3 there and -- rather than yell at the sidelines, I think was your phrase, correct? 4 5 That sounds like something I would say. Α. Q. 6 Okay. 7 Not every company makes that decision though, right? Some 8 companies choose to take a principled stand of another sort 9 and not engage in that kind of decision-making. Fair? 10 I know of nobody in the smartphone business that is not selling in China. 11 12 Q. All right. 13 Well let's take a look, if you would, at Exhibit 16 --14 PX1667. 15 A. (Reviewing document.) 16 Q. Do you have that, sir? 17 Α. I do. 18 Okay. And this is an email that went to Mark Grimm at 19 Apple. Do you know Mr. Grimm? 20 Α. I do not. 21 Q. Okay. 22 You can see further -- it's the bottom of the page, 23 there's an email from Mr. Sweeney at Epic to -- to Mr. Grimm. 24 It says "Dear Mark." Do you see that? 25 I see the "Dear Mark." Α.

Q. Okay. I take it you've never seen this document before? 1 2 Α. No. I'm not on copy of it and have never seen it. 3 Q. All right. Well, let me just direct you, then, to the second page, the last paragraph there. 4 5 MR. BORNSTEIN: If you can put it on the screen, Mr. Rudd, if you can. 6 7 (Exhibit published.) BY MR. BORNSTEIN: 8 There is an email from Mr. Sweeney that says: 9 Q. 10 "There are deep perils in Apple operating the only allowed 11 software distribution facility on iOS as it allows repressive 12 regimes to demand developer participation in their 13 surveillance and censorship programs using Apple as a proxy 14 for enforcement. 15 "This peril does not exist on other general computing 16 platforms such as Android, Windows, Mac, and Linux in which 17 users have the freedom to install software directly from sources of their own choosing." 18 19 Do you see that? 20 Α. I see it written. 21 Okay. And but this concept never made it to you at Apple? Q. 22 Α. I've never seen this note before. 23 Q. And have you heard this concept before, sir? 24 Α. No.

25

Q.

No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And are you aware, sir, that Epic took a position where it refused to engage in a -- in a business context where it was going to be required to compromise user privacy and data? Α. I'm not aware. All right. Look quickly, if you would, at the first page of the document where Mr. Sweeney writes to Mr. Grimm at Apple: "We've looked into the requirements for obtaining a Vietnam license for Fortnite under Decree 72 and have decided that we can't ethically comply. Obtaining the license would force Epic to take actions violating the basic human rights of our users and would impose censorship over Epic's own right to creative expression." Do you see that? Α. I do not. Where -- where are you? I am on the bottom of the first page. And it's on the Q. screen in front of you as well, sir. (Reviewing document.) Α. I see it. All right. And you weren't aware that Epic had taken this -- taken this position? No, I'm not. Α. And I guess you disagree, you think Epic should -- should Q. be in Vietnam and -- and try to effect change rather than yell from the sidelines.

Α. I don't take a judgment on what other people should do. 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q.

Fair enough.

- Is it your view that there are no benefits whatsoever to users in being allowed to download software from outside the Mac App Store on their Macintosh computers?
- Α. I think the Mac and the iPhone are very different.
- Okay. Question, though, is do you see any benefit at all to users of the Macintosh in being allowed to download apps from outside the Mac App Store? Are there any benefits at all?
- 11 Α. Today not all of the apps are on the Mac App Store, and so there would be an availability point there.
 - Q. Okay. And do you think there are any benefits to users in having a design that enables them to choose apps that are outside the Mac App Store?
 - A. I think it would be a lot safer if we did it the other way.
 - Q. So but the consumer choice element is not, in your view, a benefit to Mac users?
 - A. Well, today not all apps are on the store, and so there might be a user benefit in having access to something that's not on the store.
- 23 Are you aware, sir, that your counsel --
- 24 MR. BORNSTEIN: Ooh. Gesundheit, Your Honor.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Bless you.

BY MR. BORNSTEIN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Are you aware, sir, that your counsel in this case has made the argument that accepting Epic's position would have the effect of also condemning the business models of the various game consoles?
- A. Of condemning?
- Q. Of -- of finding them to be unlawful.
- A. It would make sense to me that that would be the case.
 - Q. You don't know one way or the other whether counsel has made that argument?
- A. No.
 - **Q.** Okay.
- And when I'm talking about the game consoles, you
 understand me to mean Xbox and PlayStation and the Switch,
 yes?
 - A. Correct.
- 17 **Q.** And now Xbox is owned by Microsoft?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And Microsoft, are you aware, is one of this third parties that came into court to testify here?
- 21 **A.** I am aware.
- Q. And Microsoft the Microsoft witness who came is someone who had responsibilities for the Xbox. Were you aware of that?
- 25 **A.** I haven't listened to the testimony.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: So Microsoft itself did not come in, as I understood it. She came in in her personal capacity. MR. BORNSTEIN: That's correct. I -- yes, Your Honor. There was an individual from Microsoft who came in and testified who was someone who had responsibility for the Xbox in her job, correct? I have not listened to the testimonies. Α. Q. Okay. And you weren't aware one way or the other whether there was such a person; is that correct? Α. I knew somebody from Microsoft is coming in. Q. All right. This person from Microsoft who's come in to testify, fair to assume that at least she doesn't believe that accepting Epic's position would wind up dooming the Xbox business in its current model? MS. MOYÉ: Object to the form, foundation. THE COURT: Sustained. MR. BORNSTEIN: All right. Sir, you testified before -- we talked about your testimony at the House Judiciary Committee last summer already once, correct? Yes. You brought up a clip from it. Q. Yes. Another question you were asked at the House Judiciary Committee was what's to stop Apple from increasing its

commissions to 50 percent. Do you recall that? 1 2 No. Could you point me to it? A. 3 Q. Sure. MR. BORNSTEIN: I'll ask Mr. Rudd once again to play 4 5 the -- the clip of PX1725. 6 (Video recording was played.) 7 BY MR. BORNSTEIN: 8 And, sir, that was your testimony in Congress? 9 Α. Yes. Okay. And you said that: We've never increased 10 11 commissions in the store. Right? That was your testimony, 12 correct? 13 Α. Yes, that's what I said. 14 Okay. And it's true that Apple's never actually increased 15 the commission rate in the store, but Apple has expanded the 16 scope of transactions to which that commission applies, 17 correct? We've announced new product features, if that's what you 18 19 mean. 20 Q. Well, for example, sir, prior to the launch of the IAP 21 system in 2009, developers were able to offer in-app commerce 22 in their own apps without paying a commission to Apple, 23 correct? 24 Α. Not to my knowledge. 25 All right. Well, let's take a look, sir, at PX1701 in Q.

1 your binder. 2 (Reviewing document.) A. 3 Q. Do you have 1701? Α. I do. 4 5 Okay. And do you know Ms. Pruden who is the recipient of 6 this email? 7 A. I do. 8 Q. And what's her role at Apple? 9 Α. She works in the worldwide developer relations area. 10 Q. Okay. 11 And Ms. Pruden, in February of 2009, receives this email 12 regarding Skyscape. Do you see that? 13 Α. Yes, I see it. 14 Okay. And if you look on the second page of -- of the 15 document, you'll see it's a little hard to read. 16 understand. But you'll see a series of screenshots from this 17 Skyscape app. Do you see that? (Reviewing document.) 18 Α. 19 I -- I see it, but I can't see it. 20 Well, maybe we can have Mr. Rudd --Q. 21 Α. Is it possible to blow it up? 22 Q. -- blow up that piece of it on the screen. 23 MR. BORNSTEIN: Let's just keep it to that page and 24 we can focus in so we don't have anything confidential here. 25 Although it is from 2009. And I'm on page 2, Mr. Rudd.

Do we not have that one? Okay. 1 2 Well, I'll ask you, sir, I'll show you the part I'm 3 looking at. It may take a little bit of squinting, but fortunately you've got your glasses. 4 THE COURT: So you have -- you can use the ELMO. Old 5 6 school. 7 MR. BORNSTEIN: I think we can get through it, Your 8 Honor. 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. BORNSTEIN: But thank you. 11 In the -- the top left corner of page 2, do you see 12 there's a section that says "Violations." 13 A. (Reviewing document.) 14 Under the word Skyscape. Q. 15 Α. I see it. 16 All right. And it indicates that there's a store within 17 the app. App on iPhone is free. And then you purchase all your content directly from Skyscape from within the app. Do 18 19 you see that? 20 I see it written. Α. 21 Okay. And were you aware that that was something that Q. developers could do, in fact, in February of 2009 --22 23 MS. MOYÉ: Objection. BY MR. BORNSTEIN: 24 25 -- before the launch of IAP?

THE COURT: He can --1 MS. MOYÉ: Objection, foundation. 2 3 THE COURT: Overruled. He can answer if he knows. THE WITNESS: I don't know. When I -- as I look at 4 5 this today, it's not clear that it's on the store. It looks like it's going through a review. 6 BY MR. BORNSTEIN: 7 Q. Well, let's look, then, sir --8 9 MR. BORNSTEIN: First of all, I'd move 1701 into evidence, Your Honor. 10 11 THE COURT: No objection? 12 MS. MOYÉ: No objection subject to our hearsay 13 reservation, Your Honor. Portions of this appear to be 14 prepared by third parties. THE COURT: Well, this looks like a business record. 15 16 Why is it not a business record? 17 MS. MOYÉ: It's not clear whether this is 18 Apple-generated content with Sky -- Skyscape versus a 19 submission by Skyscape created by them. 20 The cover email is certainly a business record, Your 21 Honor. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. BORNSTEIN: I assume --24 THE COURT: Admitted. Admitted with the limitations 25 that I have on all the other hearsay documents attached.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1701 received in evidence) 1 2 MR. BORNSTEIN: That's fine, Your Honor, although I 3 would argue that Skyscape certainly wasn't the one who indicated that there was a violation. That wouldn't make much 4 5 sense. THE COURT: So I don't know. 6 7 MR. BORNSTEIN: I understand. 8 THE COURT: And like I said, you know, we have rules 9 in litigation. We follow the rules. MR. BORNSTEIN: Absolutely, Your Honor. 10 11 Well, let me turn you, then, given your answer, sir, to 12 1709, PX1709. 13 A. (Reviewing document.) 14 Q. Do you have that? 15 Α. Yes. It's in front of me. 16 Great. And do you know the recipient of this email, Q. 17 Mr. Haun, C.K. Haun? 18 Yes. He was a long-time employee that I believe recently 19 retired. 20 Q. Okay. 21 And the email to Mr. Haun, referring to an app called Unbound Medicine, states that "The Unbound Medicine app used 22 23 to have an order form within the app which was actually a web 24 kit view loading an optimized website. They have, as 25 instructed by us, changed that so now their app instead

launches Safari and the customer proceeds on the Unbound 1 2 Medicine website." 3 Do you see that? I do see it. 4 Α. 5 All right. So safe to say this app at least was up on the 6 store, correct? 7 MS. MOYÉ: Object to the form, foundation. THE WITNESS: It's not clear to me that it is. 8 9 BY MR. BORNSTEIN: Well, sir, look down at the bottom of this page where 10 11 there is sort of a three-paragraph email. Do you see that? 12 A. Is it on the second page or --13 Q. No. First -- first page, sir? 14 Α. First page. 15 (Reviewing document.) 16 It says, "Have you had a chance to step through the app as Q. 17 it is now appearing on the App Store"? 18 Α. I see that. 19 And then it goes on to say, "It looks like it continues to 20 violate the T's and C's, specifically with in-app commerce. 21 Do you see that? 22 Α. I see it, yes. 23 Q. Okay. Does this refresh your recollection, sir, that 24 in-app commerce was available in apps in 2009 before the 25 launch of the IAP functionality?

Α. No, it doesn't refresh my memory. 1 2 MR. BORNSTEIN: Your Honor, we'd move PX1709 into 3 evidence. THE COURT: No objection? 4 5 MS. MOYÉ: No objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: Admitted. 6 7 (Plaintiff's Exhibit PX1709 received in evidence) BY MR. BORNSTEIN: 8 9 All right. And incidentally, sir, this says that Apple instructed Unbound Medicine to change the app so it instead 10 11 launches Safari for a purchase. 12 See that in the top email? 13 A. (Reviewing document.) 14 I see it in writing. 15 Okay. So that's like Apple hanging that sign saying go 16 purchase this at Best Buy, isn't it? Saying go buy this in 17 Safari instead of doing it in the app? A. I'm not familiar with this email at all. I'm not on copy 18 19 of it. 20 Okay. But you are aware now, sir, that this would not be 21 permitted to have a link to go to Safari to make a purchase, 22 correct? Under your current rules? 23 A. That's correct. All right. 24 Q. 25 And your counsel asked you also about emails and whether

you can communicate by email to -- to consumers, correct?

A. She did.

Q. And you're -- you're aware that your current guideline states that apps cannot, either within the app or through communications sent to points of contact obtained from account registration within the app, encourage users to use a purchasing method other than in-app purchase.

Are you aware of that rule?

- A. As I testified earlier, you can mass market.
- Q. Okay. But you can't mass market to emails that were obtained from account registration within the app, correct?
- A. Sure you can.
- Q. So if I -- well, so is this an incorrect guideline that's now no longer enforced?
 - A. I think we may interpret that differently than you did.
 - Q. Well, if somebody signs up to an app and shares their email through account registration in the app, you can't then send that person a communication encouraging them to purchase elsewhere, correct?
 - A. You can't do something like in one day you get the email address and then the next day you always send that person a direct marketing. But you can you can direct market to your base which includes that email, assuming the customer gave you the email.
 - Q. Right, as long as it doesn't come from account

```
registration in the app. You have to get -- the developer has
 1
 2
      to get the email through some other source, correct?
 3
          If -- if the developer gets the email from the customer,
       right, then they can use it.
 4
 5
          Right. But if they get it through registering on the app,
       they can't, correct?
 6
 7
           I'm not sure what you mean by "registering on the app."
      Α.
 8
         Well, the -- the language -- the language will speak for
       Q.
 9
       itself in your guideline, sir.
           Let me move to a -- to a different subject.
10
11
                MR. BORNSTEIN: Actually, Your Honor, I think I
12
       should probably reserve some time for the sealed section.
13
                THE COURT: I was going to say you're -- you're at
       33 minutes, so you might --
14
15
                MR. BORNSTEIN: I'll reserve some time for the sealed
16
       section.
17
                THE COURT: Okay.
18
                MR. BORNSTEIN: And I'll pass the witness.
                THE COURT: All right. So you want to do the cross
19
20
       first with respect to --
21
                MS. MOYÉ: Yes, Your Honor.
22
                THE COURT: -- the public session?
                MS. MOYÉ: Yes, if that would be --
23
                THE COURT: Go ahead.
24
25
                MS. MOYÉ: -- acceptable.
```

COOK - REDIRECT / MOYÉ

1 Thank you. 2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MOYÉ: 3 Hello again, Mr. Cook. 4 Q. Hello there. 5 Α. Just a few questions on subjects that were just covered by 6 7 Epic's counsel. 8 Let's start first with the questioning about search ads. 9 Was the introduction of search ads intended to help with app discovery, sir? 10 11 Α. It was. 12 Q. And when that search ad feature was offered at WWDC in 13 2016, were there other features that were offered to improve 14 discovery? 15 My recollection was that that was the year that we 16 announced the "Today" tab which was a -- a way to do editorial 17 and feature apps. I think it also changed the recommendation engine and some 18 19 other things that that would help in discovery as well. 20 Was there a filtering of installed apps that was announced 21 at WWDC also, in 2016, do you recall? 22 A filtering of installed apps. I don't recall. Α. 23 Was there an effort made, and then we talked about app Q. discovery in the 2015, 2016 --24 25

Α.

Right.

COOK - REDIRECT / MOYÉ

1 -- time frame to improve the number of apps in the store, Q. 2 to filter or --3 A. Yes. That's the question I'm getting at. 4 Q. 5 Yes, I think we went through quite a process to -- to take Α. out some of the apps in the store which would inherently 6 7 improve discovery as well. 8 Q. Was there, at some point, a "Games" tab added to the App 9 Store? 10 Yes, the separation of games and apps was also another way 11 to increase discovery. 12 Q. Thank you, sir. 13 And then let's talk about the questioning about the Google 14 agreement. 15 Does Apple have agreements with other search engines as 16 well? 17 Α. We do. 18 And do those agreements have similar revenue to the Google 19 agreement? 20 Α. I -- I believe so. 21 And why does Apple make Google the default? Q. 22 Α. Because they're the best search engine. 23 Q. Thank you, sir. Let's talk a little bit about the financial documents. 24

25

2385 is in my binder.

```
2392 is in my binder.
 1
 2
      A.
           (Reviewing document.)
 3
      Q.
           And then there's a 2391 in the Epic binder.
      Α.
          (Reviewing document.)
 4
 5
           I've got 2385 in front of me, if that helps.
 6
           Maybe let's start with 2391 which is in Epic's big binder,
      Q.
 7
      their --
 8
      Α.
           Okay.
           -- binder 1. It's their really big one. It's the one
 9
      Q.
10
      Mr. Bornstein was just asking you about.
11
           (Reviewing document.)
      Α.
12
           Okay. I'm on 2391.
13
           Now, Mr. Bornstein asked you whether this 2391 was the
14
       same kind of document that we had looked at, 2385 and 2392.
15
      Do you recall that line of questioning?
16
          I think he asserted it was, but I don't really see it like
17
      that.
           Could you explain? Is it the same kind of document?
18
19
                This is a quarterly P&L update for the company. And,
      Α.
20
       yes, it has some other things in it, but -- but this is more
21
       of a regular document versus this other thing was a
22
      benchmarking kind of document, looking at other companies and
23
      their operating margins, and that sort of thing.
          Thank you, sir.
24
      Q.
25
           And who reviewed 2391?
```

- 1 A. (Reviewing document.)
- 2 **Q.** If you can recall.
- A. I don't recall this exact meeting. But, generally, this would have a broader audience to it than the other one.
 - Q. Thank you, sir.
 - And there was questioning about whether 2385 and 2392 were regular presentations. Do you recall that?
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

- 9 **Q.** Are there any other presentations like that that you're aware of?
- 11 **A.** I don't recall any. I think it was the first time that it happened.
 - Q. And both of those were dated in September 2019?
- 14 A. I think so, yes.
- Q. And have you seen any documents like that since September 2019?
- 17 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Mr. Bornstein asked you a lot of questions about whether
 you understood what Epic's expert, Mr. Ned Barnes, had done in
 his expert work. Do you recall that?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. Did Mr. Ned Barnes work at Apple in the 2019 time period?
- 23 A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Did Mr. Ned Barnes provide any input into the three profitability documents we looked at, 2385, 2391, and 2392?

COOK - REDIRECT / MOYÉ

Α. No.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Who, sir, do you believe is in a better position to give 3 truthful testimony to the Court on the meaning of those three documents, you or Mr. Ned Barnes?
 - I -- I am. I was in the meeting. Α.
 - Do those documents contain fully burdened P&L information for the App Store as a stand-alone business unit?
 - A. They do not.
 - And are you concerned at all that Mr. Ned Barnes came in and said that he could compare some numbers in at least two of those documents, 2385 and 2392, to publicly reported documents?
 - I don't see how that would be possible because the publicly reported numbers are more of gross margin at the services level, not operating margin per service type.
 - Q. You were asked also some questions about offering Epic the ability to come back into the App Store after it deliberately breached its agreements with Apple. Do you recall that testimony?
 - Α. Yes.
 - And I believe you explained that you considered that conduct to be malicious; is that right, sir?
- That's correct. 23 A.
 - Q. Do you still consider it to be malicious?
- 25 Α. I do.

Q. Why did Apple offer Epic the opportunity to come back into

A. Because we thought it would be the right thing for the user and that the user was being put in the middle here of a business dispute, and the -- that was a terrible thing to do from the -- from the beginning.

And so we thought the business dispute should be settled in -- in court, if it had to, like we've wound up, but not have the user suffer from that.

- Q. Was the offer that -- that was made to Epic, "Epic, you can come back into the App Store but continue malicious conduct"?
- A. No, of course not. They would have to commit to abiding by the rules.
- Q. You were asked some questions about a Schedule 2 to the developer program license agreement. I think it's number 2943. Do you remember that? It's in the big Epic binder.
- A. 29 -- let me just make sure of the -- I recall it correctly.

Oh, this is the one I was asked questions about termination?

(Reviewing document.)

Q. Correct.

the store?

- A. Yeah, I have it in front of me.
- 25 Q. Do you know whether or not Japanese law required the

addition of the language that Mr. Bornstein asked you about? 1 2 MR. BORNSTEIN: Objection, foundation. 3 THE COURT: Well, that's -- so lay some foundation. Sustained. 4 BY MS. MOYÉ: 5 6 Q. Sir, do you know what motivated the changes to that 7 license agreement provision that Mr. Bornstein asked you 8 about? 9 My understanding is there was something in Japanese law that required it. 10 11 Q. Thank you. 12 You were asked about whether other companies could perform 13 app review as well as Apple. Do you remember that line of 14 questioning? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Sir, do you believe Apple has a unique ability to protect 17 user privacy on iOS devices? 18 Α. I strongly feel that we do. 19 Q. Can you explain why, sir? 20 Α. Well, we've been at the app review for -- since 2008. 21 We've built up a number of tools and we build up the -- the 22 human expertise to evaluate it. We sort of -- we know a lot 23 of the things to look for. 24 And in terms of the operating system itself, I think the 25 results speak for itself in terms of the -- the malware that

Case 4:20-cv-05640-YGR Document 758 Filed 05/26/21 Page 144 of 210 3970 COOK - REDIRECT / MOYÉ hits iOS versus the malware that hits other -- other operating 1 2 systems. Thank you, sir. 3 Q. And then you were asked some questions about China and 4 5 Chinese law. Do you remember that? Α. 6 I do. 7 Sir, does Apple have an option whether it can or cannot 8 decide to follow Chinese law? 9 A. No. Does any company, to your knowledge, have the option to 10 11 defy Chinese law? 12 A. No. And -- it -- a little bit more here. We ship the 13 same iPhone in China that we ship everywhere else in the

- A. No. And -- it -- a little bit more here. We ship the same iPhone in China that we ship everywhere else in the world. It has the same encryption on it. iMessage is the same. FaceTime is the same. And so the product, other than the cloud piece, is the same.
- Q. And you were asked about complying with requests to take down from the Chinese government. Do you recall that line of questioning?
- A. I do.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And in that situation, what is your understanding of why the Chinese government is making that request?
- A. Because in their view it's not lawful.
 - Q. And are you asked to take down apps based on legal requests in other jurisdictions as well?

A. Yes.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

24

- Q. And do you make any difference in determining whether you're going to follow the law in China versus any other jurisdiction?
 - A. No. We have to follow the law in each jurisdiction that we're in.
 - Q. And is it your understanding that that's the same for all companies?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Does Apple take steps to protect user privacy in China?
- 11 **A.** Yes, of course. We have the same app tracking
 12 transparency that I reviewed earlier. That's in China. The
 13 intelligent tracking prevention is in China. The app
- nutrition label is in China. The bulk of things we do are the same everywhere around the world.
 - Q. You were asked some questions about whether developers can communicate with their customers about other payment options.

 Do you remember that from Mr. Bornstein?
 - A. Yes. Yes.
- Q. And would you explain for the Court, can developers send email communications to their customers?
- A. Yes, of course, as long as they have the email address.

 As long as the customer freely gives them their email address.
 - Q. Thank you, sir.

 And you were asked about the availability of in-app

COOK - REDIRECT / MOYÉ

commerce on the App Store prior to the introduction of the IAP 1 2 functionality. Do you remember that? 3 Α. I do. And you were shown a document, it's 1709, I believe. 4 Q. 5 Α. (Reviewing document.) 6 Yes. 7 And that document, the third paragraph from the bottom has 8 the following language: 9 "Have you had a chance to step through the app as it is now appearing on the App Store? It looks like it continues to 10 11 violate the T's and C's, especially with in-app commerce." 12 Do you see that language? 13 A. I do. 14 Does that language suggest to you that in-app commerce was 15 allowed on the App Store? 16 A. No. It was --17 MR. BORNSTEIN: Objection, leading. THE COURT: Sustained. 18 19 BY MS. MOYÉ: 20 Q. And what is your testimony? What is your understanding as 21 to whether in-app commerce was allowed on the App Store prior to the introduction of IAP? 22 23 That it was not allowed. Α. 24 Have you seen any document here today that causes you to 25 change that judgment?

```
1
      A.
           No, not at all.
 2
           You were also asked about a Skyscape app.
           I have to find the exhibit number. It's Exhibit
 3
      number 1701.
 4
 5
      A.
           (Reviewing document.)
 6
           Do you have it, sir?
       Q.
 7
      Α.
          I have it.
 8
       Q.
          Thank you.
 9
           Now, Mr. Bornstein asked you some questions about
10
       references to in-app commerce in that document.
11
           You recall that?
12
       A. Correct.
13
           I'd like to you take another -- a look at another document
14
       related to Skyscape. This is PX1813.
15
       Α.
          1813.
16
                MS. MOYÉ: It's not in your binder, sir. I'm going
       to have to bring you a copy --
17
18
                THE WITNESS: Okay.
19
                MS. MOYÉ: -- with the Court's permission.
20
                THE COURT: You may.
21
                THE WITNESS:
                              Thank you.
22
                        (Pause in the proceedings.)
      BY MS. MOYÉ:
23
24
       Q.
           Do you have that document, sir, PX1813?
25
       Α.
           I do.
```

1 Q. And this is dated February 9, 2009; is that correct? 2 Α. That's correct. 3 Q. And it also copies Ms. Shaan Pruden who's referenced in 4 the other document? 5 A. It does. 6 If you would turn to the page .3. Q. 7 (Reviewing document.) A. 8 Do you see the language there, "Skyscape. Need to remove Q. 9 in-app commerce capabilities. Working to have them change 10 their model for providing medical reference." 11 Do you see that? 12 Α. I do. 13 Q. Look at page 1813.2. 14 Α. (Reviewing document.) 15 Do you see the language, "Challenges. Modality has 16 started to lose some bigger licensing deals to Skyscape who 17 sells content from within their free app. Working with 18 Skyscape on updating their app to be compliant with current 19 T's and C's." 20 Do you see that language? 21 Α. I do. 22 One other document that I want to ask you about, and 23 before I do, do you receive messages of support from 24 developers, Mr. Cook?

25

Α.

Yes, of course.

Q. And are you familiar with Snap? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Okay. I'd like to show you another document, DX5577. MS. MOYÉ: Your Honor, if I could approach the 4 5 witness. THE COURT: 6 You may. 7 (Handing document.) THE WITNESS: Thank you. 8 BY MS. MOYÉ: 9 10 Sir, do you recognize this into -- do you recognize this, 11 I'm sorry, as a publication dated May 21st, 2021? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. From today. 14 Α. (Reviewing document.) 15 Q. And what is the caption of this article? 16 MR. BORNSTEIN: Your Honor, I object. This is a news 17 article that came out while Mr. Cook was on the witness stand. He's never seen it before. And it's hearsay. 18 19 MS. MOYÉ: Your Honor, Mr. Cook was asked about how 20 developers respond. He was also asked why no developers had 21 shown up. I think this is proper redirect in light of those 22 line of questioning. 23 THE COURT: It's hearsay. Sustained. 24 25 There's other evidence in the record.

1	MS. MOYE: Your Honor, we would like to move PX1813
2	into evidence.
3	THE COURT: It was already in.
4	MS. MOYÉ: It's already in?
5	THE COURT: Yes, it is.
6	MS. MOYÉ: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	Just one second, please.
8	(Pause in the proceedings.)
9	THE WITNESS: Do I have this one? 1813?
LO	MS. MOYÉ: We've already moved it into evidence so we
L1	don't need to deal with it anymore.
L2	THE WITNESS: Oh.
L3	MS. MOYÉ: Thank you, sir.
L 4	Okay. We pass the witness.
L5	THE COURT: Any recross on those topics?
L 6	MR. BORNSTEIN: Your honor, before I begin recross, I
L7	was advised that I neglected to move PX1667 into evidence.
L8	This was the email exchange between Mr. Sweeney and Mr. Grimm
L 9	relating to Vietnam.
20	THE COURT: 1667 is admitted.
21	MR. BORNSTEIN: Thank you.
22	(Plaintiff's Exhibit PX1667 received in evidence)
23	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. BORNSTEIN:
25	Q. Mr. Cook, can you look again briefly at PX2391. This is

in the binder that I gave you. 1 2 Α. (Reviewing document.) 3 Q. This is the December 2019 presentation from your corporate financial planning and analysis group, correct? 4 5 A. Yes, I see it. All right. And this one you said was different from the 6 7 ones in September, correct? 8 Α. Yes. 9 But it does have at the back, beginning at page .104, 10 the same type of profitability analysis that we saw in PX2385, 11 correct? 12 Α. Could you give me the page number. 13 Q. Begins at .104. 14 Α. (Reviewing document.) 15 104. 16 (Reviewing document.) 17 Do you have that now, sir? Q. Α. 18 I do. 19 And this is the same kind of profitability analysis that 20 was discussed at your meeting with Mr. Maestri and Ms. Casey 21 in September of 2019, correct? 22 The other one had a benchmarking exercise on it which showed a bunch of different companies --23 24 **Q**. True. And it had this information that appears here as 25 well, just one quarter back, correct?

- 1 A. I'd have to look back at that one again.
- Q. All right. But -- and this one, the December 1, you said
- 3 wasn't just you and Mr. Maestri and Ms. Casey. You said this
- 4 one went to a broader audience, correct?
- 5 **A.** The first part of this one.
- 6 Q. So you're saying only the first part of the document went
- 7 to the broader audience and this profitability part got cut
- 8 off when it was distributed to everybody else?
- 9 A. I don't know.
- 10 **Q.** Okay.
- 11 **A.** I know the long-range forecast --
- 12 (Simultaneous colloquy.)
- 13 **Q.** But you --
- 14 A. -- more people.
- 15 Q. Right. But you don't know whether this went to the
- 16 broader audience or not?
- 17 **A.** I don't.
- 18 **Q.** Despite your testimony earlier? That it went to a --
- 19 A. I testified --
- 20 Q. -- broader audience.
- 21 A. -- earlier to the earlier document.
- 22 **Q.** Okay.
- 23 **A.** To the previous document.
- 24 Q. Mr. Cook, earlier you testified that you didn't know about
- 25 the addition of the language on termination to section 7.1 of

COOK - RECROSS / BORNSTEIN Schedule 2, correct? 1 2 I didn't know when it was done. 3 Well, you also said you hadn't seen the language before, 4 correct? 5 I don't think I have seen the language. Α. 6 Okay. And you didn't know why the change had happened, 7 correct? 8 I was reminded with counsel that we had a change because 9 of a regulatory issue in Japan. 10 Right. That -- that was something you didn't remember 11 when you were on the stand before, and you remembered only 12 when counsel prompted you, correct? 13 A. Correct. 14 Q. Okay. 15 Staying on 2391, sir, take a look at .86, please. 16 A. (Reviewing document.) 17 Q. Do you have that page? Α. 18 I do. 19 All right. And you testified in response to questions 20 from Ms. Moyé that you have search-related deals with companies other than Google, correct? 21 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. All right. 24 Now, if you look on .86, you'll see about a third of the

way down, there's a line that says licensing.

1 Do you see that? 2 A. I do. 3 Q. And that's your search deals, correct? Α. (Reviewing document.) 4 5 It's definitely the search deals. It may have something else in it as well. I'm not sure. 6 7 Okay. And I'm not going to read the numbers there, but 8 you can see that the Google number is quite a bit larger than 9 other licensing, correct? 10 Α. Yes. It is. 11 And the Google deal is far and away the largest of your 12 search deals, correct? 13 Α. Yes, it is. 14 Q. Okay. 15 And the last document I'll direct you to, sir, Ms. Moyé 16 said that there was, I believe, no document that reflected the 17 fact that there was in-app commerce capability prior to 2009. Is that -- to the launch of IAP in 2009. Do you recall that? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 And then she read to you from PX1813 something that says 21 that Skyscape sells content from within their free app. 22 Do you recall that? 23 A. Could you give me the number again?

A. (Reviewing document.)

Sure, PX1813.2.

24

25

Q.

1 1813. 2 It was something that Ms. Moyé handed you loose. Q. 3 Α. Oh. Yes. Q. 4 Okay. 5 Α. Sorry. 6 And you see near the top of the second page, it says, 7 "Modality has started to lose some bigger licensing deals to 8 Skyscape who sells content from within their free app." 9 Do you see that? 10 I see it. Α. 11 So it was possible at this time for apps like Skyscape to 12 sell content within their free app, correct? 13 Α. It was definitely against the rules. I have no idea 14 whether they were doing it or not. 15 Okay. Well, let's take a look, then, at, in my binder --Q. 16 Α. Yeah. 17 Q. -- PX1703. 18 Do you have that? 19 Α. I do. 20 Okay. And this one is another email that went to Q. 21 Ms. Pruden, whom we've discussed, correct? 22 Α. (Reviewing document.) 23 Yes. 24 Q. Okay. And you can see the individual who wrote this 25 email, Mary Beth Janes, has an Apple email address, correct?

A. She does.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

19

20

21

22

24

25

Q. All right.

And what she writes to Ms. Pruden, you can see at the bottom, is that -- an individual associated with the Stanza app wants to know which of these three he should be using as his model.

Do you see that?

- A. No. Could you point me to the right place?
- Q. It's the third-to-last paragraph on page 1.
- A. (Reviewing document.)
- Q. I tried not to pronounce the gentleman's name, but it looks like Mark Prudamo [phonetic].
- A. (Reviewing document.)
- 14 I -- I see it.
 - Q. Okay. And according to Ms. Janes, this person wanted to know which of these three he should be using as his model.
- 17 Do you see that?
- 18 A. Yes, I see it.
 - Q. Okay. And then there are a couple of options, including the Amazon Kindle, which has free sample chapters of books with a "Buy Now" button enabling the transaction in the app with one-click purchasing.
- Do you see that?
 - A. I do see it.
 - Q. And Apple allowed the Amazon Kindle app to have purchasing

```
within the app before the launch of IAP, correct?
 1
                MS. MOYÉ: Objection, foundation.
 2
 3
                THE COURT: Overruled.
                THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.
 4
      BY MR. BORNSTEIN:
 5
           Okay. I'll have to try one more then, sir.
 6
 7
                MR. BORNSTEIN: But first, Your Honor, I'd move
 8
      PX1703 into evidence.
 9
                THE COURT: No objection?
                MS. MOYÉ: No objection.
10
11
                THE COURT: Admitted.
             (Plaintiff's Exhibit PX1703 received in evidence)
12
      BY MR. BORNSTEIN:
13
14
           Take a look, sir, then at PX1714.
       Q.
15
       Α.
          (Reviewing document.)
16
       Q.
          Do you have that?
17
       Α.
           I do.
           Now this is in November of 2010 after the launch of IAP,
18
19
       correct?
20
           I don't recall when IAP was launched.
       Α.
21
       Q.
           All right.
           I'll make a representation to you, sir, that I believe it
22
23
      was launched in 2009.
24
           Does that sound at least plausible to you?
25
           Yeah, it seems like somewhere around there.
       Α.
```

Okay. And you can see in the top email here from 1 Q. 2 Mr. Shoemaker -- do you remember who he is? 3 I don't recall him, but I know that he had something to do with app review for a while. 4 5 Okay. And now this is now again after IAP has been announced. He writes, "For e-books, we allow them to do what 6 7 Amazon's Kindle app does. They kick you out to their website 8 to purchase the actual book." 9 Correct? A. I see the writing. I don't know whether it's correct or 10 11 not, to be clear. 12 Q. Do you know whether at this point in time, Apple's policy 13 was to allow e-books like Amazon to have users purchase from 14 the web, to get kicked out of the app and purchase from the 15 web? 16 Α. This -- this sounds like the reader rule today. 17 Q. Okay. 18 And I don't recall exactly the dates on that. But the 19 reader rule allows someone to buy off the platform and consume 20 the content on the platform. 21 MR. BORNSTEIN: Your Honor, I have nothing else 22 within the scope of the examination. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. BORNSTEIN: Saving for the sealed portion.

THE COURT: All right.

```
And that last exhibit, did you want it in? 17 --
 1
 2
                MR. BORNSTEIN: Oh, yes, please.
 3
                THE COURT: 1714, is it?
                MR. BORNSTEIN: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct.
 4
 5
                THE COURT: Admitted.
             (Plaintiff's Exhibit PX1714 received in evidence)
 6
 7
                THE COURT: All right. Redirect limited to the scope
 8
       of this recross.
 9
                       FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
      BY MS. MOYÉ:
10
11
           I hate to ask you, but take one more look at PX2391. It's
12
       in Apple's big binder, Mr. Cook.
13
      A.
          2391.
14
           (Reviewing document.)
15
           Yes.
16
           And if you go to page .104 in that big book.
       Q.
17
       A.
           (Reviewing document.)
18
           Yes.
19
           There's a section of that document that refers to the
20
       services line of business; is that correct? It starts on that
21
       page.
22
       Α.
          Yes.
23
          Was that portion of this document shared with any of the
       Q.
24
      heads of the business units in that services line of business?
25
          Not to my knowledge.
```

And there is a notation of an operating margin on 1 Q. 2 page .105 for the App Store and for various other areas in 3 the services line of business. Do you see that, sir? 4 5 Α. I do. And just to be clear with the Court, does that margin on 6 7 that page reflect a fully burdened allocation of cost for the 8 App Store? It does not. 9 Α. 10 Q. Thank you, sir. 11 MS. MOYÉ: Nothing more. 12 THE COURT: Anything on that one question? 13 MR. BORNSTEIN: No, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: So, Mr. Cook, it was odd to me that you 15 did not remember anything about that termination provision 16 before the break, and it was only by the prompting of your 17 attorney's question that somehow you remembered it. What is it that you remembered, if anything? 18 19 THE WITNESS: I remember something happening in Japan 20 where we needed to make a change in the termination clause. 21 THE COURT: That's it, that's all you remember? 22 THE WITNESS: That's the extent of my memory. 23 THE COURT: At the beginning of your testimony, you 24 indicated that you wanted to focus on users. I've seen 25 evidence that a significant portion of revenue from in-app

COOK - FURTHER REDIRECT / MOYÉ

purchases come from gamers. 1 2 Have you seen evidence to that effect? 3 THE WITNESS: I have, Your Honor. THE COURT: And it's incredibly significant. As 4 5 compared to all other users, revenue is coming from gamers 6 more than anyone else. Am I right in my current 7 understanding? And -- and you see all these binders? I still 8 have to review them. 9 THE WITNESS: The majority of the revenue on the 10 App Store comes from games. 11 THE COURT: Okay. And in-app purchases in 12 particular, right? 13 THE WITNESS: Correct. 14 THE COURT: So what is the problem -- well, I would 15 say the other thing you said is you want to give users 16 control. Is that right? 17 THE WITNESS: That's right. For their data. THE COURT: So what is the problem with allowing 18 19 users to have choice, especially in the gaming context, to 20 find -- to have a cheaper option for content? 21 THE WITNESS: I think they have a choice today. They have a choice between many different Android models, the 22 23 smartphone, or an iPhone. And that iPhone has a certain set 24 of principles behind it from safety to security to privacy. 25 And --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: But if they wanted to go and get a cheaper Battle Pack or cheaper V-Bucks, and they don't know that they've got that option, what is the problem with Apple giving them that option? THE WITNESS: You mean in terms --THE COURT: At least that information that they can go and have a -- you know, a different option for -- for making purchases. THE WITNESS: If we allowed people to link out like that, we would in essence give up the -- our total return on our IP. THE COURT: Right, but you --THE WITNESS: Or --THE COURT: But you could also monetize it a different way, couldn't you? I mean, that is, the gaming industry seems to be generating a disproportionate amount of money relative to the IP that you're giving them and everybody else. In a sense, it's almost as if they're subsidizing everybody else. THE WITNESS: The -- the bulk of the apps on the App Store are free. THE COURT: That's right. THE WITNESS: And so you're right, there is some sort of subsidy there. However, the way that I look at that, Your Honor, is that by having such a large number of apps that are

free on the store, it increases the traffic to the store 1 2 dramatically. 3 And so the benefit somebody gets that's charging is they get a much higher audience to -- to sell to than they would 4 5 otherwise if there weren't free apps there. 6 THE COURT: So your logic, then, is that they don't 7 get the customer base so -- it's more of a customer base, not 8 an IP then? THE WITNESS: It's both. Because we -- we need a 9 return on our IP. I mean, we have a 150,000 API's to create 10 11 and maintain and numerous developer tools, and the customer 12 service piece of -- of dealing with all these transactions. 13 And so there's a lot of --14 THE COURT: Right, but let me ask you. 15 THE WITNESS: Sure. 16 THE COURT: So banking apps. Wells Fargo is based 17 here. I have a -- I have multiple banking apps. I haven't paid for them. But I suspect, what, other than the \$99, you 18 19 don't charge Wells Fargo, right? 20 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 21 THE COURT: Or Bank of America? 22 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 23 THE COURT: But you're charging the gamers to 24 subsidize Wells Fargo. 25 THE WITNESS: Well, in the gamer's example, they're

transacting on our platform. 1 2 THE COURT: People are doing lots of things on your 3 platform. So -- so --THE WITNESS: But this is a digital transaction with 4 5 a observable change in -- in currency. THE COURT: It's just -- it's just a choice of a 6 7 model. 8 THE WITNESS: We've -- we've made a choice, yeah. 9 There are -- there are clearly other ways to monetize and we 10 chose this one because we think this one overall is the best 11 way. 12 THE COURT: Well, it's quite lucrative. But it seems 13 to be lucrative and -- and focused on -- on purchases that are 14 being made frankly on an impulse basis -- that's a totally 15 different question about whether that's a good thing or not, 16 not really ripe for antitrust law. But it does appear to be 17 disproportionate. 18 I understand this notion that somehow Apple is bringing 19 the customer to the gamers. Right? The users. 20 But after that first time, after that first interaction, 21 the gamers are keeping the customer with the games, that is, 22 the developers of games are keeping their customers. Apple is 23 just profiting off that, it seems to me. 24 THE WITNESS: I view it differently than you do, Your 25 Honor.

THE COURT: You need to speak into the mic. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. 3 I view it differently than you do. I view that we're creating the entire level -- entire amount of commerce on the 4 5 store, and we're doing that by focusing on getting the largest audience there. We do that with a lot of free apps. And so 6 7 they bring a lot to the table. And despite we don't collect 8 any commission from them, they bring a lot to the table. 9 And then we have the majority of other people, the vast majority of other people that pay 15 percent. And only the 10 11 people that are really profiting in a major way are paying 30. 12 THE COURT: Yeah, but the 15 percent, right -- you 13 would agree with the basic proposition that competition is 14 good. 15 THE WITNESS: I think competition is great. We have 16 fierce competition in our business. 17 THE COURT: You don't have competition in those 18 in-app purchases, though. 19 THE WITNESS: Sure. I mean, somebody could go, if 20 they're on -- if they're a gamer, they can go buy on the Sony 21 PlayStation or the Microsoft XBox or the Nintendo Switch. THE COURT: Well, only if they -- only if they know. 22 23 Right? THE WITNESS: But -- well, but that's up to the 24 25 developer to communicate.

THE COURT: And only if they decide to switch in 1 2 terms of how they do things, right? 3 THE WITNESS: Usually people have both. THE COURT: The issue with the \$1 million Small 4 5 Business Program, at least from what I've seen thus far, that really wasn't the result of competition. That seemed to be a 6 7 result of the pressure that you're feeling from 8 investigations, from lawsuits, not competition. 9 THE WITNESS: It was the result of -- of feeling like we should do from a COVID point of view, and then electing to, 10 11 instead of doing something very temporary, just do something 12 permanent. 13 And of course we had those things -- the lawsuits and all 14 the rest of the stuff in the back of my head, but the thing 15 that triggered it was we were very worried about small 16 business. 17 THE COURT: Okay. But it wasn't competition. 18 THE WITNESS: It was competition after we did ours to 19 15, it was competition that made Google drop theirs to 15. 20 You can tell the --21 THE COURT: I understand perhaps that -- that Google 22 did, you know, that Google changed its price. But your action 23 wasn't the result of competition. THE WITNESS: It was the result of feeling like we 24 25 should do something for small business, which in our -- which

in our vernacular is small developer.

THE COURT: So when other stores reduced their price, Steam reduced their price, you felt no pressure, right, to reduce your price?

THE WITNESS: I'm not familiar with Steam and their -- and their financial model.

There's one of the things that's missed here, I think, is there's a huge competition for developers. So it's just not the competition with the user side. It's also with the developer side in addition to the users.

You can imagine if -- if we had an above market kind of -- of commission, people just wouldn't develop for us.

THE COURT: Well, let's talk about developers.

I've seen evidence in the record that did a survey of developers. I'm going to -- I'm going to share with you -- the results of this bar graph that was presented to me. I don't know how accurate it is because I looked for the source document and couldn't find it.

But this survey indicated that 39 percent of developers were either very dissatisfied or somewhat dissatisfied with Apple's distribution services. 36 percent were somewhat satisfied or very satisfied. And 19 percent didn't go either way, they're in the middle.

So with 39 percent of all your developers dissatisfied, how is that acceptable? And how is it, assuming those numbers

are true, how is it that you're again feeling any motivation 1 2 or incentive to address their needs? 3 THE WITNESS: I'm not familiar with the document that you're referencing, and so it's hard to -- to comment on 4 5 certain specifics. 6 But keep in mind that on a weekly basis, we're rejecting 7 40 percent. And so there is definitely some friction in the 8 system, but this friction is what produces a curated 9 experience for users that they love and they can go somewhere and be -- be assured that it's safe and trusted. 10 11 So sometimes the -- the developer and the user are not 12 necessarily in -- the interests don't intersect. And we 13 always lean --14 THE COURT: But it doesn't seem --15 THE WITNESS: -- on the user. 16 THE COURT: It doesn't seem to me that you feel, 17 again, real pressure or competition to actually change the 18 manner in which you act to address the concerns of the developers. Again, if these numbers are right. 19 20 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I would -- I would look at that 21 very differently. We turn the place upside down for 22 developers. You could probably look at a complaint that I 23 might get and look at the amount of time it takes to -- for a change to be made in the company. It's amazing actually. 24 25 **THE COURT:** Do you -- we've seen a number of profit

and loss statements. Do you have -- and again, you see the 1 2 hundred binders behind me. 3 I don't recall seeing any other surveys or any other business records showing that you routinely conduct surveys 4 5 regarding developer satisfaction and that you in fact move or 6 make changes. 7 I take with a grain of salt each side's anecdotal 8 evidence. What I'm looking for are aggregates. 9 Do you do that? THE WITNESS: I don't know if we do that. That would 10 11 be something that Phil would know. 12 THE COURT: Well, you certainly, as a CEO, then don't 13 receive regular reports on that? 14 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Before we move into closed 16 session, Ms. Moyé, any questions on my questions? 17 MS. MOYÉ: Just a couple, Your Honor. 18 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MOYÉ: 19 20 Q. Mr. Cook, are developers allowed to sell their services, their things that they offer in-app, outside the app on the 21 22 App Store to consumers? 23 Α. Yes. 24 And are developers allowed to make those offerings at 25 lower prices than those that are offered on the app on the

App Store? 1 2 A. Yes. 3 And are developers allowed to communicate with their customers about those lower price offerings? 4 5 Α. Yes. Are consumers allowed to purchase, outside the App Store, 6 7 content at lower prices that they can then use on the App 8 Store? 9 Α. Yes. Does Apple continue to provide services to developers 10 11 after an app is first published? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Can you describe the nature of the services? 14 Well, they're constantly doing updates to apps, and so the 15 app review is not a one -- one and done kind of thing. 16 There's a continual kind of process. 17 Also, the API's, the API's that they're using and that 18 we're creating each year is a massive effort. Developer tools 19 is another one. These things are under constant improvement. 20 Q. Are you aware as to whether or not game developers in 21 particular benefit from innovations and new offerings of API's 22 by Apple? 23 Α. Yes, of course. Significantly. 24 MS. MOYÉ: Thank you. Nothing else, Your Honor.

MR. BORNSTEIN: No questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. 1 2 All right. Ladies and gentlemen, for those of you 3 listening, we will be moving into closed session here. As is normal, we will take our second break at 12:35. So 4 5 I do not know whether we will be back on the public record before that break. So just an FYI. 6 7 At this point, we'll move into closed session. That means 8 the media will please need to leave the courtroom. 9 Ms. Manifold, you're entitled to stay. I would ask the courtroom deputy to mute or turn off the 10 11 lines, the public access lines. 12 (Pause in the proceedings.) 13 THE CLERK: Okay. All the audio lines are now in the 14 waiting room, Your Honor. 15 MS. FORREST: Your Honor, could we just get a time 16 for the Epic clock? I know we're close but --17 THE COURT: Twenty-three minutes. 18 MS. FORREST: Twenty-three? Thank you. 19 (Proceedings held under seal on the following page:) 20 21 22 23 24 25

(Proceedings held in open court.) 1 2 (Recess taken at 12:26 P.M.) 3 (Proceedings resumed at 1:16 p.m.) THE CLERK: Court is in session. Please be seated. 4 5 THE COURT: I hope you enjoyed your leisurely lunch. All right. We are back on the record. The record will 6 7 reflect that the parties are present. I have my witness back, 8 Mr. Rubin? 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And, Mr. Rubin, I will just remind you, 10 11 sir, that you remain under oath. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Byars. 14 MR. BYARS: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. You may proceed with your 16 examination. 17 MR. BYARS: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued) 18 19 BY MR. BYARS: 20 Dr. Rubin, good to see you again today. 21 You provided an opinion about the security of Apple's 22 in-app payment processing system in your written direct 23 testimony; is that right? 24 Α. Yes. 25 I don't recall you testifying to that orally yesterday.

Is that correct? 1 2 It's in my written direct, but it wasn't in my oral. Α. 3 Q. Okay. Thank you. And one reason you believe that Apple's payment system is 4 5 more secure is that introducing other options would limit the amount of data that Apple can aggregate and analyze overall; 6 7 isn't that right? 8 A. Yes. 9 Now, you may have heard evidence that there are physical goods being sold on -- through Apple devices, right? 10 11 That's correct. Α. 12 Q. Including the iPhone, right? 13 Α. Yes. 14 And there are various other ways in which people can make 15 purchases of these physical goods on the iPhone, right, other 16 than IAP? 17 A. Yes. And, in fact, you have not analyzed the relative 18 19 transaction volume of these other payment processors that can 20 be used to conduct the purchase of physical goods, have you? 21 I have not. Α. 22 So you have not compared the data that might be collected Q. 23 by those payment processors to Apple's IAP system, right?

A. That's correct.

24

25

Q. For example, you haven't looked at the volume of

1 transactions that might be handled by somebody like PayPal, 2 right? 3 Α. That's correct. 4 But you understand that PayPal may be used to conduct Q. 5 physical transactions on iOS, right? 6 Transactions for physical goods --Α. 7 That's --Q. 8 Α. -- yes. 9 Q. -- correct, right? 10 A. Yes. 11 And PayPal can be used off iOS, right? Q. 12 Α. What do you mean by that? 13 Q. PayPal can be used in other places other than Apple's 14 iOS operating system, right? 15 A. Yes. Okay. It can be used on websites through a PC, right? 16 Q. 17 Α. Yes. Could be used in a browser on iOS, right? 18 Q. 19 It can be. Α. 20 Now, you haven't assessed the security of any other 21 alternative payment processing system other than IAP, right? 22 Not in this case, I haven't. A. 23 Q. Okay. You haven't offered testimony about that, right? 24 A. Right.

Okay. And you're not going to offer an opinion about that

25

Q.

- 1 in this case, are you?
- 2 A. I am not.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

19

20

- Q. Okay. You also haven't analyzed whether Epic's payment solution is a secure payment method, right?
 - A. I did not look into that.
 - Q. Okay. Now, it would be possible to analyze whether any of these payment methods were compliant with something called a PCI standard; isn't that right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And the PCI standard provides a uniform baseline for how payment information is protected by these payment systems, correct?
- 13 A. That's right.
 - Q. Now, I saw in your report, though not in your testimony, that you've offered the opinion that one of the benefits of IAP is that it provides a frictionless experience; isn't that right?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
 - Q. Specifically, it provides a frictionless experience to customers, right?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. And by "frictionless," you mean that it minimizes the amount of effort that a consumer has to put in in order to make a purchase, right?
- 25 A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

paragraph 67.

And when I asked you at your deposition how you Q. understood -- or how you came to that understanding of that term, you said that Mr. Trystan Kosmynka, the head of app review, told you about that term, right? It was one of the Apple engineers. It may have been him. Α. Okay. But you have not evaluated other payment systems and whether they might cause friction when customers make transactions using them, right? I did not. Α. Okay. I'd like to ask you about something in your written direct testimony. You provided an opinion that Epic apparently explored the possibility of using the Enterprise Program. Do you recall that? Α. Yes. Okay. Specifically, you said that they explored using the Q. Enterprise System to more conveniently distribute their apps, eventually via sideloading, right? That sounds like something I said. Α. Okay. And, in fact, you provided the opinion that this Q. consideration appeared to be financially motivated, didn't you? Can you point me to my report? Q. I can. It's actually in your written direct testimony,

1 Α. Yes.

4

8

9

10

13

22

24

25

- 2 So is it correct that you gave the opinion that 3 Epic's consideration of this appeared to be financially
- 5 It is correct. Α.

motivated?

- Okay. And then you refer to two defendant's exhibits, 6 7 which you'll agree were Epic internal documents?
 - A. I believe so.
 - Okay. And if you were going to -- let me take a step back.
- 11 This is just your impression based on these documents, 12 right?
 - A. Well, also based on other things that I heard in the case.
- 14 Okay. In this written direct testimony, had you heard 15 anything in this case when you --
- 16 I'm sorry. I thought you were asking me my opinion now. Α. 17 And you're asking me about when I wrote this?
- 18 Q. Yes.
- 19 Yes, that was what I was basing it on. Α.
- 20 Okay. You were purely interpreting the documents you Q. 21 cited in this paragraph; isn't that right?
- I'm sure that I also took into account other information 23 that I had heard in discussions. I'm not going to say that I had a complete blank slate when I read these documents.
 - Okay. But you didn't cite anything else in this

RUBIN - CROSS / BYARS

paragraph, right? 1 2 Α. That's correct. 3 Q. Okay. And did you look for other documents concerning the 4 circumstances that you describe here? 5 I don't recall looking for other documents. Α. Okay. But it would be important, you would agree, to give 6 Q. 7 an opinion based on a complete record of the situation, right? 8 A. Yes. 9 Okay. Could I refer you, please, to DX4235, which is in Q. 10 our black large binder. 11 Are you on 4235? 12 Α. I think so. Yes, I am. 13 Q. And you see that this is an email from Mr. Grant. It also 14 involves others at Epic, including Mr. Sweeney. 15 Do you see that? 16 Α. I do. 17 And there's an extensive set of communications within this document among Epic employees, right? 18 19 Yes, but this -- I have a redacted version here. Α. 20 Q. Yes, that's right. 21 A. Okay. 22 Q. And if you look at .004 in this document. 23 A. Okay. 24 Q. You see about halfway down the page, there is a

communication from Mr. Grant where he's describing the

enterprise certificate that is provided by Apple under the 1 2 Enterprise Program, right? 3 Α. I'm not sure where exactly to look for that. Sure. There's a communication that says "August 30th, 4 Q. 5 2018, at 8:30 a.m., Andrew Grant wrote." 6 Α. Okay. 7 Q. Are you with me now? 8 Α. Yes. 9 And he's describing the fact that Epic has an enterprise 10 certificate? 11 I see that. Α. 12 Okay. And can you tell from this that they are 13 describing -- or, sorry, that this communication relates to 14 Epic's use or potential use of the Enterprise Program? 15 I don't remember all of the context around this, but it 16 does look like he's talking about the Enterprise Program when 17 he mentions an enterprise certificate. Okay. And then do you see the communication from 18 19 Mr. Sweeney, the one right above that on August 30th, 2018, at 20 9:31 a.m. 21 Do you see that? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. Do you see that Mr. Sweeney wrote: 24 "Adding Mike Atamas in, Mike is investigating what

exactly the Apple terms say about all of this."

1 Do you see that? 2 Α. I see that. 3 Q. He then writes: "The goal here isn't some scheme to siphon money away 4 5 from the iOS App Store, but to find a way to truly treat iOS as an open platform." 6 7 Do you see that? 8 A. I see that. 9 But you didn't consider this when you gave the opinion 10 that Epic's contemplation or consideration of the Enterprise 11 Program appeared to be financially motivated? 12 Α. I think I -- that this supports that. 13 Q. You believe the statement that the point is not to siphon 14 away money from the iOS App Store supports your conclusion 15 that it was financially motivated? 16 Well, the rest of the sentence, where it says "to truly 17 treat iOS as an open platform." iOS is not an open platform, and so they are trying to 18 19 avoid paying the commissions, is how I understand why they 20 wanted to do this. 21 Q. Okay. 22 That's just your understanding of this document, right? 23 That's just your interpretation of this document. 24 Α. Based on what I understood about the case, yes. 25 Okay. And your understanding is -- your role as a Q.

RUBIN - CROSS / BYARS

```
1
       computer security expert is not to ascribe motivations to
 2
      people in the case?
 3
          As a computer scientist and a professor, I think that
       sometimes when you look at the adversarial model, you take --
 4
 5
           Sir, sir, I'm --
      Q.
 6
       Α.
           -- motivation --
 7
           -- I'm just asking, do you understand that your role in
      Q.
 8
      the case is not to ascribe motivations to people in the case?
 9
      A.
           That's not my primary role.
10
           Is that any of your role?
      Q.
11
      A.
           I use motivations as part --
12
       Q.
           Sir, just answer the question.
13
      Α.
           I think it's part of looking at a security analysis, yes.
14
       Q.
           Okay.
15
           I'll just ask you again, though, you are doing nothing
16
      more than looking at the document in front of you, right?
17
           I mean, I based it on my understanding of what was
      Α.
18
      happening in the case and this document.
19
          Okay. Thank you.
      Q.
20
                THE COURT: You want 4235 in evidence?
21
                MR. EVEN: I do, Your Honor.
22
                THE COURT: No objection?
23
                MR. LO: No objection.
                THE COURT: Admitted.
24
25
```

RUBIN - REDIRECT / LO

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 4235 received in evidence) 1 2 MR. BYARS: I pass the witness, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Redirect. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. LO: 5 Q. Dr. Rubin, you were just asked about paragraph 67 of your 6 7 written direct testimony. And the question was posed to you 8 what was your basis for reaching the -- an assumption that 9 Epic was looking to the Enterprise Program in order to save 10 money. 11 Was there anything in the documents, other than what 12 you've just talked about with counsel, that specifically 13 related to Epic's motivation in that regard or that informed 14 you as to Epic's motivations? 15 Yes. I cited page 2 of the document specifically, but I 16 have now closed my binder so I'm going to have to find it 17 again. What was the exhibit number? 18 Well, we can take a look at 4066. 19 MR. LO: And if I can ask Mr. Eltiste to put that up, 20 DX4066. BY MR. LO: 21 22 Q. And I believe that will also be in the binder that 23 Mr. Byars gave to you. 24 MR. LO: And let's go to the last page of this 25 document, Mr. Eltiste, and at the very bottom.

RUBIN - RECROSS / BYARS

1 (Displayed on screen.) 2 BY MR. LO: 3 So, first, Dr. Rubin, do you recognize that this is 4066, which is the document that you are citing to in paragraph 67 4 5 of your written direct? This is .002, which is what I cited. 6 Α. 7 Q. Right. 8 And is there anything on this page that you looked at in 9 order to discern whether Epic's motivation in looking at the 10 enterprise certificate was to save money? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. And what is it? So we see here: Also, if we're looking at ways to reduce 13 Α. 14 the 30 percent cut that Apple take, then we should consider 15 what either removing all purchases from within the app might 16 look like or whether the subscription model drops to a 15 17 percent cut after year one could be interesting. 18 Right. Thank you, Dr. Rubin. 19 MR. LO: Your Honor, no further questions. 20 THE COURT: Anything back on that topic --21 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. BYARS: 23 Dr. Rubin, do you know whether Epic actually used the 24 Enterprise Program in the way you've opined about? 25 I -- just from what I heard in this trial.

1 Q. Okay. And you understand that Mr. Grant works for 2 Mr. Sweeney, right? 3 Α. Yes. Do you understand the document I showed you was after the 4 Q. 5 document that counsel for Apple showed you? 6 I don't know. Α. 7 Okay. You didn't cite the document I showed you in any of Q. 8 the testimony reports, right? 9 Α. I did not. 10 Q. Okay. 11 MR. BYARS: Thank you, Your Honor. No further 12 questions. 13 THE COURT: Anything on that topic? 14 MR. LO: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 15 THE COURT: All right, sir. You're excused. You may 16 step down. 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Ms. Forrest, Mr. Doren, do each of you 18 19 rest pending resolution of exhibits? 20 MS. FORREST: Yes, Your Honor, Epic does rest. 21 MR. DOREN: Apple also rests, Your Honor, subject to 22 the expert report comment -- or expert testimony comment we 23 made earlier. 24 THE COURT: All right. 25 So for the public, who is not used to trials, that means

that the evidentiary portion of this trial is now concluded.

On Monday, counsel have agreed to have closing argument in a sense by discussing topics relative to the evidence that has been submitted in the context of antitrust law to assist the Court in my evaluation of the evidence and the arguments that are being made.

As I've said before, I have a considerable amount of evidence to review in more detail than just being — hearing it during trial and then doing the legal analysis in that framework of the evidence and what evidence is persuasive versus what evidence is not. That will take some time and my decision will be in writing when it is all said and done.

I am picking a jury on June 7th, so while I am in trial, I obviously won't be working on this case. But I am not one to let things sit around. I think it is important to try to get these things resolved while everything is still fresh, and so I will work hard to try to get you a decision as soon as is reasonably possible, but no promises as to exact dates. Hopefully before August 13th, but you never know. That was a little joke. Just a little one.

All right. At this point, I do want to make sure -- this is going to be tedious, so those of you who are listening in may not want to listen anymore, but I do want to go through so that I make sure I have all of the exhibits. I spent some time on this last night.

```
I have someone from each side and we can go through these,
 1
 2
       and then we will stand in recess for the day once we do that,
 3
      unless there is something else.
          Ms. Forrest, anything else on your side?
 4
 5
                MS. FORREST: Nothing else apart from the exhibits,
                    Thank you.
 6
       Your Honor.
 7
                THE COURT: Mr. Doren, anything else from your side?
 8
                MR. DOREN: No, Your Honor.
 9
                THE COURT:
                            Okay.
10
          All right. Counsel, if you will -- once the mics are up,
11
       if you will state your appearances for the record.
                MS. CHOI: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Jessica Choi
12
13
       for Epic.
14
                THE COURT: Ms. Choi, good afternoon.
15
                MR. PHILLIPS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. This is
16
       Harry Phillips for Apple.
17
                THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Phillips, good afternoon.
18
          All right. I will do this numerically, and we do it in
19
       hundred series. Okay?
20
           So in the first hundred series, what I show is the
       following admitted into evidence: 6, 8, 9, 30, 41, 42, 43,
21
22
       46, 47, 48, 52, 56 through 61, 63, 64, 66, 72, 79, 80, 89, 98,
23
       and 99.
24
          Ms. Choi, anything else?
25
                MS. CHOI: I did not have 8 on my list.
```

```
MR. PHILLIPS: We do not have 8 on our list either.
 1
 2
                THE COURT: Okay.
               MR. PHILLIPS: And, Your Honor, I'll just -- if you
 3
 4
      wouldn't mind reading those again. I took a second to find
 5
      where you were reading from, so apologies for that.
 6
                THE COURT: Okay. I will do it one more time.
 7
          If you all -- Ms. Stone, do you show 8 by any chance?
 8
                THE CLERK: I don't have it and I don't see it on
 9
      their list, so I don't know.
10
                THE COURT: Okay. So I will remove that from my
11
      list.
12
          All right. One more time. Are you with me, Mr. Phillips?
13
               MR. PHILLIPS: I am.
               THE COURT: 6, 9, 30, 41, 42, 43, 46, 47, 48, 52, 56,
14
15
       57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 66, 72, 79, 80, 89, 98, and
       99.
16
17
          All right. Anything -- Mr. Phillips, anything you see?
18
               MR. PHILLIPS: No, none missing now.
19
               MS. CHOI: Your Honor, I apologize. I missed
20
      something from before. I wanted to check PX56A. We have that
21
      on our list, and I don't --
22
                THE COURT: Okay. Do you have 56A?
23
               MR. PHILLIPS: Oh, yes. Sorry. Yes, we also have
      56A.
24
25
                THE COURT: All right. 56A.
```

```
1
          Anything else?
 2
               MS. CHOI: No, that is it. Thank you, Your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: And, Ms. Choi, speak up.
               MS. CHOI: Okay.
 4
 5
                THE COURT: All right. The hundred series. 101,
      102, 104, 111 through 117, 119, 131, 133, 137, 140, 144, 146,
 6
 7
      174, 176, 191, 197, 198.
 8
               MS. CHOI: 108 I had on my list.
 9
               MR. PHILLIPS: Yeah, we also had 108, Your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: Okay. 108 is added.
11
          Anything else? That's a no?
12
               MS. CHOI: That's a no.
13
               MR. PHILLIPS: No.
14
               THE COURT: Okay. 200 series. 201 and 202, 250
      through 257, and 276.
15
16
               MR. PHILLIPS: That's all present and correct on our
17
      side.
18
                THE COURT: Present and correct on the Apple side.
19
               MS. CHOI: Present and correct for Epic.
20
                THE COURT: Okay. Never heard it that way, but we
21
      are good.
          300 series. 300, 301, 305, 314, 315, 326, 335, 347, 364,
22
23
      -65, -67, and 371, -72, -73, and -74.
24
                THE CLERK: And 70? The last one was?
25
               THE COURT: -74.
```

```
THE CLERK: Okay. Thank you.
 1
 2
               MS. CHOI:
                          That's everything for Epic.
 3
               MR. PHILLIPS: Yeah, we did not have -47 on our list,
      but --
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Do you show 347?
               MS. CHOI: I do. I thought I heard that one.
 6
 7
                THE COURT: Okay. You can add that one to yours,
 8
      Mr. Phillips.
          400 series. 403 through 408, 411, 413 through 418, 420,
 9
      -21, and -22, 428, 432, 436, 438, 442, 446, 452, 464, and 465.
10
11
               MS. CHOI: That's everything for Epic.
               MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, everything here.
12
13
               THE COURT: Okay. 500 series. 505, 523, 526, 533,
14
       544, and 545.
15
               MS. CHOI: That's everything for Epic.
16
               MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, same here.
17
               THE COURT: Okay. 600 series. 602 through 612 and
       634.
18
19
               MS. CHOI: 625 for Epic, Your Honor.
20
               MR. PHILLIPS: And Apple also has 625.
21
                THE COURT: All right. I will add 625.
22
          700 series. 721, 741, 744, 747, 756.
23
               MS. CHOI: That's everything for Epic.
24
               MR. PHILLIPS: And everything for Apple.
25
               THE COURT: 800 series. 827, 842, 854, -55, -56,
```

```
-57, and -58, 863, -64, -65, 868 through 872, 874 through -77,
 1
      879 through 883, 886, -88, -90, -92, -97, and -98.
 2
               MS. CHOI: That's everything for Epic.
 3
               MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, that lines up here, as well.
 4
                THE COURT: Okay. 1000 series. 1000 through 1012,
 5
 6
      1017, 1022 through -27, 1030, -32, -34 through -37, 1045, -47,
 7
      -49, -50, -54 through -57, -59, -61, -66, -69, -70, 1074
 8
      through -80, 1084 through -92.
 9
               MS. CHOI: That is everything for Epic.
10
               MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, everything for Apple, as well.
11
                THE COURT: Okay. Moving to the 1100 series. 1164,
      -65, -82, and -83.
12
13
               MS. CHOI: That's everything for Epic.
14
               MR. PHILLIPS: Everything here, as well.
15
                THE COURT: 1220, that is the only one in that
16
      series.
17
               MS. CHOI: Correct.
               MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, we have that one.
18
19
                THE COURT: From today, there were three in the 1600
      series of 1677, -78, and -67.
20
21
               MS. CHOI: Correct.
22
               MR. PHILLIPS: Sorry. Could you just read those once
23
      again, Your Honor? Sorry.
                THE COURT: Sure. Chronologically, then, 1667, 1677,
24
25
       and -78.
```

```
1
               MR. PHILLIPS: I'm not seeing -- oh, yes, there I
      have -78. Got them all. Thanks.
 2
 3
                THE COURT: Yep. That was from today.
          Also from today, 1701, 1703, -09, -14, -21, and -25.
 4
 5
               MS. CHOI: That's everything for Epic.
               MR. PHILLIPS: Everything for Apple, as well.
 6
 7
                THE COURT: 1800 series. 1813, 1815, 1817 and 1818,
 8
      1849, 1854 to -56, 1883, -91, -93 through -97, and -99.
 9
               MS. CHOI: 1890, Your Honor?
10
               MR. PHILLIPS: We have 1890, as well.
11
               THE COURT: All right. I will add that, 1890.
12
          1900 series. Ready?
13
               MS. CHOI: Yes.
14
               THE COURT: 1901, 1906 through 1910, 1913 through
15
      1920, 1922, 1932, 1937 through -41, 1947 through -50, and
      1978.
16
17
               MS. CHOI: That's everything for Epic.
18
               MR. PHILLIPS: Everything for Apple, as well.
19
               THE COURT: Okay. Moving to the 2000 series. 2001,
20
      2017, 2029, 2031, -48, -52, -57, -60, -62, -65, and then I
21
      think I have question marks on -63 and -66.
22
          Do you show either of those?
23
               MS. CHOI: I do not have those on my list.
24
               MR. PHILLIPS: We don't have those either. We do
25
      have 2016 on our list.
```

```
THE COURT: Okay. Let me finish. I have four more.
 1
      So I have 2076, -84, -90, and -93.
 2
 3
          Do you have 2016?
               MS. CHOI: I have 2060.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Oh, -6-0?
               MS. CHOI: Correct.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: That one I do have already.
 8
          Mr. Phillips, were you -- you said you had another one?
 9
               MR. PHILLIPS: Yeah. We had 2016, as well, 2-0-1-6.
10
               MS. CHOI: I do not have 2016.
11
               THE COURT: I didn't show 2016 either.
12
          Ms. Stone?
13
               THE CLERK: Well, actually, I do on May 6. 2-0-1-6
14
      on May 6.
15
               THE COURT: Okay.
16
               MR. DOREN: I believe it went in with Mr. Fischer,
      Your Honor.
17
18
                THE COURT: All right. 2016 is admitted.
19
          Okay. Anything else?
20
               MS. CHOI: That's it, Your Honor.
21
               MR. PHILLIPS: Nothing else.
22
               THE COURT: 2100 series then. 2109, 2116, -18, 2123,
23
      -25, -26, -42, -73, -74, -76, 2185, -89, -90, -94, and -97.
24
               MS. CHOI: That is everything for Epic.
25
               MR. PHILLIPS: Also for Apple.
```

```
THE COURT: Okay. 2200 series. 2202, -17, -18, -35,
 1
      -73, -74, -80, -84, and -96. I had a question mark on -81.
 2
 3
               MS. CHOI: Epic does not have 81 on the list.
               MR. PHILLIPS: We have a question mark on 81, as
 4
      well, Your Honor. I'm not sure it was --
 5
 6
                THE CLERK: Your Honor, I had just I.D.'d on that
 7
      one.
 8
                THE COURT: Okay. So that is not admitted.
          2300 series. 2300, 2302, -03, -09, -11, 2325, -26, -28,
 9
      2333, -37, -38, -50, -56, -62, -65, -66, -67, -71, -74, -78,
10
11
      -85, -86, and then -89 through -92.
               MS. CHOI: Epic has 2316 on the list.
12
13
               MR. PHILLIPS: And we also have 2316.
14
               THE COURT: Okay. I will add that. 2316.
15
          2400 series. 2421, -35, -50, -51, -52, -55 through -58,
16
      -63, -69, -76, and -77.
17
               MS. CHOI: That's everything for Epic.
18
               MR. PHILLIPS: We have all those, too.
19
               THE COURT: Okay. 2500 series. 2500, -08, -19, -29,
20
      -31, -34, -35, -45, -47, -57, -58, -67 through 70, -75 through
      -79, -81 through -85, -87 through -91, -98, and -99.
21
22
               MS. CHOI: That is everything for Epic.
23
               MR. PHILLIPS: Everything for Apple.
24
                THE COURT: 2600 series. 2600 through 2603, 2618,
      -19, -21, -22, -24, and -68.
25
```

```
MS. CHOI: Everything for Epic.
 1
 2
               MR. PHILLIPS: Everything for Apple, as well.
 3
               THE COURT: 2700 series. 2756, -76 through -78,
      2783, and -90.
 4
 5
               MS. CHOI: Everything for Epic.
               MR. PHILLIPS: Everything for Apple.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: 2800 and 28 -- I'm sorry, strike that.
 8
      2826 and 2882.
 9
               MS. CHOI: Everything for Epic.
10
               MR. PHILLIPS: Everything for Apple.
11
               THE COURT: 2900 and -43, -46, -51, -52, and -53.
12
               MS. CHOI: Everything for Epic.
13
               MR. PHILLIPS: Everything for Apple.
14
               THE COURT: 3000 series. 3052, -55, -60, -67 through
      -69, -72, -77, -83, -84, -84A, -94, -98 --
15
16
               MS. CHOI: That's everything for Epic.
17
               THE CLERK: I'm sorry. -84A and then --
               THE COURT: -94 and -98.
18
19
               THE CLERK: -94. Okay. Thank you.
20
               THE COURT: Mr. Phillips?
21
               MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, yes, everything for Apple as
22
      well.
23
               THE COURT: Okay. 3100 series. 3115, -20, -22, -24,
      -25, -29, -31, -33, -34, -38, -40, -44, -50, -52, -61, -66,
24
25
       -74, -79, -73 -- well, strike that -- -93, -97, -98, and -99.
```

```
MS. CHOI: Your Honor, Epic has 3176 and 3177 on the
 1
 2
      list.
 3
               MR. PHILLIPS: We have 3177, as well, on the list.
                THE COURT: Do you have a date? I had -77 and then I
 4
 5
      had it scratched off. There were some withdrawals. I don't
      know if that --
 6
 7
               MR. PHILLIPS: 3177 was entered yesterday as part of
 8
      the stipulation, Docket 682.
 9
               THE COURT: Okay. So 3177.
               MR. DOREN: That went in with Mr. Schiller, Your
10
11
      Honor.
12
                THE COURT: Yes, I'm seeing that here.
13
          Someone has 3176. Do --
14
               MR. BYARS: Your Honor, that's not in. That was in
15
      Rubinfeld's materials and he has been withdrawn, so that one
16
      should be withdrawn.
17
               THE COURT: Okay.
               MR. BYARS: I believe 3177 went in with
18
19
      Mr. Malackowski.
20
                THE COURT: Right. I saw that. Okay. So 3177 is
      in; 3176 is not.
21
          Okay. 3200 series. Okay. 3202, -16, -21, -22, -29, -30,
22
23
      -33, -41, -42, -43, -48, -54, -55, -56, -58, -69, -87, -93,
      -97, -98.
24
25
               MS. CHOI: That is everything for Epic.
```

```
1
               MR. PHILLIPS: And everything for Apple, as well.
                THE COURT: 3300 series. 3308, -17, -24, -28, -32,
 2
      -43, -59, -63, -64, -70, -90, -93, and -99.
 3
 4
               MS. CHOI: Epic does not have -70 on the list.
 5
               MR. PHILLIPS: We do have -70. It apparently came in
      with Mr. Malackowski yesterday.
 6
 7
                THE CLERK: I have it yesterday, yes.
                THE COURT: I show it came in on the 19th. Okay. So
 8
 9
      that is in, 3370.
          3400 series. 3409, -11, -12, -14, -21, -22, -25, -26,
10
      -27, -33, -37, -41, -42, -48, -51, -53, -56, -57, -60, -62,
11
      -63, -64, -65, -67, -68, -72, -73, -78, -91, -94.
12
13
               MS. CHOI: That is everything for Epic.
14
               MR. PHILLIPS: Everything for Apple, as well.
15
               THE COURT: Okay. 3500. 3505, 3512, -13, -19, 3535,
16
      3536, -50, -52, -56, -59, -82, -83, -84, -85, -92, and -98.
17
               MS. CHOI: That is everything for Epic.
18
               MR. PHILLIPS: Yeah, everything for Apple.
19
               THE COURT: 3600 series. 3606, -16, -20, -29, -32,
20
      -36, -39, -41, -42, -50, -57, -59, -60, -61, -64, -76, -81,
      -84, -91, -95, -96.
21
22
               MR. PHILLIPS: We also have 3679.
23
               MS. CHOI: Epic has that, as well.
                THE COURT: Okay. I had that one and again I crossed
24
25
      that off; that is, it looked like it was withdrawn.
```

MR. PHILLIPS: I don't know if that one was --1 2 THE COURT: Let me just check. 3 MR. BYARS: Your Honor, we have that associated with Professor Rubinfeld also. 4 5 MR. DOREN: I show it as Rubin, actually. MR. PHILLIPS: Yeah, we have it as Rubin. 6 THE COURT: So in Docket -- well, maybe both of you 7 8 used it, that's why -- in Docket 641, it shows 3679 withdrawn. 9 MR. BYARS: I think it may have been a mistake. 10 Apparently, it was on the stipulation for Professor Rubin and 11 Rubinfeld. I don't have it in my binder for Professor Rubin, 12 so I'm not sure if they meant to associate it with him or not. 13 We do know it was associated with Professor Rubinfeld, so it 14 should be withdrawn if it was his document. MR. DOREN: Well, with all due respect for counsel's 15 16 binder --17 THE COURT: Well, it's in -- so Mr. -- I don't know if it matters, but, Mr. Doren, I did show it as withdrawn 18 19 through your stipulation. 20 MR. DOREN: Ah. Thank you, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: That's why I had it and then crossed it 22 off. 23 MR. DOREN: Yeah, I note, too, it is the App Store review guidelines, so there is probably not too much room for 24 25 debate because I think it is in elsewhere, as well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PHILLIPS: While we are just checking numbers, I have been asked to confirm that 3305 was submitted. I think we did cover that one, but I just wanted --THE COURT: So, again, that one I had in and then it was withdrawn. So I did not reference that one. So in Docket 641 on page 4 of 7, there are a series of eight, nine -- there are a series -- all of the Rubinfeld ones were withdrawn. So what I don't know is whether these came in with other people. But when it said withdrawn, I deleted them. MR. DOREN: Your Honor, the notes I am receiving say that it went in with the final expert stip related to Rubin. I'm sorry. What number are we on now? MR. BYARS: MR. DOREN: Oh, we are still on 3679. MR. BYARS: If it's just the app review guidelines, I'm sure that there is no objection to that. THE COURT: Okay. MR. DOREN: Thank you, Counsel. MR. BYARS: Going back to 3305, we show that it was actually admitted with Mr. Malackowski during his direct on the 20th. So it may have been withdrawn and then later admitted through a witness. THE COURT: Okay. So 3305 is in. All right. 3500 series. 3505 --THE CLERK: Your Honor, you did -- you were up to

```
3600 you did.
 1
 2
                THE COURT: Thank you, Frances. So I'm at 3700?
 3
               THE CLERK: Right.
                THE COURT: 3700 series. 3706, -09, -10, -12, -24,
 4
      -32, -33, -43, -46, -50, -56, -58, -60, -64, -65, -68, -74,
 5
 6
      -77, -78, -81, -82, and -96.
 7
               MR. PHILLIPS: Apple also had 3795.
 8
               MS. CHOI: Epic does not have 3795.
 9
               MR. PHILLIPS: In with Mr. Allison.
10
               THE COURT: Give me a day. Can you give me a day?
11
               MR. PHILLIPS: May the 7th.
               THE CLERK: I have it I.D.'d on the 7th, 3795.
12
13
               MR. PHILLIPS: Ah. Well, now I read more closely, I
14
      see that it is just I.D.'d. So apologies for the confusion
15
      there.
16
               MS. CHOI: Your Honor, Epic has 3743.
17
               MR. PHILLIPS: Yes.
18
               THE COURT: I do have --
19
               MS. CHOI: Okay.
20
               MR. PHILLIPS: We also have that one.
21
               THE CLERK: That is in. She read it.
                THE COURT: Okay. 3800. 3800 itself, 3808, -11,
22
23
      -14, -15, -18, -22, -23, -36, -46, -51, -52, -67, -77, and
      -79.
24
25
               MS. CHOI: That's everything for Epic.
```

```
1
               MR. PHILLIPS: Everything for Apple.
 2
                THE COURT: 3900 series. 3900 itself, 3901, -05,
      -06, -13, -17, -18, -22, -32, -33, -38, -43, -50, -51, -55,
 3
      -61, -68, -86, -89, and -93.
 4
 5
               MS. CHOI: That's everything for Epic.
 6
               MR. PHILLIPS: Everything for Apple.
 7
               THE COURT: 4000 series. 4002, -10, -11, -13, -15,
 8
      -18, -22, -24, -28, -36, -63, -66, -69, -72, -74, a question
      for me on -78, -80, -88, -89, -89A, -94, and -96.
 9
10
          So do you all show -78?
               MS. CHOI: I do not have -78 on my list.
11
               MR. PHILLIPS: I don't have -78 either.
12
13
               THE COURT: All right. So not -78. Okay.
14
          Otherwise we are good, Ms. Choi?
15
               MS. CHOI: That's everything for Epic.
               MR. PHILLIPS: Did you -- sorry, Your Honor. Did you
16
17
      say 40- -- the one with the A at the end. Was that 4089A?
18
                THE COURT: Yes, 4089A.
19
               MR. PHILLIPS: And did that come in with Mr. Cook
20
      today?
21
               THE COURT: Yes.
22
               MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, we have that one.
               THE COURT: Okay. 4100 series. 4114, -15, and -16,
23
      -19, -20, and -21, -28, -31, -33, -36, -38, -40, -54, -62,
24
25
      -67, -68, -70, -72, -74, -77, -78, -92, and -99.
```

```
MS. CHOI: That is everything for Epic.
 1
 2
               MR. PHILLIPS: Everything for Apple.
               THE COURT: 4200 series. 4200 itself, -17, -19, -34,
 3
      -39, -49, -70, -75, -78, -82, -85, and -87.
 4
 5
               MS. CHOI: We have -31 on Epic's list.
               MR. PHILLIPS: Yeah, -31 is on our list, as well.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: I must have missed it, but, yes, I have
      that. I show -31.
 8
          Okay. 4300 series. 4301, -03, -04, -08, -10, -12, -22,
 9
      -25, -29, -33, -35, -44, -48, -56, -61, -62, -63, -71, -74,
10
      -76, -84, -89, -99.
11
               MS. CHOI: Your Honor, I have -25 and -27. Apologies
12
13
      if I missed it.
14
                THE COURT: I show -25. I don't show -27.
15
               MR. PHILLIPS: We don't have -27. We do have -25.
16
               MS. CHOI: I have the date admitted date of May 19th
17
      for Schmid, direct examination.
18
                THE COURT: Yeah, I don't show that admitted.
19
          Ms. Stone?
20
               THE CLERK: I don't have either one, so --
21
               THE COURT: So it is 4327?
22
               MS. CHOI: Yes, Your Honor.
23
               THE COURT: Is there an objection? I don't even know
      what it is.
24
25
               MR. DOREN: It is a DPLA, Your Honor. No objection.
```

```
THE COURT: Okay. 4327 is admitted.
 1
             (Defendant's Exhibit 4327 received in evidence)
 2
                THE COURT: 4400 series. 4400 itself, -01, -03, -07,
 3
       -11, -19, -24, -25, -33, -34, -35, -47, -49, -51, -57, -63,
 4
       -68, -69, -77, -80, -88, -89, a question on -93, -95, -96,
 5
      -97, and -99.
 6
 7
                MS. CHOI: That's everything for Epic, and I do have
 8
      -93 on my list.
 9
                MR. PHILLIPS: We have -93, as well.
10
                THE COURT: Okay.
           4500 series. 4519, -21, -26, -30, -55, -58, -61, -64,
11
       -66, -69, -79, -81, -86, and -95.
12
13
                THE WITNESS: That's everything for Epic.
14
                MR. PHILLIPS: Everything for Apple.
15
                THE COURT: Okay. 4600 series. 4600 itself, 4608,
16
       4610, -14, -16, -19, -23, -26, -27, -32, -37, -38, -41, -49,
17
       -50, -52, -61, -62, -63, -65, -69, -71, -72, -74, -79, and
18
       -80.
19
                MS. CHOI: That's everything for Epic.
20
                MR. PHILLIPS: Everything for Apple.
21
                THE COURT: 4700 series. 4713 through -16, 4753
22
      through -61, -63, -65, -66, -67, -69, -70 and -71, -73, -74,
23
       -75, -77, -80, -82, -86, -87, -92 through -98, but I do have a
24
      question on -97.
25
                MS. CHOI: That's everything for Epic, and I do have
```

```
-97 on the list.
 1
 2
               MR. PHILLIPS: We have -97 on the list, as well.
 3
           4775 was actually withdrawn as an exhibit at Docket 704.
                THE COURT: Okay. So I'll take out -75.
 4
 5
          Yes, Ms. Choi?
               MS. CHOI: Yes, Your Honor.
 6
 7
                THE COURT: Okay. 4800 series. 4800 itself, -02,
 8
      -03, -06 through -13, -4815 through -19, -22 through -24, -55
 9
      through -59, -61, -66, -68, -71, -72, -75, and -78.
10
               MS. CHOI: Your Honor, I have -876 on the list.
11
               MR. PHILLIPS: Yeah, we have -876, as well.
               THE COURT: 4876?
12
13
               MS. CHOI: Correct.
14
               MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. And we also have 4880.
15
               MS. CHOI: Epic has that, as well.
16
                THE COURT: All right. So I'll add -76 and -80.
17
          4900. 4909, -18, -20 and -22, -31, -34, -56, -59, -62,
      -66, -69, -75, -76, and -82.
18
19
               MS. CHOI: That's everything for Epic.
20
               MR. PHILLIPS: Everything for Apple.
21
               THE COURT: Then we jump to 5300; is that right?
22
               MS. CHOI: Correct.
23
               MR. PHILLIPS: Yep.
               THE COURT: 5322, -26. I have a question mark on
24
25
       -32.
```

```
Do either of you show that?
 1
               MS. CHOI: I do not have -32 on the list.
 2
 3
               MR. PHILLIPS: We do not have -32 either.
                THE COURT: Okay. Take that out.
 4
           5335 and -63.
 5
 6
               MS. CHOI: Epic has -38 on the list.
 7
               MR. PHILLIPS: We do not have -38 on the list.
 8
                THE COURT: You do not.
 9
          So where do you show that one from?
10
               MS. CHOI: I have this as part of the -- admitted on
11
      May 20th as part of the Lafontaine materials relied upon.
12
                THE COURT: So that is from a stipulation?
13
               MS. CHOI: Correct, Docket number 715.
14
                THE COURT: I don't see it.
15
           Is there an agreement on this one?
16
               MR. PHILLIPS: So there was 5338. Yeah, we are
17
      seeing that on the stipulation, as well, so we should have it
18
      on our list and no objection.
19
                THE COURT: Okay. 5338.
20
              (Defendant's Exhibit 5338 received in evidence)
21
           5400 series. 5441, -67, -69, -71 through -88, and -92.
22
               MS. CHOI: That's everything for Epic.
23
               MR. PHILLIPS: Everything for Apple.
                THE COURT: Diane, we are almost there.
24
25
          Okay. 5500 series. 5505, -18, -23, -32, -35, -36, -39,
```

```
-40, -41, -42, -44, -46 through -50, -52, -55 through -62,
 1
 2
      -67, -68, and -73.
 3
               MS. CHOI: Epic has 5527 on the list and 5627. Oh,
 4
      sorry, I jumped ahead.
               MR. PHILLIPS: I don't have 5527.
 5
                THE COURT: So where do you show that from?
 6
 7
               MS. CHOI: I have that admitted on May 17th during
 8
      Mr. Schiller's testimony.
 9
                THE COURT: I have a 5627 --
10
               THE CLERK: I have 5627 on the 17th.
11
               THE COURT: -- but I don't have 5527.
12
               MR. BYARS: Your Honor, we are checking the
13
      transcript. Perhaps we can come back to that one in a moment.
14
                THE COURT: Well, the final one of the day, 5627.
15
      Yes?
16
               MR. PHILLIPS: 5527.
17
               THE COURT: I have 5627.
18
               MR. BYARS: That's right, Your Honor. We just
19
      searched the transcript for 5527, so that might have been a
20
      typo. We do have 5627.
21
               MR. PHILLIPS: And I just need to check. 5627.
22
               THE COURT: That was the one I admitted on the 17th.
23
               MR. PHILLIPS: We have that one.
24
               THE COURT: We are all good?
25
          Okay. Hooray. We are done with exhibits. Really
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
important. I tell you I cringed when I read about -- I think
it was a judge in Chicago and all the exhibits that went back
to the jury were wrong. He had to retry the entire case. It
was a patent case, I think. So I am a little bit neurotic
about exhibits.
        MR. PHILLIPS: On the subject of that, Your Honor, I
just wanted to check one final exhibit, if you will indulge
us. PX1659, which came up during Mr. Cook's testimony today.
We do not have that as admitted. I just wanted to confirm.
         THE COURT: You know, I didn't have the spreadsheet
for that one. Hold on.
    So I show from today three in the 1600 series, 1667, -77,
and -78.
         MR. PHILLIPS: We have those.
         THE COURT: So what were you asking about?
         THE CLERK: 1659.
        MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. And I was just -- we did not
think that was admitted, and I just wanted to check. I think
I missed it when you were going through that series.
         THE COURT: I did not call out that one.
        MR. PHILLIPS: I think we are all on the same page,
then.
      Thank you.
         THE CLERK: I have a group in the 5600 series with
Dr. Athey on the 12th.
         THE COURT: The only thing I show on --
```

```
THE CLERK: Oh, wait. They are marked for I.D. I'm
 1
 2
              I.D. only.
                          Sorry. Sorry.
      sorry.
 3
               THE COURT: That's okay. That is why we are doing
      this.
 4
 5
          Okay. Could I ask each side, it seems to me, is going
      to -- will have to have a file with all of the admitted
 6
 7
       exhibits in any event, so if you wouldn't mind giving us a
       flash drive with those electronic exhibits maybe sometime
 8
 9
      Monday or Tuesday?
10
               MR. BYARS: We will do that, Your Honor. I assume
11
      you don't want another physical set.
12
                THE COURT: No, no. Now, after how many weeks, did
13
      you know that? I am -- yeah, I really don't. I tried really
14
      hard to make this work, but it just didn't work.
15
               MR. BYARS: Your Honor, I'm sorry. Just to clarify,
16
      you would like all of the exhibits in whatever form --
17
                THE COURT: I'm happy to have two flash drives, one
       from each of you, with respect to your admitted set --
18
19
               MR. BYARS: Okay. Thank you.
20
                THE COURT: -- that we have just gone through in
21
      excruciating detail. Very important, though. Really
22
      important.
23
          So, Ms. Stone, you now have the list. If there is -- if
      you don't have a file number with them, just let us know.
24
25
      They should have been delivering to you physically --
```

THE CLERK: Yeah, yeah, I have lots of exhibits here. 1 THE COURT: So we will verify. 2 3 THE CLERK: There's a couple more coming, a couple more boxes coming. So yes. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. We will verify that so that we have that for any appeal. 6 7 Okay. Well, I really -- I guess I really do wish I could 8 have joked around a little bit more with you all during the 9 course of this trial, but so many people listening, I really 10 thought it was important to make sure that they understood how 11 serious a process this is. 12 But you're all excellent trial lawyers. It has been 13 really a pleasure. It's always a pleasure to have good trial 14 lawyers in the courtroom, and believe me, I don't always get 15 good trial lawyers in the courtroom. So I appreciate that. 16 look forward to Monday. It should be fun. 17 And is there anything else we need to do? THE CLERK: What time on Monday? 18 19 THE COURT: I'm happy to start a little bit later at 9:00 if you want. 20 21 MS. FORREST: Whatever time Your Honor would like is 22 fine with us. MR. DOREN: The same, of course, Your Honor. 23 24 THE COURT: Well, I guess everybody who is listening 25 is used to our normal schedule, so we will go ahead and do our

```
normal schedule.
 1
 2
                MR. DOREN: And, Your Honor, I just got a note that I
       guess Exhibit DX5338 was withdrawn by Stipulation 682, Docket
 3
      number 682.
 4
 5
                THE COURT: 5338?
 6
                MR. DOREN: 5338.
 7
                THE COURT: Okay. I will withdraw that.
 8
                   (Defendant's Exhibit 5338 withdrawn.)
 9
                MR. DOREN: Thank you, Your Honor.
10
                THE COURT: Anything else?
                MR. DOREN: That's all I have.
11
12
                MS. FORREST: Nothing else from Epic, Your Honor.
13
                THE COURT: Okay. Everybody have a good weekend.
                                                                   We
14
       will stand in recess until 8:00 o'clock on Monday morning.
15
16
                    (Proceedings concluded at 2:25 p.m.)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS

We, Diane E. Skillman, Pamela Batalo-Hebel, and Raynee Mercado certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. We further certify that we are neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken, and further that we are not financially nor otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

Friday, May 21, 2021